

# Impact of trade liberalization

Shi Jiang\*

School of Economics, Mercer University, Georgia, USA.

## Description

The removal or lowering of constraints or barriers to the free exchange of products between states is known as trade liberalization. Tariffs, such as levies and surcharges, and nontariff barriers, such as licensing rules and quotas, are examples of these barriers. Economists frequently see these constraints being eased or eliminated as measures toward promoting free trade. Trade liberalization opponents argue that the program will lose jobs by flooding the domestic market with cheaper goods. Critics also claim that the goods are of worse quality and risk than rival local products that have undergone more stringent safety and quality inspections. Proponents of trade liberalization, on the other hand, argue that it lowers consumer costs, improves efficiency, and promotes economic growth in the long run. Protectionism, on the other hand, is characterized by high obstacles to commerce and market control. Globalization is the effect of trade liberalization and the ensuing integration of countries. Free trade is promoted by trade liberalization, which allows countries to trade goods without regulatory hurdles or expenses. Because imports are subject to lower fees and competition is anticipated to rise, this lessened regulation lowers costs for countries that trade with other countries and may, in turn, result in lower consumer prices.

Increased competition from overseas because of trade liberalization encourages home enterprises to become more efficient and produce at a lower cost. This competition may cause a country to redirect resources to industries where it has a competitive advantage. Trade liberalization, for example, has prompted the United Kingdom to focus on its service industry rather than manufacturing. However, because of increased competition from foreign companies, trade liberalization can have a negative impact on enterprises within a country, resulting in reduced local support for those industries. If products or raw materials are sourced from nations with lesser environmental standards, there may be a financial and societal risk. Because they are compelled to compete in the same market as larger economies or nations, trade liberalization can be a threat to emerging nations or economies. This dilemma has the potential to suffocate existing local industries or cause newly generated industries to fail [1,2].

Because they have a labor market that can respond to changing wants and production facilities that can shift their attention to more in-demand items, countries with excellent education systems tend to adapt quickly to a free-trade economy. Countries with lower educational standards may find it difficult to adjust to shifting economic conditions. Policies that open an economy to international commerce and investment are required for long-term economic

prosperity. This is supported by evidence. No country has achieved economic success in recent decades without being open to the rest of the globe, resulting in significant increases in living conditions for its citizens. In contrast, trade liberalization (together with foreign direct investment liberalization) has been a key factor in East Asia's economic success, with average import tariffs falling from 30% to 10% over the last 20 years. Many emerging countries have needed to open their economies to the global market to establish competitive advantages in the manufacture of specific items. The number of people living in absolute poverty has decreased by almost 120 million in these countries, which the World Bank refers to as "new globalizers."

There is a lot of evidence suggesting countries that are more outward-looking grow quicker than countries that are more inward-looking. Indeed, one finding is that the benefits of trade liberalization can outnumber the costs by a factor of more than ten. India, Vietnam, and Uganda, for example, have achieved faster development and lower poverty rates since opening their economies in recent years. The poor, in particular, profit from trade liberalization. The huge implicit subsidies provided by trade protection, which are generally funneled to narrow privileged interests, are unaffordable for developing countries. Furthermore, the additional growth that results from freer trade tends to raise the poor's incomes in roughly the same proportion as the general populations. The benefits that could be realized by removing remaining trade barriers [3-5].

## Conflict of Interest

None.

## References

1. Shafaeddin, Mehdi. "The impact of trade liberalization on export and GDP, growth in least developed countries." *UNCTAD Review* 1995 (1995): 1-6.
2. Shu, Pian, and Claudia Steinwender. "The impact of trade liberalization on firm productivity and innovation." *Innovation Policy and the Economy* 19(2019): 39-68.
3. Dornbusch, Rudiger. "The case for trade liberalization in developing countries." *JEP* 6(1992): 69-85.
4. Edwards, Sebastian. "Openness, trade liberalization, and growth in developing countries." *J Econ Lit* 31 (1993): 1358-1393.
5. Goldberg, Pinelopi K., and Nina Pavcnik. "Trade, inequality, and poverty: What do we know? Evidence from recent trade liberalization episodes in developing countries." (2004).

**How to cite this article:** Jiang, Shi. "Impact of Trade Liberalization." *J Glob Econ* 10 (2022): 401.

\*Address for Correspondence: Shi Jiang, School of Economics, Mercer University, Georgia, USA.; E-mail: hui.wangECO@gmail.com

**Copyright:** © 2022 Jiang S. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Received** 02-Feb-2022, Manuscript No: economics-22-58017; **Editor assigned:** 07-Feb-2022, Pre QC-No. P-58017; **Reviewed:** 14-Feb-2022, QC No. Q-58017; **Revised:** 21-Feb-2022, Manuscript No. R-58017; **Published:** 28-Feb -2022, DOI: 10.37421/2375-4389.22.10.401