**Commentary**
Volume 13:05, 2023

Journal of Bioprocessing & Biotechniques

ISSN: 2155-9821

Open Access

# Problems and Solutions in the Chemical and Biological Oxidation of Methane

**Dipyant Sani***

*Department of Biotechnology, Science and engineering university in Rapid City, Rapid City, USA*

## Introduction

Methane is a potent greenhouse gas with a global warming potential more than 25 times that of carbon dioxide over a 100-year period. It is emitted from various sources, including natural processes like wetlands and volcanoes, as well as human activities such as the extraction and transport of natural gas, livestock digestion, and the decomposition of organic waste in landfills. Given its significant role in climate change, reducing methane emissions has become a critical environmental concern. One of the primary methods for mitigating methane emissions is through oxidation, which can occur via both chemical and biological processes. These methods have the potential to convert methane into less harmful compounds such as carbon dioxide and water. However, they come with their own set of challenges and complexities. This article explores the mechanisms of methane oxidation, the challenges associated with both chemical and biological methods, and the innovative solutions that are being developed to address these challenges [1].

Methane oxidation is the process of converting methane into less harmful products, primarily carbon dioxide and water. This process is essential for reducing methane emissions into the atmosphere. There are two primary pathways for methane oxidation: chemical and biological. Chemical methane oxidation typically occurs through the use of catalysts, such as noble metals or transition metal oxides. While chemical oxidation is highly efficient, it often requires high temperatures and pressures, making it energy-intensive and economically impractical for widespread use. Additionally, the use of precious metals in catalysts can be costly and limits scalability [2,3].

Biological methane oxidation, on the other hand, is carried out by methanotrophic microorganisms, which are capable of metabolizing methane as their primary carbon and energy source. These microorganisms are found in various environments, including soil, sediments, and aquatic systems. They play a crucial role in mitigating methane emissions from natural sources. Methanotrophs can be divided into two groups: type I and type II. Type I methanotrophs are typically associated with higher methane concentrations, while type II methanotrophs are found in environments with lower methane levels. Both types of methanotrophs utilize the Enzyme Methane Monooxygenase (MMO) to convert methane into methanol and, subsequently, into formaldehyde and formate. These intermediates are further metabolized into carbon dioxide and water through the Tricarboxylic Acid (TCA) cycle. Biological methane oxidation has several advantages, including lower energy requirements, the ability to operate at ambient temperatures and pressures, and the potential for use in a wide range of applications, including wastewater treatment and biogas production.

While chemical methane oxidation offers high efficiency and rapid conversion of methane, it faces several significant challenges that limit its widespread application. One of the primary challenges in chemical methane oxidation is the high energy input required. The reaction between methane and oxygen to produce carbon dioxide and water is thermodynamically favorable, but it often necessitates elevated temperatures and pressures to achieve practical reaction rates. This makes the process energy-intensive and expensive, hindering its feasibility in many applications [4].

## Description

Catalyst deactivation is another issue in chemical methane oxidation. Over time, catalysts can become deactivated due to the formation of carbonaceous deposits, or "coking," on their surfaces. This coking significantly reduces catalyst activity and efficiency. Researchers are actively working to develop catalysts that are more resistant to deactivation or can be easily regenerated. Many chemical oxidation processes rely on noble metal catalysts, which are expensive. The high cost of these materials limits the scalability and affordability of chemical methane oxidation methods. Finding alternative, more cost-effective catalysts is an ongoing challenge. While chemical oxidation can effectively convert methane into less harmful compounds, it can also produce harmful byproducts, such as nitrogen oxidesand carbon monoxide. These byproducts have their own negative environmental impacts, which must be addressed to ensure that chemical oxidation processes are environmentally friendly.

Efforts are being made to discover and design catalysts that are more efficient, durable, and cost-effective. Transition metal oxides, non-noble metal catalysts, and even catalysts derived from natural materials like zeolites are under investigation. These alternative catalysts aim to improve reaction rates, reduce energy requirements, and resist deactivation. Innovative reactor designs are being explored to enhance the performance of chemical methane oxidation. These designs may involve structured catalysts, such as monoliths, and improved heat and mass transfer mechanisms. Such designs can maximize methane conversion and minimize energy input.

