

Socioeconomic Effects of Good Governance Practices in Urban Land Management

Albina Sinani*

Department of Economics, Institute of Architecture Building Construction and City Development University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Description

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the socioeconomic impacts of sound governance procedures on the management of urban land in two specific Ethiopian cities. To accomplish this goal, both qualitative and quantitative research techniques were used. Data were gathered through surveys, interviews, and focus group discussions, and they were then descriptively examined. The study's findings indicate that the poor were disproportionately affected by bad governance in urban land management because they could not afford to pay bribes to get services or legal protection. Poor governance and corruption in the administration of urban land impeded development by increasing business risks, reducing investment incentives, and limiting access to funding in the towns. In order to remove restrictions on land-use planning and to influence sway the choice to halt the execution of environmental protection regulations. The vast majority of suburban residents did not pay property taxes since their land was unregistered. Similarly, informal settlers were forbidden from paying property taxes since it was thought that doing so was a vital step towards the regularisation of communities. Urban people thus started building residences without registering their land and land rights. As a result, after being restricted to the towns, landowners in peril-urban areas used their property more frequently for residential reasons and illegal business dealings while doing less with it for agriculture. Therefore, it was impossible to promote land-related socioeconomic growth as a result of bad governance in urban land management [1,2].

People need access to land because it offers them shelter and basic necessities Urban growth and development depend on effective and efficient urban land management. To achieve this, the land management process must be inclusive, egalitarian, and open. Land policies, which are referred to as guidelines for receiving advantages from and access to land, regulate the allocation and usage of land. Politics and land management are mutually supportive of one another. Land policy is created in accordance with governmental objectives collection of tools called land management is used to run land policy instruments. Country to country variations may be found in the majority of urban land policies, techniques, and activities connected to urban land management systems. But they focus on the same fundamental ideas. The methodical arrangement and formal registration of land holdings serve as their common core function but for certain emerging nations, the significant social, cultural, and economic effects of issues with land rights have become a top source of worry One of the main causes of inefficiency in public land management is good governance in urban land management. Reducing corruption, boosting public trust, and preserving the environment are all advantages of effective governance. Additionally, these include improving

land tenure, helping the underprivileged, managing state resources, offering sufficient public services, and resolving conflicts contrarily, it was claimed by the authors of that bad land governance contributes to subpar urban planning and land reform. Frequently, land or property associated with it is the most significant source; The most significant source of security and riches is frequently land or property with a connection to it. Secure property rights are threatened in emerging nations, notably those in Africa, by bad government. A favourable climate lacking in transparency has been produced by conflicting rules and regulations, weak institutions, and imperfect property registration systems. The Urban Land Lease Law of Ethiopia has seen three revisions since it was initially put into effect in 1993. Proclamations were also included in this law, which was largely intended to promote the efficacy and efficiency of urban land management. Due to flaws in both the law's wording and its application, the goal of encouraging good governance in urban land management, however, looks to be a terrifying legal forecast

Although they are still hard to observe, strong governance has advantages, notably in land management Effective property management, according to these writers, will boost the economy, lessen societal poverty, safeguard land and natural resources, enhance public services, and put an end to urban land disputes. The authors of also discussed the negative effects of poor land sector governance, such as social misconduct, environmental degradation, barriers to economic development, a decline in public revenue, legal uncertainty or land conflicts and land market failures, landlessness and inequality in distribution, informal land transaction costs, social unrest, political unrest, and a decline in private sector investment. Although institutional reorganisation and decentralisation are frequent in Africa as a result. Enhancing effective governance is critical, and institutional concerns are essential for managing urban land. Integral land management places a high value on efficiency, effectiveness, and good governance to guarantee sustainable land management. Decentralization may have strong backing from the Ethiopian government. Ethiopia adopted a decentralised form of government. A democratic presidential system that allowed individuals of all ranks to take part in social, political, and economic choices was first made possible by the constitution. Because of this, the constitution seeks to encourage self-government at all levels and public involvement in the creation of broad policies and programmes. The nations have established land management organisations with various spheres of authority to assist the decentralised government structure. Additionally, organisations [3-5].

Conclusion

Effective good governance solutions may also resolve a range of issues and disagreements in urban land management, according to which was quoted in Additionally,'s authors emphasised nearly the same advantages of good governance in urban land management as authors; the author concurred that good governance is essential for conflict resolution, environmental protection, charitable foundations, poor welfare, government wealth management, and economic progress. However, the authors of on discovered. The lines between the public interest and personal advantage were frequently blurred, policy and government performance frameworks were inadequate, there were an excessive number of laws and regulations, the procedures were unclear, and development agendas were ultimately inconsistent. They also concurred that governance defects foster irrational behaviour, corruption, hinder competitiveness, and inefficiently distribute limited resources.

*Address for Correspondence: Albina Sinani, Department of Economics, Institute of Architecture Building Construction and City Development University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, E-mail: sinaniaalbina67@yahoo.com

Copyright: © 2022 Sinani A. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 01 November, 2022, Manuscript No. economics-23-88341; Editor assigned: 03 November, 2022, PreQC No. P-88341; Reviewed: 15 November, 2022, QC No. Q-88341; Revised: 21 November, 2022, Manuscript No. R-88341; Published: 28 November, 2022, DOI: 10.37421/2375-4389.2022.10.384

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of interest

There are no conflicts of interest by author.

References

1. Jakob, Doreen. "Crafting your way out of the recession? New craft entrepreneurs and the global economic downturn." *Cambridge J Reg Econ Soc* 6 (2013): 127-140.
2. Clapp, Jennifer. "Food Price Volatility and Vulnerability in the Global South: considering the global economic context." *Third World Q* 30 (2009): 1183-1196.
3. Li, He, Lu Yu, and Wu He. "The impact of GDPR on global technology development." *J Glob Inf Technol Manag* 22 (2019): 1-6.
4. Meegan, Richard, Patricia Kennett, Gerwyn Jones, and Jacqui Croft. "Global economic crisis, austerity and neoliberal urban governance in England." *Cambridge J Reg Econ Soc* 7 (2014): 137-153.
5. Baumeister, Christiane, Dimitris Korobilis, and Thomas K. Lee. "Energy markets and global economic conditions." *Rev Econ Stat* 104 (202 2):828-844

How to cite this article: Sinani, Albina. "Socioeconomic Effects of Good Governance Practices in Urban Land Management." *J Glob Econ* 10 (2022): 384