Opinion
Volume 7:9, 2022

Advances in Recycling & Waste Management

ISSN: 2475-7675

Economic Situation for Circularization of Clinical Plastics

Calvin Lakhan*

Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

Introduction

These single-use medical plastic wastes are either burned, which contributes to global warming, or landfilled, which depletes resources. Leaking plastic poses a serious hazard to the ecosystem. This take-make-dispose linear plastics economy paradigm must be replaced by a circular plastics economy, which involves sorting plastic wastes, washing them to remove contaminants, recovering the materials, blending them with bio-based compounds as needed, and recycling the plastics. The cost of electricity, labour, and chemicals are the three main factors impacting the cost of producing secondary or recycled plastics. As a result, government and policy support is required, such as the imposition of a gate tax on plastic trash from generators to recyclers..

A macroeconomic requirement for technologically (or microeconomically) practical plastic waste recycling is low oil and gas costs, which have an impact on the cost of recycled plastics and power. De-fossilizating the economy is essential to promoting the circular economy by replacing fossil-derived plastics with renewable biopolymers and decoupling renewable power production from natural gas usage. This study offers a complete and trustworthy technoeconomic analysis of mechanical recycling of medical plastic wastes into secondary plastics recovery [1].

Description

From 2 million tonnes to 2 billion tonnes, plastics were produced worldwide. The weakest management and accountability practises are seen in the clinical or medical plastics sector. The healthcare and laboratory industries have switched over to clinical plastics from ceramic or glass because of its durability, unbreakability, tenacity, and multifaceted capabilities, which offer superior health and safety performances. However, the ecology is seriously threatened by their pollution. Most of them are made of single-use plastics. Due to the potential for global warming when cremated and the usage of single-use therapeutic plastics, there are substantial environmental and sustainability concerns. Single-use clinical plastic wastes may not be recyclable for material recovery due to their biochemical risks. Single-use medical plastics with low levels of danger can be recycled for secondary material recovery.

The healthcare industry finds it challenging to sort and separate single-use clinical plastic trash because preserving lives is doctors' and nurses' primary concern. Recycling is challenging since all single-use clinical plastic waste is thrown in an unsorted manner. Priority is given to secondary material recovery above quaternary recovery, which includes energy recovered from incineration and landfilling, and tertiary recovery, which includes chemical recovery. If reuse of single-use clinical plastic wastes is not a possibility, secondary material recovery by mechanical recycling basically putting remanufactured plastics back into the value chain is the most desired choice for the environment and sustainability. However, a further issue is the low-grade quality of recovered secondary plastics due to contamination and probable decontamination methods, which is a difficulty in addition to segregation at the source [2].

All of these barriers make it economically unviable to recycle single-use clinical plastic waste, demanding public, governmental, or regulatory support. Techno-economic feasibility evaluations and rigorous scoping of the issue are both necessary, but neither have been addressed in the literature. The objective of this study is to evaluate the technical and financial viability of remanufacturing, mechanical recycling, and secondary recycling of clinical plastic waste in order to establish the prerequisites for a circular clinical plastics economy. Given that there are currently no trustworthy economic data on therapeutic plastics, the analyses offered here is particularly helpful [3].

All of these barriers make it economically unviable to recycle single-use clinical plastic waste, demanding public, governmental, or regulatory support. Techno-economic feasibility evaluations and rigorous scoping of the issue are both necessary, but neither have been addressed in the literature. The objective of this study is to evaluate the technical and financial viability of remanufacturing, mechanical recycling, and secondary recycling of clinical plastic waste in order to establish the prerequisites for a circular clinical plastics economy. Given that there are currently no trustworthy economic data on therapeutic plastics, the analyses offered here is particularly helpful.

In addition to the processing procedures, a full analytical testing suite must be employed to confirm that the remanufactured plastics are recyclable. To ascertain whether a single-use clinical plastic waste recycling project is feasible or not, as well as whether post-use clinical plastic items are recyclable by which processing stages at what cost, all these processing steps and research and development capabilities must be costed in. The literature does not address this research question. Using incineration with energy recovery to reduce illegal or mixed disposal of medical plastics in could have positive effects on the environment and the economy, according to a high-level macroeconomic analysis based on material flow analysis [4,5].

Conclusion

Clinical/medical plastics perform better in terms of health and safety than glass or ceramic counterparts in the healthcare and laboratory industries. On the other hand, these plastics are created from single-use polymers that are produced from fossil fuels. 95% of used clinical plastics are disposed of or burned after use, depleting resources. Plastic garbage can contaminate the environment for a very long time, harming many different species. When reuse is not an option, the least harmful and most efficient way to create a circular plastics economy is through secondary or mechanical recycling or remanufacturing. The procedure entails washing, sterilisation, drying, shredding, and micro-extrusion, as well as mixing with bio-based substances where necessary to meet the requirements of recyclat. Plastic waste or second-hand materials are used to make these pellets. There is a need for standardisation and marketability

Acknowledgement

None.

***Address for Correspondence:** Calvin Lakhan, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria, E-mail: lakhancal55@gmail.com*

Received: 02 September, 2022, Manuscript No. arwm-23-86021; **Editor Assigned:** 05 September, 2022, PreQC No. P-86021; **Reviewed:** 16 September, 2022, QC No. Q-86021; **Revised:** 21 September, 2022, Manuscript No. R-86021; **Published:** 28 September, 2022, DOI: 10.37421/2475-7675.2022.7.250

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Dharmaraj, Selvakumar, Veeramuthu Ashokkumar, Rajesh Pandiyan and Heli Siti Halimatul Munawaroh, et al. "Pyrolysis: An effective technique for degradation of COVID-19 medical wastes." Chemosphere 275 (2021): 130092.
2. Geyer, Roland, Jenna R. Jambeck and Kara Lavender Law. "Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made." *Sci Adv* 3 (2017): e1700782.
3. Lee, Byeong-Kyu, Michael J. Ellenbecker and Rafael Moure-Eraso. "Analyses of the recycling potential of medical plastic wastes." *Waste Manag* 22 (2002): 461-470.
4. Rosenboom, Jan-Georg, Robert Langer and Giovanni Traverso. "Bioplastics for a circular economy." *Nat Rev Mater* 7 (2022): 117-137.
5. Ozimek, Jan and Krzysztof Pielichowski. "Recent advances in polyurethane/POSS hybrids for biomedical applications." Molecules 27 (2021): 40,

How to cite this article: Lakhan, Calvin. “Economic Situation for Circularization of Clinical Plastics." *Adv Recycling Waste Manag* 7 (2022): 250.