Global Journal of Technology and Optimization

ISSN: 2229-8711 Open Access

Green Economic Sustainable Model in Indonesia Used SWOT Analysis

Dodi Irwan Siregar*

Lecturer in STIE Persada Bunda College, Pekanbaru, Indonesia

Abstract

A green economy is an economy that aims at reducing environmental risks and ecological scarcities, and that aims for sustainable development without degrading the environment. SWOT analysis identifies internal and external factors that are prioritized by experts in the World Green Economic Forum domain through an Analytical hierarchy process. The prioritized SWOT factors are used in the formulation of strategies using the TOWS matrix. Regional and International Competitive Destinations: local competitors are developing a competitive festival World Green Economic to attract tracks of similar profiles indonesia agriculture and Improve technology and science.

Keywords: SWOT analysis method • TOWS matrix • A green economy • World green economic forum • Technology and science

Introduction

A green economy is an economy that aims at reducing environmental risks and ecological scarcities, and that aims for sustainable development without degrading the environment. It is closely related with ecological economics, but has a more politically applied focus, (UNEP, 2016) [1]. The 2011 UNEP Green Economy Report argues "that to be green, an economy must not only be efficient, but also fair. Fairness implies recognizing global and country level equity dimensions, particularly in assuring a Just Transition to an economy that is low-carbon, resource efficient, and socially inclusive.

Green economics is loosely defined as any theory of economics by which an economy is considered to be component of the ecosystem in which it resides (after Lynn Margulis). A holistic approach to the subject is typical, such that economic ideas are commingled with any number of other subjects, depending on the particular theorist. Proponents of feminism, postmodernism, the environmental movement, peace movement, Green politics, green anarchism and anti-globalization movement have used the term to describe very different ideas, all external to mainstream economics.

The use of the term is further ambiguated by the political distinction of Green parties which are formally organized and claim the capitalised Green term as a unique and distinguishing mark. It is thus preferable to refer to a loose school of "green economists" who generally advocate shifts towards a green economy, biomimicry and a fuller accounting for biodiversity. (See The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity especially for current authoritative international work towards these goals and Bank of Natural Capital for a layperson's presentation of these.)

Some economists view green economics as a branch or subfield of more established schools. For instance, it is regarded as classical economics where the traditional land is generalized to natural capital and has some attributes in common with labor and physical capital (since natural capital assets like rivers directly substitute for man-made ones such as canals). Or, it is viewed

*Address for Correspondence: Dodi Irwan Siregar, Lecturer in STIE Persada Bunda College, Pekanbaru, Indonesia ; Tel: 082171928606; E-mail: dodi.irwan. siregar@gmail.co.id

Copyright: © 2022 Siregar DI. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 01 March, 2022, Manuscript No. GJTO-22-66914; Editor Assigned: 03 March, 2022, PreQC No. P-66914; Reviewed: 07 March, 2022, QC No. Q-66914; Revised: 13 March, 2022, Manuscript No. R-66914; Published: 18 March, 2022, DOI: 10.37421/2229-8711.2022.13.284

as Marxist economics with nature represented as a form of Lumpenproletariat, an exploited base of non-human workers providing surplus value to the human economy, or as a branch of neoclassical economics in which the price of life for developing vs. developed nations is held steady at a ratio reflecting a balance of power and that of non-human life is very low

Strategic management can be defined as a set of decisions and actions taken by management, in collaboration with all levels within the organization in order to establish long-term activities of the organization [2-12]. Literature review shows that many approaches and techniques can be used to analyze the strategic cases in the strategic management process. One of them is the SWOT analysis.

This article explains the SWOT analysis, provides the theoretical background and an overview of the application of the SWOT analysis. As some authors

Have identified weaknesses of the SWOT, analysis is combined with a method for multi-criteria decision Analytic hierarchy process, in order to avoid these disadvantages. Therefore, in the second part of the paper Analytic hierarchy process, method and SWOT Analytic hierarchy process, hybrid method are described. Following the basic steps method, SWOT Analytic hierarchy process, is applied to the example of the maritime of World Green Economic Forum strategic Income plan definition.

Methodology

SWOT analysis method

SWOT is an acronym of strength, weakness, opportunities and threats. The first two factors (strengths and weaknesses) are related to internal organizational factors, while opportunities and threats cover a wider context or environment in which the entity operates [5]. The first are likely to be under control of the organization but the latter one, although they are no less important when looking at the impact on the enterprise, are not.

