

Advancing the Battle against Surgical Wound Infections: Unveiling the Complexities of Biofilm Formation and Pioneering Strategies for Effective Treatment and Prevention

Michal Ulrikka*

Department of Infectious Diseases, University of Bristol, Beacon House, Queens Rd, Bristol BS8 1QU, UK

Abstract

Surgical wound infections pose a significant challenge in healthcare settings, contributing to patient morbidity, prolonged hospital stays and increased healthcare costs. Among the intricate factors that contribute to the persistence and severity of these infections is the formation of biofilms. Biofilms are complex communities of microorganisms that attach to surfaces and form a protective matrix, making them highly resistant to conventional antimicrobial therapies. Understanding the complexities of biofilm formation and developing innovative strategies for their prevention and treatment are crucial in advancing the battle against surgical wound infections. The inherent resistance of biofilms makes them notoriously difficult to eliminate, leading to chronic infections and recurrent wound complications. Moreover, biofilms can develop on a variety of medical devices, such as surgical implants, catheters and wound dressings, further complicating the management of surgical wounds.

Keywords: Surgical wound • Biofilms formation • Matrix

Introduction

Biofilms are formed when bacteria adhere to a surface and produce an extracellular matrix consisting of polysaccharides, proteins and DNA. This matrix acts as a shield, protecting the bacteria from antibiotics, host immune responses and physical removal. Within the biofilm, bacteria communicate and coordinate their activities, further enhancing their resistance and survival. The biofilm structure provides a conducive environment for bacterial growth and proliferation, leading to chronic infections and recurrent wound complications [1]. Biofilm-related infections in surgical wounds present unique challenges compared to planktonic bacterial infections. The traditional approach of administering antibiotics to eradicate bacteria is often ineffective against biofilms.

The complex three-dimensional structure of biofilms hinders the penetration of antimicrobial agents, rendering them less effective. Moreover, the bacteria within biofilms exhibit altered gene expression patterns, leading to decreased susceptibility to antibiotics. This altered gene expression also contributes to the formation of persister cells, a small subpopulation of bacteria that enter a dormant state and are highly tolerant to antibiotics. These persister cells can reactivate and repopulate the biofilm, leading to recurring infections even after apparent clearance. Prevention of biofilm formation in surgical wounds is crucial in reducing the incidence of biofilm-related infections [2]. Strategies for prevention include: Strict adherence to aseptic techniques during surgery to minimize bacterial contamination. Proper wound care and management, including regular cleansing, debridement and appropriate dressings. Implementation of infection control protocols, including proper hand hygiene, sterilization and environmental cleaning.

**Address for Correspondence: Michal Ulrikka, Department of Infectious Diseases, University of Bristol, Beacon House, Queens Rd, Bristol BS8 1QU, UK, E-mail: Michal.U@gmail.com*

Copyright: © 2023 Ulrikka M. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 01 June, 2023, Manuscript No. jid-23-101462; **Editor Assigned:** 03 June, 2023, Pre QC No. P-101462; **Reviewed:** 17 June, 2023, QC No. Q-101462; **Revised:** 22 June, 2023, Manuscript No. R-101462; **Published:** 29 June, 2023, DOI: 10.37421/2684-4559.2023.7.207

Description

Addressing biofilm-related infections requires innovative treatment approaches that specifically target biofilm bacteria. Some emerging strategies include: Agents that can degrade the biofilm matrix, such as enzymes (e.g., dispersin B, DNase) or chelating agents, can help weaken the biofilm structure, making it more susceptible to antimicrobial agents. Simultaneous administration of multiple antimicrobial agents with different mechanisms of action can enhance biofilm eradication. This approach includes combining antibiotics, biofilm disruptors, or synergistic combinations of antimicrobial agents [3]. Certain compounds can enhance the efficacy of antibiotics against biofilms. These potentiators work by improving antibiotic penetration, disrupting biofilm integrity, or targeting persister cells.

