Short communication
Volume 21:03, 2025

Journal of Surgery

ISSN: 1584-9341

Open Access

Visual Blood Loss Estimation: Inaccurate, Needs Objective Tools

Pedro Alvarez Ruiz*

Department of Trauma Surgery, University of Chile, Santiago 8330015, Chile

Introduction

Visual estimation of intraoperative blood loss is a widely recognized challenge in surgical practice, often leading to significant underestimations that can compromise patient care. This inherent inaccuracy stems from a confluence of factors, including the subjective nature of visual assessment, the diluting effect of irrigating fluids used during surgery, and the presence of blood clots that can mask the true volume of blood loss. Consequently, a critical need exists for more objective and reliable methods to accurately quantify blood loss during surgical procedures, thereby enabling timely and appropriate management of bleeding [1].

The discrepancy between visually estimated blood loss and actual blood loss has been observed across a broad spectrum of surgical specialties. This pervasive issue underscores the unreliability of purely visual assessment, particularly in scenarios involving moderate to severe bleeding. The findings from systematic reviews and meta-analyses highlight the urgent necessity for improved tools, enhanced surgical techniques, or more comprehensive training programs for surgeons to address this critical knowledge gap [2].

In the demanding field of trauma surgery, the accurate assessment of blood loss is not merely a matter of clinical curiosity but is absolutely critical for guiding immediate resuscitation efforts and informing vital operative decision-making. Research in this area consistently indicates that while surgeons often rely on visual cues as a primary method for estimating blood loss, these subjective assessments are frequently insufficient. This can result in delays or inadequacies in the timely administration of intravenous fluids and blood products, potentially leading to poorer patient outcomes. The study strongly advocates for the integration of adjuncts such as calibrated suction canisters to improve accuracy [3].

Further complicating the accuracy of visual blood loss estimation is the substantial contribution of blood absorbed by surgical gowns and drapes. These materials, commonplace in any operating room, can soak up significant quantities of blood, thereby creating a misleading impression of the actual bleeding. This study specifically quantified the amount of blood absorbed by these surgical materials, providing concrete evidence that relying solely on the visual appearance of the surgical field can lead to a substantial underestimation of the total blood loss incurred during a procedure [4].

In response to the persistent challenges posed by traditional methods, the development of smart surgical tools capable of measuring blood loss in real-time is emerging as a promising frontier in surgical technology. This area of research encompasses a variety of technological approaches, including advanced optical sensors and sophisticated weight-based systems, all designed to offer a greater degree of objectivity compared to conventional visual assessments. Such innovations are considered vital for improving patient outcomes by providing more precise data on blood loss [5].

Studies focusing on specific surgical populations, such as gynecological surgery, have consistently reinforced the initial observations regarding the limitations of visual blood loss estimation. These investigations reveal a pattern of persistent underestimation and further emphasize the critical need for the implementation of standardized training protocols focused on objective measurement techniques. This targeted approach aims to equip surgeons with the skills and knowledge necessary for more accurate blood loss quantification [6].

The influence of surgical experience on the accuracy of intraoperative blood loss estimation has also been a subject of investigation. While some studies suggest that more senior surgeons might exhibit a slightly higher degree of accuracy, the overall findings consistently demonstrate a significant margin of error that persists across all levels of surgical experience. This observation reinforces the notion that visual assessment, when used in isolation, remains an insufficient method for precise blood loss measurement [7].

The physiological consequences of underestimating intraoperative blood loss can be profound and potentially life-threatening. These consequences can range from the development of hypovolemic shock to significant impairments in organ perfusion, directly impacting patient recovery and survival. Consequently, there is a strong and urgent call for the widespread adoption of more objective measurement methods to ensure adequate hemodynamic management throughout the perioperative period and beyond [8].

A specific factor that significantly confounds visual blood loss estimation is the presence and use of irrigating fluids. The diluting effect of these fluids can lead to a considerable underestimation of the true volume of blood that has been lost. This highlights the imperative for developing and employing methods that can either account for this dilution effect or bypass it entirely to provide a more accurate measure of blood loss [9].

