Commentary
Volume 11:03, 2023

Journal of Global Economics

ISSN: 2375-4389 Open Access

Genetic Algorithm with Adaptations for Healthcare Supplier Selection

Ahmad Shaik*

Department of Computer Science, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt

Description

The healthcare industry plays a vital role in society, providing essential medical services and products. Healthcare organizations must carefully select suppliers to ensure the delivery of high-quality healthcare services. The supplier selection process is complex and involves numerous criteria, such as product quality, pricing, delivery reliability, and supplier stability. To enhance this decision-making process, advanced optimization techniques, such as genetic algorithms, can be employed. In this article, we will explore the concept of genetic algorithms and their adaptations for healthcare supplier selection. Genetic Algorithms (GAs) are computational optimization techniques inspired by natural evolution. They mimic the process of natural selection and genetic inheritance to solve complex optimization problems. The algorithm starts with an initial population of potential solutions represented as individuals or chromosomes. Each chromosome encodes a potential solution using a set of variables or genes. These individuals undergo genetic operations, such as selection, crossover, and mutation, to generate a new population of solutions [1].

The healthcare supplier selection problem involves choosing the most suitable suppliers from a pool of candidates based on various criteria. The goal is to optimize supplier selection decisions by considering multiple objectives simultaneously, such as cost minimization, quality maximization, and delivery reliability. Traditional supplier selection methods often rely on subjective decision-making or simplified mathematical models that may not capture the complexity of the problem. Genetic algorithms offer a robust and flexible approach to handle the multi-objective nature of healthcare supplier selection. The objective function in supplier selection represents the evaluation criteria and their relative importance. For healthcare supplier selection, it is crucial to consider both quantitative criteria and qualitative criteria. The objective function should be designed to reflect the preferences and priorities of healthcare organizations. Chromosome Encoding: The chromosome representation is critical for capturing the characteristics of potential solutions. In healthcare supplier selection, chromosomes can be encoded to represent various supplier attributes, such as cost, quality, delivery time, and certifications. The encoding scheme should consider the range and granularity of these attributes to ensure a diverse and representative search space. The fitness function determines the quality of each individual in the population. In healthcare supplier selection, the fitness function should assess the suitability of a supplier based on the defined evaluation criteria. This may involve aggregating multiple criteria into a single fitness value or employing multi-objective fitness functions to handle conflicting objectives [2].

Genetic operators, such as selection, crossover, and mutation, drive the evolution of solutions in a genetic algorithm. In the context of healthcare supplier selection, specific genetic operators can be tailored to address the problem's unique characteristics. For instance, selection mechanisms can be designed to prefer suppliers with higher quality or lower cost. Crossover and mutation operations can be adapted to preserve desirable supplier traits while exploring new combinations. To illustrate the application of genetic algorithms in healthcare supplier selection, let's consider a hypothetical case study. A hospital aims to select suppliers for medical equipment, pharmaceuticals, and surgical supplies. The evaluation criteria include cost, product quality, delivery time, and supplier certifications. The hospital wants to minimize costs while ensuring high-quality products and reliable deliveries [3].

Using a genetic algorithm, the hospital can encode suppliers as chromosomes with genes representing cost, quality, delivery time, and certifications. The objective function can aggregate these criteria, weighted according to the hospital's preferences. The fitness function will evaluate the suitability of each supplier based on the objective function. The genetic operators, such as selection, crossover, and mutation, will drive the evolution of supplier selections over multiple generations. The genetic algorithm will generate a diverse population of potential supplier selections and iteratively improve their quality. By considering multiple objectives simultaneously, the hospital can make informed decisions that balance cost and quality trade-offs. The algorithm can explore different combinations of suppliers, identifying optimal or near-optimal solutions that meet the hospital's requirements. Genetic algorithms offer a powerful and adaptable approach to healthcare supplier selection problems. By mimicking natural evolution, these algorithms optimize supplier selection decisions by considering multiple objectives and accommodating various constraints. The adaptations discussed, including objective function design, chromosome encoding, fitness evaluation, genetic operators, and constraint handling, enhance the effectiveness of genetic algorithms in the healthcare context [4].

While genetic algorithms provide significant benefits, they also pose computational complexity, parameter tuning, interpretability, and data availability challenges. Overcoming these challenges requires careful implementation, parameter optimization, and consideration of specific healthcare requirements. As the healthcare industry continues to evolve, genetic algorithms, combined with other advanced optimization techniques and data-driven approaches, have the potential to revolutionize supplier selection processes. By leveraging these algorithms, healthcare organizations can make well-informed decisions, optimize costs, enhance service quality, and ultimately improve patient care outcomes [5].

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

There are no conflicts of interest by author.

References

1. Dincer, Ibrahim and Canan Acar. "A review on clean energy solutions for better sustainability." *Int J Energy Res* 39 (2015): 585-606.
2. Alsharif, Mohammed H., Jeong Kim and Jin Hong Kim. "Opportunities and

***Address for Correspondence:** Ahmad Shaik, Department of Computer Science, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt, E-mail: shaikahmad55@yahoo.com*

Received: 01 May, 2023, Manuscript No. economics-23-102311; **Editor Assigned:** 03 May, 2023, PreQC No. P-102311; **Reviewed:** 15 May, 2023, QC No. Q-102311; **Revised:** 20 May, 2023, Manuscript No. R-102311; **Published:** 27 May, 2023, DOI: 10.37421/2375-4389.2023.11.409

challenges of solar and wind energy in South Korea: A review." *Sustainability* 10 (2018): 1822.

3. Inglesi-Lotz, Roula. "The impact of renewable energy consumption to economic growth: A panel data application." *Energy Econ* 53 (2016): 58-63.
4. Shahbaz, Muhammad, Chandrashekar Raghutla, Krishna Reddy Chittedi and Zhilun Jiao, et al. "The effect of renewable energy consumption on economic growth: Evidence from the renewable energy country attractive index." *Energy* 207 (2020): 118162.
5. Stangarone, Troy. "South Korean efforts to transition to a hydrogen economy." *Clean Technol Environ Policy* 23 (2021): 509-516.

How to cite this article: Shaik, Ahmad. “Genetic Algorithm with Adaptations for Healthcare Supplier Selection.” *J Glob Econ* 11 (2023): 409.