SWOT is one of the most widespread methods of management and is an instrument used by managers in creating strategies [8]. Commonly used as a tool for the analysis of internal and external factors in order to achieve a systematic approach and support to address the situation. Internal and external factors are the most important for the future of businesses. They are called strategic factors and are presented in the SWOT matrix. The ultimate goal of the strategic planning process, of which the SWOT is one of the initial phases, is development and adoption of strategy resulting in a good relationship between the internal and external factors. SWOT can also be used when the alternative appears suddenly and need to analyze the context of decisions with respect to that. SWOT analysis is actually a method to help with strategy

formulation. The analysis aims to identify the strengths and weaknesses of the organization and the opportunities and threats in the environment of the organization. SWOT analysis is an important tool that serves as a support for decision-making and is often used as a tool for the systematic analysis of the organization, both internal and external influences on the organization) [12]. Identifying their strengths, Weak nesses, opportunities and threats, organizations can build a strategy on their strengths, eliminate weaknesses and exploiting its capabilities, or to use an option in the fight against threats. SWOT analysis summarizes the most important internal and external factors (strategic factors) that may affect the future of the organization.

Analytic hierarchy process method

This chapter describes the Analytic hierarchy process, method which is used in conjunction with a SWOT analysis in order to avoid the disadvantages of SWOT analysis. Previous studies have recognized the shortcomings of SWOT analysis and point out SWOT Analytic hierarchy process, as hybrid that deal with the priorities of SWOT factors [7-9], Thus, the idea behind using Analytic Hierarchy Process, is to systematically evaluate the SWOT factors [12]. This chapter provides a theoretical background of Analytic hierarchy process, method, and the next chapter describes SWOT Analytic hierarchy process, and emphasizes reasons for integration of two methods.

Analytical Hierarchy Process, is one of the most popular methods of multiple criteria decision making. It is used to rank the alternatives by taking into account the importance of the different criteria. Analytic hierarchy process, allows structuring the problem, followed by comparing pairs of elements in the hierarchy. At the end of the process, mathematical model is determined by weighting factors of all elements of the hierarchy. Analytic hierarchy process, structures the problem of decision-making and monitors the process of decision making by defining objectives, criteria and alternatives, by comparing criteria and alternatives in pairs and defining priorities of alternatives. Results of the Analytic hierarchy process, method are ranked alternatives and the weight coefficients of criteria in relation to the goal. Analytic hierarchy process, successfully identifies and indicates the inconsistency of decision making by tracking inconsistencies for the whole process. Results are quantitative indicators that can argue the decision. Analytic Hierarchy Process, method is now one of the most popular and commonly used method for multi-criteria decision making in solving real problems. It was developed.

Application of analytic hierarchy process: Vaidya and Kumar published in 2006, the literature review of 150 publications published in the prestigious international scientific journals in the period since 1983 - 2003, in which the Analytic Hierarchy Process, method has been applied to solve certain types of problems in the period since 1983 to 2003 [11]. Analytic Hierarchy Process, method is most often used for the selection, evaluation and decision-making (more than 50% of the application is one of the three areas). Furthermore, the Analytic Hierarchy Process has been applied in the planning, development, and in cost – benefit analysis, and less in medicine and for the purposes of prediction. The same article noted that the analytic hierarchy process, method in that period occurred in the five studies combined with SWOT analysis. SWOT analytic hierarchy process, method This chapter describes a hybrid method of SWOT Analytic Hierarchy Process, First, the reasons why combination of these two methods is necessary, followed by a review of SWOT Analytic Hierarchy Process method.

Although SWOT is often used as a planning tool, this analysis also has weaknesses. Some of these weaknesses can be avoided and then the SWOT can be used more efficiently. In previous studies, this is done by connecting a SWOT analysis with Analytic Hierarchy Process, method. As a result, a hybrid method is obtained that produces quantitative values for the SWOT factors [7-9,12]. As advantages of derived hybrid method, literature most often states its simply maritime, efficiency and the ability to combine qualitative and quantitative criteria [7]. One problem of SWOT analysis lies in the uncertainty related to the future development and the outcome of various factors. This can complicate the comparison. However, the Analytic Hierarchy Process, method is able to manage the decision-making in situations of uncertainty. It is recommended that a number of factors (strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats) will be limited to 10, but it certainly allows the user to avoid overlap

and negligence during construction of SWOT. On the other hand, the limitation is not so strict and the problem of the large number of comparisons it can be avoided by using at least two different techniques. First, grouping variables and second, by adding a new level in the hierarchy. If, for example, there is a large number of opportunities, they can be grouped into two or three subgroups. Analytic Hierarchy Process enables quantization of priorities to support decision making. However, Analytic Hierarchy Process does not include the statistical uncertainty of the results. Consistency measure of comparison and consistency ratio, resulting from Analytic Hierarchy Process, calculation, does not give direct information about the uncertainty derived priorities.