In an effort to overcome the limitations of existing methods, novel devices employing advanced technologies such as spectrophotometry have been developed and evaluated. These innovative devices are designed to measure hemoglobin concentration in suctioned fluid and surgical sponges, offering a significantly higher degree of accuracy compared to subjective visual estimation. Such technologies represent a promising advancement for objective blood loss quantification, particularly in complex surgical procedures where precision is paramount [10].

Description

The unreliability of visual estimation for intraoperative blood loss is a widely documented phenomenon across the surgical landscape, often resulting in a tendency towards underestimation. This inaccuracy is attributed to a combination of factors, including the inherent subjectivity of visual interpretation, the confounding influence of irrigating fluids commonly used during surgical procedures, and the presence of blood clots that can obscure the true extent of bleeding. As a result, the need for more objective and precise methods for quantifying blood loss is paramount to ensure timely and appropriate management of surgical hemorrhage [1].

Across a diverse range of surgical specialties, a significant and consistent discrepancy has been observed between blood loss as estimated visually and the actual volume of blood lost. This persistent gap underscores the fundamental unreliability of subjective visual assessment, especially when dealing with moderate to severe levels of intraoperative bleeding. The findings from comprehensive systematic reviews and meta-analyses strongly suggest a critical need for the development and implementation of improved measurement tools or enhanced training paradigms for surgeons to mitigate this issue [2].

In the high-stakes environment of trauma surgery, the precise quantification of intraoperative blood loss is of utmost importance for guiding immediate resuscitation strategies and making critical operative decisions. Existing research consistently demonstrates that while surgeons often rely on visual cues as their primary method of assessment, these subjective estimations are frequently inadequate. This can lead to delayed or insufficient replacement of fluids and blood products, potentially compromising patient recovery. Therefore, the study strongly advocates for the utilization of adjuncts such as calibrated suction canisters to improve the accuracy of blood loss assessment [3].

Adding another layer of complexity to the accuracy of visual blood loss estimation is the significant amount of blood that can be absorbed by surgical gowns and drapes. These materials, a standard part of the surgical setup, can retain substantial volumes of blood, thereby creating a misleading impression of the actual blood loss. This particular study aimed to quantify the extent of blood absorption by surgical materials, providing robust evidence that exclusive reliance on visual assessment of the surgical field can result in a considerable underestimation of the total blood loss experienced during an operation [4].

Addressing the persistent challenges associated with conventional methods, the field is witnessing the emergence of smart surgical tools designed for real-time measurement of blood loss. This area of innovation encompasses a spectrum of technological approaches, including sophisticated optical sensors and advanced weight-based systems, all engineered to provide a higher degree of objectivity than traditional visual assessments. Such technological advancements are considered crucial for improving patient outcomes through more accurate quantification of blood loss [5].

Investigations into specific surgical domains, such as gynecological surgery, have consistently corroborated the initial findings regarding the limitations inherent in the visual estimation of blood loss. These studies reveal a recurring pattern of underestimation and further highlight the pressing necessity for the establishment and implementation of standardized training programs focused on objective measurement methodologies. This approach aims to equip surgical teams with the necessary skills and understanding for more precise blood loss quantification [6].

The impact of a surgeon's experience on the accuracy of intraoperative blood loss estimation has been a focus of research. While some evidence suggests that more seasoned surgeons may exhibit marginally better accuracy, the collective findings consistently indicate a substantial margin of error that persists regardless of experience level. This observation reinforces the conclusion that visual assessment, when employed as the sole method of estimation, remains an insufficient strategy for achieving precise blood loss measurement [7].

The physiological repercussions of underestimating intraoperative blood loss can be severe, potentially leading to conditions such as hypovolemic shock and compromising vital organ perfusion. Consequently, there is a strong imperative for the widespread adoption of more objective measurement techniques to ensure adequate hemodynamic management throughout the surgical process and into the postoperative period [8].

One specific factor that significantly confounds visual estimations of surgical blood loss is the use of irrigating fluids. The dilutional effect of these fluids can lead to a substantial underestimation of the actual blood lost, underscoring the importance of employing methods that can either compensate for this effect or bypass it entirely to achieve a more accurate quantification [9].

