

Examining Socio-Technical Factors in Energy System Models: Present Situation and Future Directions

Verothe Merthi*

Department of Philosophy and Social Sciences, Kaunas University of Medicine, 44307 Kaunas, Lithuania

Abstract

The transition to sustainable and resilient energy systems is one of the most pressing challenges of our time. As we strive to mitigate climate change and secure energy access for growing populations, energy system models have become essential tools for planning and policy-making. Traditionally, these models have focused on the technical and economic aspects of energy systems, often overlooking the equally crucial socio-technical factors. This article aims to present the present situation of examining socio-technical factors in energy system models and suggest future directions for enhancing the integration of these vital aspects. Energy system models have typically emphasized the technical and economic dimensions, considering energy sources, technologies, costs, and emissions. While these are undoubtedly important, they represent only one side of the complex energy equation. Socio-technical factors encompass the social, cultural, political, and behavioral aspects that influence energy production, consumption, and management. Neglecting these factors can lead to models that are inadequate for understanding and guiding energy system transitions.

Keywords: Socio-technical • Policy-making • Multidisciplinary collaboration

Introduction

Most energy models predominantly focus on technical experts and policymakers while insufficiently engaging the broader spectrum of stakeholders, including local communities, industry actors, and civil society. This neglect often leads to inadequate consideration of social preferences, values, and concerns. Energy models often rely on uniform assumptions, such as uniform energy demand and consumer behavior. However, these assumptions do not reflect the diversity of human behavior, cultural values, or regional disparities in energy use. This can lead to models that are inaccurate and fail to represent the complexities of real-world energy systems. The role of behavioral change in energy system transitions is underemphasized in existing models. Factors like consumer preferences, adoption rates of new technologies, and responses to energy policies are critical for modeling realistic transition pathways. Neglecting these dynamics can lead to policy recommendations that are ineffective or counterproductive. Energy models often do not adequately consider the role of political and regulatory frameworks in shaping energy transitions. These frameworks influence market dynamics, investment decisions, and the deployment of energy technologies. Failure to account for these factors can result in models that provide incomplete guidance to policymakers [1,2].

Literature Review

Researchers and practitioners from diverse disciplines such as sociology, psychology, anthropology, and political science should collaborate with

**Address for Correspondence:* Verothe Merthi, Department of Philosophy and Social Sciences, Kaunas University of Medicine, 44307 Kaunas, Lithuania, E-mail: verothem@gmail.com

Copyright: © 2023 Merthi V. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 02 September, 2023, Manuscript No. assj-23-116668; **Editor Assigned:** 04 September, 2023, PreQC No. P-116668; **Reviewed:** 16 September, 2023, QC No. Q-116668; **Revised:** 21 September, 2023, Manuscript No. R-116668; **Published:** 28 September, 2023, DOI: 10.37421/2151-6200.2023.14.579

energy modelers. This interdisciplinary approach can help capture the socio-cultural and behavioral dynamics that shape energy systems. Energy models should engage a wider range of stakeholders, including local communities, industry representatives, and advocacy groups. Their input can enrich the modeling process by incorporating diverse perspectives and addressing local concerns. Energy models should incorporate insights from behavioral science to understand and predict consumer choices, preferences, and response to energy policies. Behavioral economics, for example, offers valuable tools to model and encourage energy-saving behaviors. Developing energy scenarios that consider socio-technical factors will help policymakers and planners better understand potential future pathways. These scenarios should account for cultural values, political dynamics, and the evolution of societal norms. Energy models must better integrate political and regulatory considerations, assessing the impact of changing policies on energy transitions. Models should be equipped to simulate the effects of various regulatory approaches, including subsidies, carbon pricing, and energy efficiency standards [3,4].

Discussion

Behavioral energy efficiency programs, such as energy-saving competitions and home energy reports, have proven effective in encouraging energy conservation. Integrating behavioral science into energy models can help forecast the impact of such programs and improve their design. In Denmark, community-based renewable energy projects have gained widespread support and accelerated the transition to green energy. Socio-technical modeling can analyze the factors that drive community engagement and success in these projects, leading to valuable insights for other regions. Collecting comprehensive data on socio-technical aspects of energy systems is crucial. Surveys, focus groups, and ethnographic studies can provide insights into consumer behavior, community dynamics, and cultural factors that influence energy transitions [5,6].

Conclusion

Energy system models are evolving to meet the challenges of the 21st century, and the integration of socio-technical factors is paramount. The present situation reveals a deficiency in considering these critical aspects of energy systems, but future directions offer a path toward more comprehensive, inclusive, and effective modeling. By embracing multidisciplinary collaboration,

engaging diverse stakeholders, and understanding behavioral dynamics, we can create energy models that provide more accurate insights and better inform sustainable energy transitions. As we work towards a future powered by cleaner and more equitable energy systems, socio-technical factors must be at the forefront of our modeling efforts. In regions with shale gas development, local resistance has hindered energy extraction. Socio-technical models can evaluate the factors contributing to this resistance, including concerns about water quality, community impacts, and political dynamics.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Bache, Ian, Louise Reardon and Paul Anand. "Wellbeing as a wicked problem: Navigating the arguments for the role of government." *J Happiness Stud* 17 (2016): 893-912.
2. Rehm, Jurgen and Kevin D. Shield. "Global burden of disease and the impact of mental and addictive disorders." *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 21 (2019): 1-7.
3. Jain, Mansi, Gagan Deep Sharma and Mandeep Mahendru. "Can i sustain my happiness? A review, critique and research agenda for economics of happiness." *Sustain* 11 (2019): 6375.
4. Jorgensen, Mikkel Kranker and Inna Sharf. "Effect of release conditions on casualty risk factor in uncontrolled re-entry of large space debris." *Adv Space Res* 68 (2021): 25-42.
5. Mangold, N., David Baratoux, O. Witasse, T. Encrenaz and C. Sotin. "Mars: A small terrestrial planet." *Astron Astrophys* 24 (2016): 1-107.
6. Barton, Jo and Jules Pretty. "What is the best dose of nature and green exercise for improving mental health? A multi-study analysis." *Environ Sci Technol* 44 (2010): 3947-3955.

How to cite this article: Merthi, Verothe. "Examining Socio-Technical Factors in Energy System Models: Present Situation and Future Directions." *Arts Social Sci J* 14 (2023): 579.