Open Access

A Comment on Current-edition Phylogenetics

Zhengo Lin*

Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Rice University, USA

Brief Report

Humans have been interested in the origins of life since the beginning of recorded history. Aristotle was the first Western philosopher–scientist to record a scheme that categorically organised life on Earth. The finding of fossil evidence of prior life on Earth made us vividly aware that life on Earth has come and gone in a variety of ways. Extinct organisms were organised in relation to themselves as well as to extant organisms (e.g., dinosaurs to birds) using comparable morphological traits (e.g., relative femur size and orientation). The main principle of these approaches was that creatures with a higher degree of similarity would have more in common.

The study and refinement of these approaches in the context of evolutionary history, portrayed as hierarchical relationships similar to Darwin's figure, became known as phylogenetics, which aimed to identify how creatures (as species) emerged in relation to one another over evolutionary time. Because phylogenetic methodologies depict evolution in a systematic fashion, the field of phylogenetics is also known as systematics. Phylogenetics is the study of the evolutionary history and relationships between or within groups of species in biology. Phylogenetic inference approaches that focus on observed heritable features, such as DNA sequences, protein amino acid sequences, or morphology, are used to discover these relationships. The points of a phylogenetic tree can be living organisms or fossils, and they signify the "end" or current point in an evolutionary lineage. There are two types of phylogenetic diagrams: rooted and unrooted.

Phylogenetic analyses are frequently used to describe relationships between genes or individual organisms, in addition to inferring phylogenetic patterns among species. Such applications have proven critical to comprehending biodiversity, evolution, ecology, and genomics. Systematics includes phylogenetics. The identifying, naming, and classification of organisms is known as taxonomy. Many systematists believe that only monophyletic taxa should be recognised as named groups, and that classifications should henceforth be based on phylogenetic data. Phylogenetic approaches are classified into two types: phenetic and cladistic. The phenetic approach, often known as numerical taxonomy, proposes a possible evolutionary order based on overall correlations. The fundamental phenetic technique is known as Minimum Evolution, and it seeks to reduce the overall observed change between the entities (e.g., organisms) under consideration, known as taxa (singular taxon). To propose phenetic relationships, heuristic methods (e.g., Neighbor-Joining) are used. From a computational standpoint, phenetic techniques are appealing due to their algorithmic nature: all pair-wise comparisons between all taxa are first performed, arranged into a similarity matrix, and then hierarchically clustered according to this matrix. Cladistic approaches are used to characterise evolutionary history in terms of individual evolutionary units (e.g., nucleic acids from DNA). Willi Hennig's Phylogenetic Systematics was the first to outline the foundation and theory of cladistic techniques (1966). There are two types of cladistic approaches that are commonly used: non-statistical and statistical. Non-statistical methods (e.g., maximum parsimony) presume equivocal models of evolution, whereas statistical methods (e.g., maximum likelihood and Bayesian) employ statistical models of evolution to infer phylogenetic relationships [1-5].

Typical phylogenetic inference methods include computational approaches based on optimality criteria and methodologies such as parsimony, maximum likelihood (ML), and MCMC-based Bayesian inference. All of this is dependent on an implicit or explicit mathematical model that describes the evolution of the observable features. Phenetics, which was popular in the mid-twentieth century but is now largely obsolete, used distance matrix-based methods to build trees based on overall similarity in morphology or similar observable traits (i.e. in the phenotype or overall similarity of DNA, not the DNA sequence), which was often assumed to approximate phylogenetic relationships. The scientific community is facing unprecedented challenges as the generation and availability of diverse sorts of biological data in various forms continues to grow. Phylogenetic approaches give us the tools we need to organise and analyse this data in the context of evolutionary history.

References

1. Noble, Denis. "Conrad Waddington and the origin of epigenetics." *Exp Biol* 218 (2015): 816-818.

2. Heard, Edith, and Robert A. Martienssen. "Transgenerational epigenetic inheritance: myths and mechanisms." *Cell* 157 (2014): 95-109.

3. Youngson, Neil A. and Emma Whitelaw. "Transgenerational epigenetic effects." *Annu Rev Genom Hum Genet* 9 (2008): 233-257.

4. Jablonka, Eva. "The evolutionary implications of epigenetic inheritance." *Interface focus* 7 (2017): 20160135.

5. Jablonka, Eva, and Gal Raz. "Transgenerational epigenetic inheritance: prevalence, mechanisms, and implications for the study of heredity and evolution." *Q Rev Biol* 84 (2009): 131-176.

*Address for Correspondence: Zhengo Lin, Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Rice University, USA, E-mail: zhenglin12@gmail.com