Development of innovative coatings for medical implants and devices that prevent biofilm formation or inhibit bacterial adhesion can significantly reduce the risk of biofilm-related infections. Boosting the host immune response against biofilms through immunomodulatory therapies or vaccination strategies shows promise in preventing and treating biofilm-related infections. Various mechanisms contribute to the resilience of biofilms, including slow bacterial growth, altered gene expression and the presence of persister cells [4]. Persister cells are a small subpopulation of bacteria that enter a dormant state, rendering them impervious to antibiotics. These cells can later reactivate and repopulate the biofilm, leading to recurrent infections. Additionally, the complex three-dimensional structure of biofilms hinders the penetration of antibiotics, further reducing their effectiveness.

Researchers are exploring the development of novel antimicrobial agents capable of penetrating and disrupting biofilms. These agents may include nanoparticles, enzymes and antimicrobial peptides that can target the biofilm matrix and eradicate the bacteria within. Another approach involves the use of compounds that can disrupt the biofilm structure and make it more susceptible to antimicrobial treatment. Enzymes such as dispersin B and DNase have shown promise in degrading the biofilm matrix and enhancing antibiotic efficacy [5]. The battle against surgical wound infections requires a multifaceted approach that addresses the complexities of biofilm formation. By unraveling the mechanisms underlying biofilm resistance and employing pioneering strategies for prevention and treatment, significant progress can be made in combating these challenging infections.

Combining different antimicrobial agents with distinct mechanisms of action can effectively target biofilm bacteria. This approach may involve combining antibiotics with biofilm disruptors or utilizing synergistic combinations of antimicrobial peptides. Modifying the surface of medical devices to make

them less prone to biofilm formation is another promising strategy. These modifications can include the application of antimicrobial coatings, incorporating bactericidal materials, or creating nanostructured surfaces that discourage bacterial attachment. Boosting the host immune response against biofilms is a potential avenue for biofilm management. Immunomodulatory therapies, such as stimulating immune cell activity or enhancing wound healing processes, may help in the prevention and clearance of biofilms.

Conclusion

Biofilm formation in surgical wound infections presents a formidable challenge to healthcare providers. By unraveling the complexities of biofilm formation and developing novel prevention and treatment strategies, we can advance our ability to effectively combat these infections. Emphasizing prevention measures, exploring innovative therapies and fostering interdisciplinary collaborations are crucial steps in the ongoing battle against biofilm-related surgical wound infections. Continued research and clinical implementation of these strategies will lead to improved patient outcomes and a reduction in the burden of these challenging infections. Collaboration between researchers, clinicians and industry partners is vital to translate these innovative approaches into clinical practice, ultimately improving patient outcomes and reducing the burden of surgical wound infections.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Campoccia, Davide, Lucio Montanaro, Pietro Speziale and Carla Renata Arciola. "Antibiotic-loaded biomaterials and the risks for the spread of antibiotic resistance following their prophylactic and therapeutic clinical use." *Biomater* 31 (2010): 6363-6377.
2. Lebeaux, David, Ashwini Chauhan, Olaya Rendueles and Christophe Beloin. "From *in vitro* to *in vivo* models of bacterial biofilm-related infections." *Pathog* 2 (2013): 288-356.
3. Razdan, Karan, Jorge Garcia-Lara, V. R. Sinha and Kamalinder K. Singh. "Pharmaceutical strategies for the treatment of bacterial biofilms in chronic wounds." *Drug Discov Today* (2022).
4. Versey, Zoya, Waleska Stephanie da Cruz Nizer, Emily Russell and Sandra Zigic, et al. "Biofilm-innate immune interface: Contribution to chronic wound formation." *Front Immunol* 12 (2021): 648554.
5. Kalan, Lindsay and Elizabeth A. Grice. "Fungi in the wound microbiome." *Adv Wound Care* 7 (2018): 247-255.

How to cite this article: Ulrikka, Michal. "Advancing the Battle against Surgical Wound Infections: Unveiling the Complexities of Biofilm Formation and Pioneering Strategies for Effective Treatment and Prevention." *Clin Infect Dis* 7 (2023): 207.