

# Comparison to Traditional Methods Assisted by Surgical Robots

Roxanne Hines\*

Department of Robotics, Addis Ababa Science and Technology University, Ethiopia

## Editorial

Major advances assisted by surgical robots are remote surgery, minimally invasive surgery and pilotless surgery. Because of robotic use, the surgery is finished with precision, miniaturization, smaller incisions; diminished blood loss, less pain, and faster healing time. Articulation on the far side traditional manipulation and three-dimensional magnification facilitate to end in improved ergonomics. Because of these techniques, there's a reduced period of hospital stays, blood loss, transfusions, and use of pain medication. The prevailing open surgery technique has several flaws reminiscent of restricted access to the surgical area, long recovery time, long hours of operation, blood loss, surgical scars, and marks [1].

Surgeons report that, though the makers of such systems provide training on this new technology, the training section is intensive and surgeons should perform a hundred and fifty to 250 procedures to become adept in their use. Throughout the coaching phase, minimally invasive operations will take up to doubly as long as ancient surgery, resulting in operating theater tie-ups and surgical staffs keeping patients below physiological condition for extended periods. Patient surveys indicate they selected the procedure supported expectations of diminished morbidity, improved outcomes, reduced blood loss and less pain [2]. Higher expectations might justify higher rates of discontentment and regret. Compared with different minimally invasive surgery approaches, robot-assisted surgery offers better management over the surgical instruments and a more robust read of the surgical site. In addition, surgeons not got to stand throughout the surgery and don't get tired as quickly. Present hand tremors are filtered out by the automaton's pc software. Finally, the surgical robot will unceasingly be utilized by rotating surgery teams. Laparoscopic camera positioning is additionally considerably steadier with less unintended movements below robotic controls than compared to human assistance [3].

There are some problems with regard to current robotic surgery usage in clinical applications. There's a scarcity of haptics in some robotic systems presently in clinical use, which implies there is no force feedback, or bit feedback. Surgeons are therefore not capable to feel the interaction of the instrument with the patient. Some systems have already got this perception feedback so as to boost the interaction. The robots can even be terribly large, have instrumentation limitations, and there could also be problems with multi-quadrant surgery as current devices are exclusively used for single-quadrant application. Critics of the system, together with the yank Congress of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, say there's a steep learning curve for surgeons who adopt the employment of the system which there's a scarcity of

studies that indicate long-run results are superior to results following ancient laparoscopic surgery. Articles within the new created Journal of Robotic Surgery tend to report on one surgeon's experience. Complications involving robotic surgeries vary from changing the surgery to open, re-operation, permanent injury, and harm to viscus and nerve damage. From 2000 to 2011, out of seventy five hysterectomies through with robotic surgery, thirty four had permanent injury, and forty nine had damage to the viscera. Prostatectomies were a lot of susceptible to permanent injury, nerve damage and visceral damage as well terribly nominal surgeries during a style of specialties had to really be born-again to open or be re-operated on, however most did suffer some quite harm and/or injury [4]. For example, out of seven arterial coronaria bypass grafting, one patient had to travel below re-operation. It's vital that complications are captured, reported and evaluated to make sure the health profession is best educated on the security of this new technology. If one thing was to go wrong during a robot-assisted surgery, it is troublesome to spot culpability, and also the safety of the follow can influence however quickly and widespread these practices are used.

There are current ways of robotic surgery being marketed and publicized online. Removal of a cancerous prostate has been a well-liked treatment through net selling. Net marketing of medical devices are a lot of loosely regulated than pharmaceutical promotions. Several sites that claim the advantages of this kind of procedure had didn't mention risks and additionally provided unsupported evidence [5]. There's a problem with government and medical societies promotion a production of balanced academic material within the North American country alone, many websites promotion robotic surgery fail to say any risks related to these sorts of procedures, and hospitals providing materials mostly ignore risks, overestimate benefits and are powerfully influenced by the manufacturer.

## Conflict of Interest

None.

## References

1. Satava, Richard M. "Surgical robotics: the early chronicles: a personal historical perspective." *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 12 (2002): 6–16.
2. Felger, Jason E, L Wiley Nifong, and W Randolph Chitwood Jr. "The evolution of and early experience with robot assisted mitral valve surgery." *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 12 (2002): 58–63.
3. Marescaux, Jacques, Joel Leroy, Francesco Rubino, and Michelle Smith, et al. "Transcontinental robot-assisted remote telesurgery: feasibility and potential applications." *Ann Surg* 235 (2002): 487–492.
4. Cheah, WK, B Lee, J E Lenzi, and P M Goh. "Telesurgical laparoscopic cholecystectomy between two countries." *Surg Endosc* 14 (2000): 1085.
5. Jones, SB, and DB Jones. "Surgical aspects and future developments in laparoscopy." *Anesthesiol Clin North Am* 19 (2001): 107–124.

\*Address for Correspondence: Roxanne Hines, Department of Robotics, Addis Ababa Science and Technology University, Ethiopia, E-mail: Roxannehines34@gmail.com

**Copyright:** © 2022 Hines R. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Received** 01 January, 2022, Manuscript No: ara-21-49196; **Editor assigned:** 03 January, 2022, PreQC No: P-49196; **Reviewed:** 5 January, 2022, QC No: Q-49196; **Revised:** 10 January, 2022, Manuscript No: R-49196; **Published:** 15 January, 2022, DOI: 10.4172/ara.2022.11.190

**How to cite this article:** Hines, Roxanne. "Comparison to Traditional Methods Assisted by Surgical Robots." *Adv Robot Autom* 11(2022): 190.