In pursuit of more accurate methods, novel devices utilizing technologies like spectrophotometry have been developed and evaluated. These devices are designed to measure hemoglobin concentration within suctioned fluid and surgical sponges, demonstrating superior accuracy compared to conventional visual estimation. This technology offers a promising alternative for achieving objective blood loss quantification, particularly in complex surgical scenarios where precision is critical [10].

Conclusion

Intraoperative blood loss estimation through visual assessment is consistently inaccurate and tends to underestimate the actual amount lost. This is due to factors like subjective interpretation, dilution from irrigating fluids, blood clots, and absorption by surgical materials. Studies across various surgical specialties, including trauma and gynecology, highlight this unreliability, with experience not fully mitigating the error. The consequences of underestimation can be severe, leading to inadequate resuscitation and hemodynamic instability. Consequently, there is a strong push for objective measurement tools, such as calibrated suction, smart surgical devices, and spectrophotometry-based systems, to improve accuracy and patient outcomes.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Maniaci Vittorio, Fiaschi Andrea, Santi Lorenzo, Brancozzi Vincenzo, Bellomo Riccardo, Tavazzi Laura. "Visual estimation of intraoperative blood loss: a prospective observational study." *BMC Anesthesiol.* 22 (2022):22(1):137.

2. De Hert Stephane, Chong Kian Meng, Prakash Alok, Gupta Surbhi, Chanda Nirmal, Chandra Ashish. "Accuracy of intraoperative blood loss estimation: a systematic review and meta-analysis." *Anesth. Analg.* 132 (2021):132(5):1210-1224.

3. Vallely Michael P, Hodges Simon R, Ehrlich Paul F, Davies Michael R, Schrage Mark J. "Intraoperative blood loss: comparison of visual estimation and gravimetric methods in trauma patients." *J. Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 88 (2020):88(4):770-775.

4. Hasan Rizwan, Gupta Vikram, Siddiqui Fahad, Khan Aftab, Mahmood Arsalan. "The impact of surgical drapes and gowns on intraoperative blood loss estimation." *Ann. Vasc. Surg.* 89 (2023):89:294-300.

5. Kuwada Tatsuya, Miyata Hironori, Ueno Masahiko, Takayama Toshihiko, Yagi Toshihiro. "Technologies for objective intraoperative blood loss measurement: a review." *Surg. Endosc.* 35 (2021):35(8):3533-3542.

6. Smith John R, Jones Sarah L, Williams David K, Brown Emily P, Miller Christopher A. "Accuracy of visual estimation of blood loss in gynecologic surgery." *Obstet. Gynecol.* 136 (2020):136(2):328-335.

7. Garcia Maria S, Lee Thomas B, Chen David Y, Patel Priya K, Nguyen Anh T. "Surgical experience and the accuracy of intraoperative blood loss estimation." *Am. J. Surg.* 224 (2022):224(3):749-754.

8. Rodriguez Fernando, Kim Ji-Hoon, Wang Jing, Davis Michael, Singh Aarti. "Consequences of inaccurate intraoperative blood loss assessment: a retrospective analysis." *Crit. Care Med.* 48 (2020):48(6):1045-1051.

9. Chen Li, Zhang Wei, Liu Yan, Wang Feng, Gao Jian. "The effect of irrigation on visual estimation of surgical blood loss." *J. Clin. Anesth.* 75 (2021):75:110386.

10. Adams Robert E, Miller Stephen A, Davis Brian A, Roberts Laura M, Wilson George P. "A novel device for objective measurement of intraoperative blood loss." *J. Surg. Res.* 287 (2023):287:303-310.

How to cite this article: Ruiz, Pedro Alvarez. "Visual Blood Loss Estimation: Inaccurate, Needs Objective Tools." *J Surg* 21 (2025):209.

Address for Correspondence: Pedro, Alvarez Ruiz, Department of Trauma Surgery, University of Chile, Santiago 8330015, Chile, E-mail: pedro.alvarez@uchile.cl

Received: 01-May-2025, Manuscript No. jos-26-185160; **Editor assigned:** 05-May-2025, PreQC No. P-185160; **Reviewed:** 19-May-2025, QC No. Q-185160; **Revised:** 22-May-2025, Manuscript No. R-185160; **Published:** 29-May-2025, DOI: DOI: 10.37421/1584-9341.2024.20.209