ISSN: 2952-8097 Open Access

# Wildlife Emergency Response Services: Addressing Threats to Wildlife Caused by Human and Non-Human Activities

#### Michele Barrea\*

Department of Forest and Wildlife Ecology, University of Wisconsin, Wisconsin USDA-National Wildlife Research Center-Predator Research Facility, USA

#### **Abstract**

Wildlife plays a vital role in maintaining the balance of ecosystems and contributes to the overall health of our planet. However, human activities and other non-human threats pose significant risks to wildlife populations worldwide. In response to these dangers, Wildlife Emergency Response Services (WERS) have emerged as essential organizations dedicated to safeguarding and protecting wildlife. This article explores the various threats posed by human beings and non-human factors to wildlife and delves into the strategies employed by WERS to address these dangers effectively.

Keywords: Wildlife Emergency Response Services (WERS) • Wildlife • Habitats • Wildlife populations

## Introduction

One of the primary threats to wildlife is habitat destruction, primarily driven by urbanization, deforestation, and agricultural expansion. Human activities lead to the fragmentation and loss of critical habitats, disrupting ecosystems and displacing numerous species. Industrial and domestic pollution adversely affect wildlife. Chemical spills, improper waste disposal, and pollution of air, water, and soil introduce toxins into ecosystems, impacting wildlife health and survival. Oil spills, for instance, have devastating consequences on marine life, leading to habitat degradation and wildlife fatalities. Poaching and the illegal wildlife trade remain significant concerns, driven by demand for animal parts, trophies, and exotic pets. These activities decimate populations of endangered species, disrupt ecosystems, and contribute to the spread of zoonotic diseases. Anthropogenic climate change poses a severe threat to wildlife. Rising temperatures, altered precipitation patterns, and extreme weather events disrupt natural habitats and migration patterns. Species are forced to adapt or face increased vulnerability to extinction.

## **Literature Review**

Predation is a natural process in ecosystems, but the introduction of nonnative predators can have detrimental effects on native wildlife. Invasive species, such as feral cats, can cause significant declines in bird populations and disrupt fragile ecosystems. Wildlife is susceptible to various diseases and parasites, both naturally occurring and introduced. Examples include white-nose syndrome in bats, chytrid fungus in amphibians, and avian malaria in birds. These ailments can decimate entire populations and have cascading effects on ecosystems. Natural disasters like wildfires, hurricanes, and floods pose immediate threats to wildlife [1]. They can result in habitat loss, displacement, and increased mortality rates. The increasing frequency and intensity of these events exacerbate the challenges faced by wildlife populations.

\*Address for Correspondence: Michele Barrea, Department of Forest and Wildlife Ecology, University of Wisconsin, Wisconsin USDA-National Wildlife Research Center-Predator Research Facility, USA, E-mail: arrea.b45@michele.wits.taute.org

Copyright: © 2023 Barrea M. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 02 June, 2023, Manuscript No. ahbs-23-106448; Editor Assigned: 05 June, 2023, PreQC No. P-106448; Reviewed: 16 June, 2023, QC No. Q-106448; Revised: 21 June, 2023, Manuscript No. R-106448; Published: 28 June, 2023, DOI: 10.37421/2952-8097.2023.7.198

WERS play a crucial role in rescuing and rehabilitating injured, orphaned, or displaced wildlife. These organizations have skilled professionals who provide medical care, nutrition, and shelter to ensure the recovery and eventual release of animals back into the wild. WERS focus on restoring and preserving critical habitats to support wildlife populations. They collaborate with conservation agencies, government bodies, and local communities to implement sustainable practices, such as reforestation, wetland restoration, and protection of key habitats

WERS engage in educational programs to raise awareness about the importance of wildlife conservation and the threats facing various species. Through community outreach initiatives, they empower individuals to take action and make informed choices that benefit wildlife and their habitats [2]. These organizations advocate for wildlife-friendly policies and regulations at local, national, and international levels. By partnering with government agencies and stakeholders, WERS work towards enacting and enforcing legislation that safeguards wildlife and their habitats.

WERS conduct scientific research and monitoring programs to gather data on wildlife populations, habitat health, and threats. This information helps identify trends, develop conservation strategies, and assess the effectiveness of intervention efforts [3]. Wildlife populations face numerous threats from human activities and non-human factors, resulting in biodiversity loss and ecological imbalances. Wildlife Emergency Response Services (WERS) have emerged as critical organizations dedicated to addressing these threats and safeguarding wildlife. This discussion delves into the role of WERS in mitigating risks to wildlife, highlighting their rescue and rehabilitation efforts, habitat restoration initiatives, education and outreach programs, advocacy work, and research endeavors.