Numerical results, prioritized SWOT factors are useful in formulating or selecting the strategy. It is good to compare the external features compared to the internal potential, because all the factors are, at the same, a numerical scale. For example, if it turns out that one weakness is greater than all the benefits, then the chosen strategy may have to be focused on eliminating these weaknesses. Similarly, the selection of the new strategy probably should not be based only on the deletion of the existing opportunities and threats, if they are of equal size. In every situation of strategic planning can be used SWOT analysis and Analytic Hierarchy Process, method. SWOT analysis provides the basic framework which conducts analysis of the situation in which the decision was made, while the Analytic Hierarchy Process, helps to conduct the SWOT in analytic way. Potential advantages of using Analytic Hierarchy Process, in SWOT analysis lie in the possibilities of quantitative testing of SWOT factors and involvement of decision maker's preferences in the planning.

This hybrid method is suitable for many situations of strategic planning. After defining the priorities of SWOT factors, new strategies can be constructed partly on the basis of information derived from the comparison. Furthermore, it is possible to compare two or more strategic options, so find out which is the best match to the SWOT factors. This can be done by adding an alternative strategy to the lowest level of the hierarchy and comparing them with respect to each factor in SWOT list. The result is a quantitative value that indicates the priority or preference of each option.

Combined use of Analytic Hierarchy Process, and SWOT proved promising. Making comparisons in pairs forces decision-makers to think about the weights of factors and more accurately analyze the situation. Hybrid method of Analytic Hierarchy Process, and SWOT increases and improves the information base for the strategic planning process. It also provides an effective framework for learning in support of strategic decision making in many situations and can be used as a tool for communication and education in the processes of decision-making where multiple decision makers involved.

Steps of SWOT-analytic hierarchy process method

According to Yeon and Kim, SWOT Analytic Hierarchy Process, steps are following: (1) conducting a SWOT analysis, (2) comparisons in pairs between SWOT factors within each SWOT group, (3) comparisons in pairs between the four SWOT groups, and (4) formulating strategies based on the results (Osuna, E.E., Aranda, A., 2007) [9].

Step 1: SWOT analysis: Relevant external and internal factors are identified and included in the SWOT analysis. When applied to a standard method of Analytic Hierarchy Process, it is recommended that a number of factors within the SWOT group does not exceed 10 because the number of comparisons in pairs that are needed in the analysis is rapidly increasing.

Step 2: Comparisons in pairs between SWOT factors were conducted within each SWOT group: When comparisons are made, the question to be answered is: which of the two factors being compared is has higher impact: is it strenght, opportunity, weakness or threat. With these comparisons as input, the relative priorities of the local factors are calculated using the eigenvalues. These priorities reflect the perception of the decision maker on the relative importance of factors.

Step 3: Comparisons in pairs between four SWOT groups: Factor with the highest local priority was chosen from each group to represent the group. These four factors are then compared and their relative priorities are calculated as in step 2.

These are the scaling factors of four SWOT groups and they are used to calculate the total global priorities of independent factors within them. This is done by multiplying the local priorities defined in the second step corresponding to the value of the scaling factor in SWOT groups. The sum of all factors of global priorities is one.

Step 4: Using results in the formulation of strategies and the evaluation process: Contribution to the strategic planning process comes in the form of numerical values for the factors. New targets can be set, the strategies defined and the implementation plan taking into consideration based on the most important factors.

Application of SWOT-analytic hierarchy process method

In several previous studies the combined model SWOT and Analytic Hierarchy Process, method was [7-9] stated this hybrid method is often used to improve the usability of a SWOT analysis as ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS, quantitatively determines the importance of the factors in SWOT groups [8]. In the above studies, among other things, research subjects are exploring the opportunities and challenges of agroforestry by applying SWOT analysis in combination with Analytic Hierarchy Process, assessing perceptions of stakeholders regarding the suitability of the access control based on the community. Have recently used the SWOT and Analytic Hierarchy Process, model to, firstly, prioritize strengths, weaknesses, threats and weaknesses of the group, and secondly, to decide and evaluate alternative strategies of e-government [11]. In each of these studies case study approach have been used to examine specific situations.