Optimizing process conditions, including temperature, pressure, and gas composition, can improve the efficiency of chemical methane oxidation. Researchers are focusing on fine-tuning these parameters to reduce energy consumption and enhance the overall process. Efforts are underway to address the environmental concerns associated with chemical methane oxidation. This includes developing methods to capture and treat harmful byproducts like NOx and CO, ensuring that the process is environmentally sustainable. While biological methane oxidation offers several advantages, it is not without its challenges. These challenges primarily revolve around the limitations of methanotrophic microorganisms and their application in various settings. One of the main challenges in biological methane oxidation is the relatively slow reaction rates of methanotrophic microorganisms. This limitation can hinder the practicality of using biological methods in certain applications, particularly those that require rapid methane conversion. Methanotrophs require specific environmental conditions to thrive, such as the presence of oxygen, appropriate pH levels, and the absence of inhibitory substances. These conditions may not always be readily available in industrial or natural settings, limiting the applicability of biological methods [5].

## Conclusion

In some environments, methanotrophs face competition from other

***Address for Correspondence:** Dipyant Sani, Department of Biotechnology, Science and engineering university in Rapid City, Rapid City, USA; E-mail: dipyantsani7@gmail.com*

**Received:** 28 August 2023, Manuscript No. jbpbt-23-116672; **Editor Assigned:** 30 August 2023, PreQC No. P-116672; **Reviewed:** 12 September 2023, QC No. Q-116672; **Revised:** 19 September 2023, Manuscript No. R-116672; **Published:** 28 September 2023, DOI: 10.37421/2155-9821.2023.13.591

microorganisms for methane and other resources. This competition can reduce their methane oxidation efficiency. Methanotrophic microorganisms often form biofilms, which are communities of cells embedded in a matrix of Extracellular Polymeric Substances (EPS). Biofilm formation can lead to reduced mass transfer rates and diffusion limitations, affecting the overall efficiency of biological methane oxidation processes. Scientists are focusing on strain improvement techniques to enhance the metabolic capabilities of methanotrophic microorganisms. This includes genetic engineering to optimize their methane oxidation pathways and increase reaction rates.In the context of biogas production and wastewater treatment, engineers are developing advanced biore

## Acknowledgement

None.

## Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest by author.

## References

1. Peng, Yang, Vaiva Krungleviciute, Ibrahim Eryazici and Joseph T. Hupp, et al. "Methane storage in metal–organic frameworks: Current records, surprise findings, and challenges." *J Am Chem Soc* 135 (2013): 11887-11894.
2. Alrashed, Wael, Rashmi Chandra, Timothy Abbott and Hyung-Sool Lee. "Nitrite reduction using a Membrane Biofilm Reactor (MBfR) in a hypoxic environment with dilute methane under low pressures." *Sci Total Environ* 841 (2022): 156757.
3. Lee, Sang Gui, Jae Hwan Goo, Hee Gon Kim and Jeong-Il Oh, et al. "Optimization of methanol biosynthesis from methane using methylosinus trichosporium ob3b." *Biotechnol Lett* 26 (2004): 947-950.
4. Nair, Lakshana G., Komal Agrawal and Pradeep Verma. "An insight into the principles of lignocellulosic biomass-based zero-waste biorefineries: A green leap towards imperishable energy-based future." *Biotechnol Genet Eng Rev* 38 (2022): 288-338.
5. Kapoore, Rahul Vijay, Gloria Padmaperuma, Supattra Maneein and Seetharaman Vaidyanathan. "Co-culturing microbial consortia: Approaches for applications in biomanufacturing and bioprocessing." *Crit Rev Biotechnol* 42 (2022): 46-72.

**How to cite this article:** Sani, Dipyant. “Problems and Solutions in the Chemical and Biological Oxidation of Methane.” *J Bioprocess Biotech* 13 (2023): 591.