## **Discussion**

One of the primary roles of WERS is rescuing and rehabilitating injured, orphaned, or displaced wildlife. These organizations employ trained professionals who provide immediate medical care, nourishment, and shelter to animals in need. Through their expertise, they strive to ensure the recovery and eventual release of these animals back into their natural habitats. This aspect of WERS work is crucial in mitigating the impacts of human-caused threats such as habitat destruction, pollution, and poaching. By rehabilitating wildlife, WERS contribute to maintaining viable populations and supporting ecosystem functioning [4].

WERS recognize the importance of preserving and restoring critical habitats to sustain wildlife populations. They collaborate with conservation agencies, government bodies, and local communities to implement practices that help restore ecosystems. Reforestation projects, wetland restoration, and protection of key habitats are some of the strategies employed. By restoring habitats, WERS address the impacts of human activities such as deforestation and urbanization, aiming to provide suitable environments for wildlife to thrive. These

efforts contribute to the overall health and resilience of ecosystems, enabling wildlife populations to rebound.

Raising awareness and fostering a sense of responsibility towards wildlife conservation are vital aspects of WERS work. These organizations engage in educational programs and community outreach initiatives to disseminate knowledge about the importance of wildlife and the threats they face. Through their advocacy efforts, they aim to mitigate threats such as poaching, illegal wildlife trade, and habitat destruction. The collective voice of WERS strengthens the conservation agenda and ensures that wildlife's interests are represented.

WERS contribute significantly to scientific research and monitoring programs focused on wildlife populations and their habitats. By conducting surveys, gathering data, and analyzing trends, these organizations provide critical information for conservation planning and management [5]. Research efforts help identify key threats, evaluate the effectiveness of intervention strategies, and develop evidence-based conservation practices. WERS collaborate with universities, research institutions, and conservation bodies to generate valuable insights that inform decision-making processes. Through research and monitoring, WERS continuously enhance their understanding of wildlife and contribute to the conservation knowledge base.

While WERS play a vital role in addressing threats to wildlife, numerous challenges persist. Limited funding, lack of public awareness, and the scale of human-induced threats pose significant obstacles. To overcome these challenges, collaborative efforts are required. Governments, communities, and individuals must recognize the importance of wildlife conservation and support WERS through funding, volunteering, and advocacy. Strengthening international cooperation and enforcing wildlife protection laws is also crucial in curbing illegal activities such as poaching and illegal wildlife trade.

Wildlife Emergency Response Services play a pivotal role in mitigating threats to wildlife caused by human activities and non-human factors. Through their rescue and rehabilitation efforts, habitat restoration initiatives, education and outreach programs, advocacy work, and research endeavors, WERS contribute to safeguarding wildlife populations and preserving ecosystems. However, the challenges faced by WERS call for collective action and commitment to wildlife conservation from various stakeholders. By working together, we can create a future where wildlife thrives, and the delicate balance of ecosystems is maintained [6].

#### Conclusion

Wildlife Emergency Response Services play a pivotal role in mitigating threats to wildlife caused by human activities and non-human factors. Through

their rescue and rehabilitation efforts, habitat restoration initiatives, education and outreach programs, advocacy work, and research endeavors, WERS contribute to safeguarding wildlife populations and preserving ecosystems. However, the challenges faced by WERS call for collective action and commitment to wildlife conservation from various stakeholders. By working together, we can create a future where wildlife thrives, and the delicate balance of ecosystems is maintained.

## Acknowledgement

None.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

There are no conflicts of interest by author.

#### References

- Sol, D., González-Lagos. C., Moreira. D. and Maspons, J., et al. "Urbanisation tolerance and the loss of avian diversity." Ecol Lett 17 (2014): 942–950.
- Villaseñor, N.R., Driscoll. D.A., Escobar. M.A. and Gibbons. P., et al. "Urbanization impacts on mammals across urban-forest edges and a predictive model of edge effects." PLoS ONE 9 (2014): e97036.
- Scheelings, T.F. "Morbidity and mortality of reptiles admitted to the Australian wildlife health centre, Healesville Sanctuary, Australia, 2000–2013." J Wildl Dis 51 (2015): 712–718.
- Mullineaux, E. "Veterinary treatment and rehabilitation of indigenous wildlife." J Small Anim Pract 55 (2014): 293–300.
- Grogan. A., Kelly. A. "A review of RSPCA research into wildlife rehabilitation." Vet Rec 172 (2013): 211–215.
- Englefield. B., Candy. S., Starling. M. and McGreevy. P, et al. "The demography and practice of Australians caring for native wildlife and the psychological, physical and financial effects of rescue, rehabilitation and release of wildlife on the welfare of carers." *Animals* 9 (2019): 1127.

**How to cite this article:** Barrea, Michele. "Wildlife Emergency Response Services: Addressing Threats to Wildlife Caused by Human and Non-Human Activities." *J Anim Health Behav Sci* 7 (2023): 198.