SWOT-Analytic Hierarchy Process, method was applied in even more domains such as environmental protection, project management, agriculture, manufacturing, energy, agriculture, industry, machine tools, etc.

Strategic planning of world green economic forum in a small town

In this chapter the use of SWOT Analytic Hierarchy Process, is demonstrated in the field of World Green Economic Forum. Development of a strategic plan for a small town in the northwest of the Croatian, World Green Economic Forum is presented. World Green Economic Forum is a

maritime with less than 50 000 inhabitants, the capital maritime of World Green Economic Forum County and the economic center of the World.

Systematic approach to strategic planning of World Green Economic Forum World Green Economic Forum development is implemented by using SWOT analysis integrated with Analytic Hierarchy Process, method. Hybrid method follows the steps of development of described earlier in the paper. The first step is the SWOT analysis. SWOT matrix was developed by consulting an expert in the field of World Green Economic Forum: a person who graduated from the Faculty of World Green Economic Forum Management. Identified strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats are found in Table 1 in the appendix of this paper. The following strengths were identified: characteristics of destination, geographical position and historical value, standard of living, cultural maritime events. Elements of each of these strengths are fully explained in Table 1.

Expert has recognized the weaknesses of World Green Economic Forum relating to the following characteristics: limited availability, underdeveloped World Green Economic Forum, inadequate Income promotion, poor coordination between World Green Economic Forum authorities and unstructured World Green Economic Forum management.

Expert has noted the following opportunities that can be exploited: geographical features of the destination recognized the potential for the development of World Green Economic Forum and international reputation. As threats to be aware of are identified: regional rival destinations, economical instability and the absence of controlling authority for active World Green Economic Forum.

As the greatest strength expert identified the characteristics of the destination. It is interesting to be noted that characteristics of the destination has priority higher than following two strengths together. Expert recognized underdeveloped and inadequate Income of World Green Economic Forum promotion as the main weaknesses of World Green Economic Forum as a track destination.

The next step of the development SWOT Analytic Hierarchy Process, method involves defining strategies using tows matrix. The main objective of

Table 1. SWOT analysis method.

WEAKNESSES STRENGHT S1: Characteristics of lineage: International Trade, World Green Economic W1: Limited availability and accessbility: a little international land. Forum S2: Geographical position and historical value as well as being included W2: The underdevelopment of World Green Economic Forum: the lack of in the Khatulistiwa: its location is in a Khatulistiwa landscape, flowing with reputable international land and public facilities. fertile rivers and land, has a good environmental carrying capacity. W3: No Income promotion: No appropriate Income strategies for the S3: Improve Technology And Science promotion W4: No coordination between World Green Economic Forum authorities: S4: Increase research on the COVID-19 pandemic lack of involvement in public- private strategic decision-making. W5:a little World Green Economic Forum: S5: Shorten And Streamline The Distribution Of Consumption Of Goods And Obsolete laws relating to World Green Economic Forum, an ad-hoc Services investment, insecure jobs. 0 **OPPORTUNITIES THREATS** O1: Expand The Field Of Education And Teaching During The COVID-19 Pandemic. T1: Regional and International Competitive Destinations: local competitors are developing a competitive festival World Green Economic to attract O2: Strengthen Coordination And Collaboration In The Economic Sector Of tracks of similar profiles indonesia agriculture. Goods And Services. O2: The potential for World Green Economic Forum development: a wealth of T2: Role Political instability: unfavorable economic situation in domestic and cultural resources concentrated in a large area Indonesia archipelago. international. T3: The absence of an active controlling government authority for World O3: International Quality Resources: green economic products and blue Green Economic Forum: No World Green Economic Forum development economic product. plans

the strategy formulation is a change of current conditions or re- establish the image that is currently broken in the region. Tows matrix provides four different combinations: SO, WO, ST and WT. Below are shown strategies identified in this research. For each strategy are listed SWOT combinations that are used in defining strategies (e.g. S1/O4 means consideration of strength No.1 and opportunity No. 4.).

SO Strategies (Maxi-Maxi)

Strategy of differentiated approach: Provides Income mix in a different way than competitive destinations (including \$1/\$\text{S2}/\$\text{S4}/\text{O3}/\text{O2}).

