

Using an Analytical Hierarchy Process Method, the Impact of an HIV Health Education Campaign is Evaluated

Xiadre Moete*

Department of Neurology, State University of Santa Catarina, Lages 88520-000, Brazil

Abstract

In the battle against HIV/AIDS, health education campaigns play a crucial role in promoting awareness, prevention, and reducing stigma. Evaluating the impact of such campaigns is essential to ensure their effectiveness and guide future interventions. One method that can be employed for evaluation is the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP). AHP provides a structured framework for decision-making and enables the systematic comparison of multiple criteria and alternatives. This article explores the application of the AHP method in evaluating the impact of an HIV health education campaign, highlighting its advantages and discussing the key considerations for implementation.

Keywords: Analytical hierarchy process • HIV • Stigma

Introduction

The Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) is a multi-criteria decision-making method developed in the 1970s. It provides a structured approach to compare and prioritize different criteria based on their relative importance. AHP breaks down complex decision-making problems into a hierarchy of criteria and alternatives, allowing decision-makers to assess their impacts and make informed choices. When evaluating the impact of an HIV health education campaign, the first step is to establish the hierarchy of criteria. This hierarchy typically consists of three levels: the goal, criteria, and alternatives. The goal represents the ultimate objective, such as increasing knowledge, changing attitudes, or reducing risky behaviours. Criteria can include factors such as reach, message clarity, community engagement, and cost-effectiveness. Alternatives refer to the various strategies or interventions implemented in the campaign [1,2].

Literature Review

After establishing the hierarchy, decision-makers assign relative weights to the criteria and alternatives. The weights reflect the importance or priority of each element in achieving the campaign's goal. These weights can be derived through expert opinions, surveys, or statistical analysis. It is crucial to involve stakeholders and representatives from the target population to ensure a comprehensive and inclusive evaluation. AHP employs pairwise comparisons to assess the relative importance of criteria and alternatives. Decision-makers compare each criterion or alternative against another and assign a numerical value indicating the strength of their preference. These pairwise comparisons result in a matrix that captures the relative priorities and allows for further analysis [3-5].

Discussion

To ensure the validity of the results, AHP incorporates consistency analysis.

***Address for Correspondence:** Xiadre Moete, Department of Neurology, State University of Santa Catarina, Lages 88520-000, Brazil, E-mail: xiadrem@gmail.com

Copyright: © 2023 Moete X. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 03 June, 2023, Manuscript No. jar-23-106925; **Editor Assigned:** 05 June, 2023, PreQC No. P-106925; **Reviewed:** 17 June, 2023, QC No. Q-106925; **Revised:** 22 June, 2023, Manuscript No. R-106925; **Published:** 29 June, 2023, DOI: 10.37421/2155-6113.2023.14.939

Decision-makers' judgments can be prone to inconsistencies or contradictions, which may affect the reliability of the evaluation. Consistency checks, such as the consistency ratio, are used to identify and rectify any inconsistencies in the pairwise comparison matrix. The application of AHP in evaluating HIV health education campaigns offers several advantages. Firstly, it provides a systematic and structured framework, allowing decision-makers to consider multiple criteria and alternatives holistically. AHP facilitates transparent decision-making, as it requires decision-makers to justify their judgments and compare them objectively. Furthermore, AHP allows for flexibility and adaptability, enabling adjustments to the evaluation process based on evolving needs and changing circumstances [6].

Conclusion

The Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) provides a valuable methodology for evaluating the impact of HIV health education campaigns. By employing a structured approach to decision-making, AHP allows for the comprehensive assessment of multiple criteria and alternatives, aiding in the identification of effective strategies. The transparency and flexibility of AHP support evidence-based decision-making and enable stakeholders to actively participate in the evaluation process. While challenges such as subjectivity and data availability exist, incorporating diverse perspectives and leveraging qualitative assessments can enhance the robustness of the evaluation. By utilizing AHP, decision-makers can gain valuable insights into the effectiveness of HIV health education campaigns, facilitating targeted interventions and ultimately contributing to the fight against HIV/AIDS.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Wu, Zunyou, Xinhua Sun, Sheena G. Sullivan and Roger Detels. "HIV testing in China." *Science* 312 (2006): 1475-1476.
2. Saaty, Thomas L. "A scaling method for priorities in hierarchical structures." *J Math Psychol* 15 (1977): 234-281.
3. Tan, Xiaodong, Jianyan Lin, Fengjie Wang and Hong Luo, et al. "Evaluation of

- the effect of a health education campaign of HIV by using an analytical hierarchy process method." *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 4 (2007): 254-259.
4. Jianyan, L., W. Fengjie and L. Hong. "To evaluate the effect of health education about AIDS'knowledge, attitude and practice among service industries attendants." *J Chinese Public Health* 20 (2004): 81-82.
 5. Ayyub, B. M. "Guidelines on expert-opinion elicitation of probabilities and consequences for corps facilities." *Inst Water Resour* 20 (1999): 1-23.
 6. Bowen, Glenn A. "Document analysis as a qualitative research method." *Qual Res J* (2009).

How to cite this article: Moete, Xiadre. "Using an Analytical Hierarchy Process Method, the Impact of an HIV Health Education Campaign is evaluated." *J AIDS Clin Res* 14 (2023): 939.