

Understanding the Laboratory Diagnosis of Malaria

Grant Dorsey*

Department of Public Health, University of Montreal School of Public Health, Quebec, Montreal, QC H2L 1M3, Canada

Introduction

Malaria remains a significant global health burden, particularly in tropical and subtropical regions. Caused by Plasmodium parasites transmitted through the bite of infected female Anopheles mosquitoes, malaria can lead to severe illness and death if not diagnosed and treated promptly. Effective laboratory diagnosis plays a crucial role in managing malaria cases, aiding in appropriate treatment and disease surveillance. This article provides an in-depth exploration of laboratory methods used in the diagnosis of malaria.

Description

Higher sensitivity compared to conventional microscopy usually refers to techniques that can detect and analyze specimens with greater precision, often at smaller scales or with improved resolution. This technique uses fluorescent labels to enhance contrast and sensitivity, enabling the visualization of specific structures or molecules within a sample. It allows for the detection of low concentrations of fluorescently labeled targets. By using a pinhole to eliminate out-of-focus light, confocal microscopy provides sharper images with improved contrast and resolution compared to conventional wide-field microscopy. This makes it particularly useful for 3D imaging and reducing background noise, enhancing sensitivity. Techniques like STED (stimulated emission depletion), PALM (photoactivated localization microscopy), and STORM (Stochastic Optical Reconstruction Microscopy) surpass the diffraction limit of conventional microscopy, allowing for the visualization of structures at the nanoscale. This greatly enhances sensitivity and resolution. Transmission electron microscopy and scanning electron microscopy offer extremely high resolution, enabling the visualization of subcellular structures and even individual molecules. This level of detail greatly enhances sensitivity for studying biological specimens. AFM measures the interaction forces between a sharp tip and a sample surface, allowing for high-resolution imaging of surfaces at the atomic level. It can detect subtle surface features and interactions, thus enhancing sensitivity. This technique enhances the contrast of transparent specimens by exploiting differences in refractive index. It can reveal details that may not be visible using conventional bright-field microscopy, thereby increasing sensitivity. Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) is a powerful imaging technique used to observe surfaces at the nanoscale level [1,2].

The basic principle of AFM involves scanning the probe tip across the sample surface while maintaining a constant force between the tip and the surface. As the tip encounters variations in the surface topography or other properties the deflection of the cantilever changes. These deflections are measured by a laser beam reflecting off the back of the cantilever and are used to create an image of the sample surface. AFM can achieve sub-nanometer resolution, allowing researchers to observe surface features at the

atomic level. AFM can be used to image a wide range of samples, including biological molecules, polymers, semiconductors, and more. It can also be used in various environments, such as air, liquid, or vacuum. AFM can generate three-dimensional images of surfaces, providing detailed information about surface roughness, morphology, and structure. Applications of AFM span a wide range of fields, including materials science, nanotechnology, biology, and surface chemistry. Researchers use AFM to study the structure and properties of materials, investigate surface interactions, develop nanoscale devices, and more. Its ability to provide detailed information at the nanoscale makes AFM an invaluable tool in scientific research and development [3].

Unlike conventional microscopy methods that use light or electrons to create images, AFM works by scanning a sharp probe (typically a few nanometers in diameter) over the surface of a sample. The probe is mounted on a flexible cantilever, which measures the interaction forces between the probe and the sample surface. Each of these techniques has its advantages and applications, but they all aim to improve sensitivity and resolution compared to traditional microscopy methods, enabling researchers to observe and analyze specimens with greater precision. Accurate diagnosis is fundamental for effective malaria control and eradication efforts. Clinical signs and symptoms alone are often insufficient for a definitive diagnosis, as they can overlap with other febrile illnesses common in malaria-endemic regions. Laboratory confirmation helps differentiate malaria from other causes of fever and guides appropriate treatment, preventing unnecessary use of antimalarial drugs and reducing the risk of drug resistance. Moreover, accurate diagnosis facilitates surveillance activities, enabling public health authorities to monitor malaria trends and implement targeted interventions [4].

The QBC technique involves staining a small volume of blood with acridine orange and centrifuging it in a capillary tube containing a gel matrix. Malaria parasites fluoresce under ultraviolet light and can be visualized using a fluorescence microscope. While less commonly used than other methods, QBC offers a rapid alternative to conventional microscopy. Molecular methods, such as polymerase chain reaction and loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP), detect Plasmodium nucleic acids in blood samples with high sensitivity and specificity. These techniques are particularly valuable for detecting low-level parasitemia, differentiating between Plasmodium species, and detecting drug resistance markers. Microscopic examination of Giemsa-stained blood smears remains the gold standard for malaria diagnosis. This technique involves preparing thin and thick blood smears on glass slides, staining them with Giemsa or other suitable stains, and examining them under a light microscope for the presence of malaria parasites. Several laboratory techniques are employed for the diagnosis of malaria, each with its advantages, limitations, and suitability depending on the clinical setting, resources available, and epidemiological considerations [5].

Conclusion

Accurate laboratory diagnosis is essential for the effective management and control of malaria. While microscopy remains the gold standard, rapid diagnostic tests and molecular techniques offer valuable alternatives, particularly in resource-limited settings. Combining different diagnostic approaches based on local epidemiology and available resources can enhance diagnostic accuracy and facilitate appropriate treatment and surveillance efforts. Continued research and investment in diagnostic technologies are critical for achieving the goal of malaria elimination worldwide.

*Address for Correspondence: Grant Dorsey, Department of Public Health, University of Montreal School of Public Health, Quebec, Montreal, QC H2L 1M3, Canada; E-mail: dorsey@gmail.com

Copyright: © 2024 Dorsey G. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 02 January, 2024, Manuscript No. mcce-24-130028; Editor Assigned: 05 January, 2024, PreQC No. P-130028; Reviewed: 16 January, 2024, QC No. Q-130028; Revised: 22 January, 2024, Manuscript No. R-130028; Published: 29 January, 2024, DOI: 10.37421/2470-6965.2024.13.268

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

There are no conflicts of interest by author.

References

1. Tangpukdee, Noppadon, Chatnapa Duangdee, Polrat Wilairatana and Srivicha Krudsood. "Malaria diagnosis: A brief review." *Korean J Parasitol* 47 (2009): 93.
2. Anstey, Nicholas M. and Matthew J. Grigg. "Zoonotic malaria: The better you look, the more you find." *J Infect Dis* 219 (2019): 679-681.

3. Imwong, Mallika, Wanassanan Madmanee, Kanokon Suwannasin and Chanon Kunasol, et al. "Asymptomatic natural human infections with the simian malaria parasites *Plasmodium cynomolgi* and *Plasmodium knowlesi*." *Infect Dis* 219 (2019): 695-702.
4. Grigg, Matthew J., and Georges Snounou. "Plasmodium simium: A Brazilian focus of anthropozoonotic vivax malaria?." *The Lancet global health* 5 (2017): e961-e962.
5. Zekar, Lara and Tariq Sharman. "*Plasmodium falciparum* malaria." *StatPearls* 2022.

How to cite this article: Dorsey, Grant. "Understanding the Laboratory Diagnosis of Malaria." *Malar Contr Elimination* 13 (2024): 268.