WO Strategies (Mini-Maxi)

Strategy of shareholder involvement in world green economic forum development: involvement of shareholders in decision-making, improve product quality and concern for consumers (including W2/W3/W4/W5/W1/O3/O2).

The strategy of diversification of distribution channels: distribution channels have the power to influence it, "when", "where" and "how" people are traveling, and so to some extent, control how many people come to a destination (includes W3/W2/W5/W1/O3/O2/O1/O3).

ST Strategies (Maxi-Mini)

Segmented income strategy with product modification: Segmentation identifies specific categories of homogeneous preferences among tracks (includes S1/S2/S4/S3/T2/T1).

Proactive communication strategy: Prevent potential negative image in the minds of visitors, must be centralized, honest, transparent and informative (S1/S2/T2).

WT Strategies: Mini-Mini

Launch efficient/flexible income promotional strategies: creating confidence in the target market: special events, billboards, trade shows, TV programs, public relations, advertising are the best tactics for promotion (W3/T2/T1).

Organizational interrelationships and team work: World Green Economic Forum is a set of variety services which include many parties, therefore it is necessary to develop a network among them (W2/W1/W3/T2/T1).

Explained strategies are defined with the help of expert, following the theory of World Green Economic Forum. The main advantage of this approach is that it takes into account the internal and external factors that are built into alternative strategies. The disadvantage is that certain combinations are not taken into account.

Conclusion

Indonesia can take advantage Based on its geographical location; the Indonesian archipelago is located between the continents of Asia and the continents of Australia, as well as between the Indian Ocean and the Pacific Ocean. that is, the territory of Indonesia is at a cross position which has

significant significance in terms of climate and economy, which is a strategic location for the World Economic Trade Route. The SWOT analysis identifies internal and external factors that are prioritized by experts in formulating policies to increase the country's foreign exchange through the Government Analytical hierarchy process. The prioritized SWOT factors are used in the formulation of strategies using the TOWS matrix. The results showed that a proactive communication strategy and an effective isolation strategy to regulate international trade in goods and services to increase national income was the best strategy.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

The authors reported no potential conflict of interest.

References

- 1. "United nations environment programme (UNEP)". Archived from the original (2016).
- Kahle, Lynn R., Eda Gurel-Atay, Eds (2014). "Communicating sustainability for the green economy". New York: M.E. Sharpe 1 (2014).
- "Towards a green economy: Pathways to sustainable development and poverty eradication". (2011)
- Runnals, D. "Environment and economy: Joined at the hip or just strange bedfellows?" Sapiens 4 (2011)
- Collins-Kreiner, N., G. Wall and Collins-Kreiner "Evaluating world green economic forum potential: A SWOT analysis of the western negev, Israel". World Green Econom Forum 55: 51-63. 2007.
- Houben, G., K. Lenie, K. Vanhoof and G. Houben, et al. "A knowledge- based SWOT-analysis system as an instrument for strategic planning in small and medium sized enterprises." *Decision Sup Sys* 26 (1999): 125–135.
- Jeon, Y.A., J.S. Kim, "An application of Swot-Analytic hierarchy process, to develop a strategic planning for a track destination." Grad Stud Research Conf 1 (2011) 6-8.
- Kurttila, M., M. Kurttila, M. Pesonen and M. Kangas, et al, "Utilizing the analytic hierarchy process in SWOT analysis a hybrid method and its application to a forestcertification case." For Policy Econ 1(2000): 41-52.
- Osuna, E.E., A. Aranda, E.E. Osuna, A. Aranda, "Combining SWOT and analytic hierarchy process, techniques for strategic planning, is analytic hierarchy process." (2007) 2-6.
- Sumadi, B.K., Empat Puluh, Persen Jalur and Perdagangan Dunia Melewati et al. "Medan merdeka barat" 8 (2022)
- Vaidya, O., S. Kumar, O. Vaidya, S. Kumar, "Analytic hierarchy process: An overview of applications." Eur J Oper Res 169 (2006): 1–29.
- Yuksel, I., M. Dagdeviren, I. Yuksel and M. Dagdeviren, "Using the analytic network process (ANP) in a SWOT analysis – A case study for a textile firm." *Inform Sci* 177(2007): 3364-3382.

How to cite this article: Siregar, Dodi Irwan. "Green Economic Sustainable Model in Indonesia Used SWOT Analysis." Glob J Tech Optim 13 (2022): 284.