

Social Entrepreneurship: An Overview

John Kling*

Department of Economic Studies, Walden University, USA

Commentary

The incipient field of social entrepreneurship is growing fleetly and attracting increased attention from numerous sectors. The term itself shows up constantly in the media, is substantiated by public officers, has come common on university premises, and informs the strategy of several prominent social sector associations, including Ashoka and the Schwab and Skoll Foundation foundations.

The reasons behind the fashion ability of social entrepreneurship are numerous. On the most introductory position, there's commodity innately intriguing and charming about entrepreneurs and the stories of why and how they do what they do. People are attracted to social entrepreneurs like last time's Nobel Peace Prize laureate Muhammad Yunus for numerous of the same reasons that they find business entrepreneurs like Steve Jobs so compelling these extraordinary people come up with brilliant ideas and against all the odds succeed at creating new products and services that dramatically ameliorate people's lives.

But interest in social entrepreneurship transcends the miracle of fashion ability and seductiveness with people. Social entrepreneurship signals the imperative to drive social change, and it's that implicit lucre, with its lasting, transformational benefit to society, that sets the field and its interpreters piecemeal.

Although the implicit benefits offered by social entrepreneurship are clear to numerous of those promoting and funding these conditioning, the factual description of what social entrepreneurs do to produce this order of magnitude return is less clear. In fact, we'd argue that the description of

social entrepreneurship moment is anything but clear. As a result, social entrepreneurship has come so inclusive that it now has an immense roof into which all manner of socially salutary conditioning fit.

In some felicitations this inclusiveness could be a good thing. However, and if numerous causes that else would not get sufficient backing now get support because they're regarded as social entrepreneurship, also it may be fine to have a loose description, If plenitude of coffers are pouring into the social sector. We're inclined to argue, still, that this is a defective supposition and a precarious station.

Social entrepreneurship is a charming construct precisely because it holds similar high promise. However, also social entrepreneurship will fall into reproach, and the kernel of true social entrepreneurship will be lost, If that pledge isn't fulfilled because too numerous "non-entrepreneurial" sweats are included in the description. Because of this peril, we believe that we need an important sharper description of social entrepreneurship, one that enables us to determine the extent to which an exertion is and isn't "in the roof." Our thing isn't to make a jealous comparison between the benefactions made by traditional social service associations and the results of social entrepreneurship, but simply to punctuate what differentiates them.

Still, also those who support social entrepreneurship can concentrate their coffers on structure and strengthening a concrete and identifiable field, if we can achieve a rigorous description. Absent that discipline, proponents of social entrepreneurship run the threat of giving the disbelievers an ever-expanding target to shoot at, and the pessimists indeed more reason to blink social invention and those who drive it.

***Address for Correspondence:** John Kling, Department of Economic Studies, Walden University, USA; Tel: + 17468958124, E-mail: johnkling@gmail.com

Copyright: © 2021 John Kling. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received 09 November 2021; **Accepted** 23 November 2021; **Published** 30 November 2021

How to cite this article: John Kling. "Social Entrepreneurship: An Overview." *J Entrepren Organiz Manag* 10 (2021): 338.