Brief Report
Volume 8:6, 2022

Journal of Pharmacognosy & Natural Products

ISSN: 2472-0992 Open Access

Evolution of Roman Medication through the Legendary Doctors

Nora Davis*

Department of Medicine, King Abdul Aziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Editorial Note

Galen, the medical man of Roman medication, created a major contribution through his teachings and writings towards moving medication forward as a profession. in contrast to those of medical man, several of Galen's works still exist as original or ancient transcripts, some even considerably older than the Venetian printing of the Hippocratic Corpus [1]. luckily, we've got rather more autobiographic data on anatomist than medical man that enables United States to follow his career mutually of Rome's greatest physicians and provides modern classicists like Vivian Nutton concrete proof of Galen's work and existence [2]. With twenty-one volumes still living, Galen's individual writings square measure longer in content than the whole Hippocratic Corpus. fifty three One explicit work written by anatomist that may be explored is Delaware Optimo Medico Cognoscendo, or On Examinations by that the simplest Physicians square measure Recognized, that was written around 177CE. anatomist wrote Delaware Optimo Medico Cognoscendo to supply Romans the simplest way to research doctors, however conjointly on however a doctor will become the simplest doc.54 to grasp Galen's analysis of Roman medication, we have a tendency to 1st have to be compelled to perceive Galen's history and the way it influenced the lens from that he viewed Roman medication [3].

These giant teams of apprentices prompted Martial to write down, "I was sickening; however you promptly attended Maine Symmachus, with a train of 100 apprentices. 100 hands opaque by the wind have pawed me; I had no fever before, Symmachus; currently I even have."77 whereas Martial is inscribing this principally for comedic result and should be exaggerating the number of apprentices Symmachus had, the sentiment of Romans towards doctors are often seen. This bad blood Martial writes towards doctors, particularly towards the place system, shows the most fault with the system is that doctors accepted payment from apprentices although it meant endangering patients, one thing anatomist didn't trust or follow [4].

While anatomist shows his talent in surgery and anatomical understanding, he asserts the simplest physicians square measure ready to cure patients while not having to perform surgery. anatomist writes, "I say that you simply ought to contemplate a doc learned and wise, trained and skillful, if he will cure with medication diseases that surgeons treat by creating incision."82 These medication were typically mixtures of assorted plants, spices, and powders that were circulated throughout the Roman Empire. In fact, works are written by authors that specialize in organizing the varied plants and mixtures used for medical functions, like Dioscorides, World Health Organization wrote regarding 600 remedies in his Delaware medicine [5]. eighty three These remedies were utilized in place of the surgeon's knife for diseases like excretory organ stones and were one more mark of a good doc, in step with anatomist. With of these skills and coaching anatomist suggested for doctors, one may suppose that Roman doctors were well-trained professionals. sadly for Romans, doctors were typically not professionally trained, and Roman society viewed them as charlatans. Earlier this paper mentioned Pliny's clarification, that was that Romans felt they must not have to be compelled to get hold of saving services.84 This read was additional supported by the very fact that several Roman believed solely foreigners and slaves stuffed the role of doctor.

References

1. Conrad Dean H, Jesse Goyette, and Paul S Thomas. "Proteomics as a method for early detection of cancer: a review of proteomics, exhaled breath condensate, and lung cancer screening." J Gen Intern Med 23 (2008): 78-84.
2. Panis Carolina, Luciana Pizzatti, Gustavo F Souza, and Eliana Abdelhay. "Clinical proteomics in cancer: Where we are." Cancer lett 382 (2016): 231-239.
3. Posadas Edwin M, Ben Davidson, and Elise C Kohn. "Proteomics and ovarian cancer: implications for diagnosis and treatment: a critical review of the recent literature." Curr Opin Oncol 16 (2004): 478-484.
4. Wu W, W Hu, and J. J. Kavanagh. "Proteomics in cancer research." Int J Gynecol Cancer 12 (2002).
5. Martin Daniel B, and Peter S Nelson. "From genomics to proteomics: techniques and applications in cancer research." Trends Cell Biol 11 (2001): 60-65.

How to cite this article: Davis Nora. "Evolution of Roman Medication through the Legendary Doctors." J Pharm Nat Prod 8 (2022) : 193

Address to Correspondence: Nora Davis, Department of Medicine, King Abdul Aziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; E-mail: ndavis207@yahoo.com

Date of Submission: 06 June, 2021, Manuscript No. jpnp-21-39481; **Editor Assigned:** 07 June, 2021, PreQC No. P-39481; **Reviewed:** 17 June, 2021, QC No. Q-39481; **Revised:** 22 June, 2021, Manuscript No. R-39481; **Published:** 29 June, 2022, DOI: 10.37421/2472-0992.22.8.193