Perspective
Volume 14:01, 2024

Journal of Bioengineering & Biomedical Science

ISSN: 2155-9538 Open Access

Unraveling Nanomaterial Toxicity: Insights from Zebrafish Studies

Marcelo Leticia*

Department of Preclinical Science, University of Austin, Austin, USA

Introduction

Nanotechnology holds immense promise for revolutionizing various fields, from medicine to electronics. However, as nanomaterials become increasingly prevalent in consumer products and industrial applications, concerns about their potential toxicity have grown. Understanding the safety profile of nanomaterials is crucial for their responsible deployment. Zebrafish have emerged as a valuable model organism for investigating nanomaterial toxicity due to their genetic similarity to humans, optical transparency during early development, and rapid reproductive cycle. This article delves into the insights gained from zebrafish studies in deciphering the toxicity of nanomaterials [1].

Nanomaterials, characterized by their nanoscale dimensions, exhibit unique physicochemical properties that differ from their bulk counterparts. Engineered Nanoparticles (NPs) are increasingly used in various applications, including drug delivery, cosmetics, food packaging, and environmental remediation. However, their small size raises concerns regarding potential adverse effects on human health and the environment. Zebrafish offer several advantages for studying nanomaterial toxicity. Their transparent embryos allow real-time visualization of nanoparticle interactions with tissues and organs. Moreover, zebrafish share genetic similarities with humans, making them a valuable model for predicting human responses to nanomaterial exposure. Additionally, zebrafish embryos develop externally, facilitating high-throughput screening of nanomaterial toxicity [2].

Description

Zebrafish can be exposed to nanomaterials via various routes, including immersion in water containing dispersed nanoparticles, injection of nanoparticles into embryos, or dietary exposure. These exposure routes mimic human exposure scenarios, enabling researchers to assess the effects of nanomaterials on different organs and systems. Zebrafish embryos are particularly sensitive to environmental insults, making them ideal for studying developmental toxicity. Numerous studies have demonstrated that exposure to certain nanomaterials, such as silver nanoparticles and carbon nanotubes, can disrupt embryonic development, leading to abnormalities in morphology, behavior, and gene expression. Understanding the mechanisms underlying developmental toxicity is crucial for mitigating risks associated with nanomaterial exposure during early life stages. The cardiovascular system of zebrafish closely resembles that of humans, making zebrafish an excellent model for studying nanoparticle-induced cardiovascular toxicity. Exposure to nanomaterials, such as titanium dioxide nanoparticles and quantum dots, has been shown to impair cardiac function, disrupt blood flow, and induce oxidative stress in zebrafish embryos and adults. These findings highlight the potential cardiotoxicity of certain nanomaterials and underscore the importance of cardiovascular assessment in nanotoxicology [3].

Zebrafish possess a well-developed nervous system that shares structural and functional similarities with humans, making them a valuable model for studying neurotoxicity. Nanoparticles, such as gold nanoparticles and graphene oxide, have been reported to accumulate in the brains of zebrafish and elicit neurotoxic effects, including oxidative stress, neuroinflammation, and impaired locomotor behavior. Elucidating the mechanisms underlying nanoparticle-induced neurotoxicity is essential for assessing the safety of nanomaterials in neuro-related applications [4]. Zebrafish offer insights into the reproductive toxicity of nanomaterials, as their reproductive system are well-characterized and amenable to high-throughput screening. Exposure to nanomaterials, such as zinc oxide nanoparticles and polystyrene microplastics, has been shown to disrupt gonadal development, impair fertility, and induce DNA damage in zebrafish. These findings raise concerns about the potential reproductive hazards posed by certain nanomaterials and highlight the need for comprehensive reproductive toxicity assessment.

Zebrafish exhibit complex behaviors that can be easily quantified, making them a valuable model for studying the behavioral effects of nanomaterial exposure. Nanoparticles, such as silver nanoparticles and cerium oxide nanoparticles, have been reported to alter swimming behavior, shoaling patterns, and anxiety-related responses in zebrafish. Understanding how nanomaterials influence behavior can provide valuable insights into their neurotoxic mechanisms and ecological impacts. Despite significant progress, many questions regarding nanomaterial toxicity remain unanswered. Future studies should focus on elucidating the molecular mechanisms underlying nanoparticle-induced toxicity, exploring the long-term effects of chronic exposure, and assessing the potential risks associated with nanoparticle interactions in complex environmental matrices. Additionally, the development of standardized protocols and guidelines for nanotoxicity testing in zebrafish will facilitate data interpretation and comparison across studies [5].

Conclusion

Zebrafish studies have provided valuable insights into the toxicity of nanomaterials, shedding light on their effects on development, cardiovascular function, neurobiology, reproduction, and behavior. Leveraging the strengths of the zebrafish model, researchers can continue to unravel the complex interactions between nanomaterials and biological systems, ultimately guiding the safe and sustainable development of nanotechnology.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Mutalik, Chinmaya, Goodluck Okoro, Hung-Lung Chou and I-Hsin Lin, et al.

***Address for Correspondence:** Marcelo Leticia, Department of Preclinical Science, University of Austin, Austin, USA; E-mail: arcelo@leticia76.edu.com*

Received: 27 January, 2024, Manuscript No. jbbs-24-133339; **Editor Assigned:** 29 January, 2024, PreQC No. P-133339; **Reviewed:** 14 February, 2024, QC No. Q-133339; **Revised:** 19 February, 2024, Manuscript No. R-133339; **Published:** 26 February, 2024, DOI: 10.37421/2155-9538.2024.14.404

"Phase-dependent 1T/2H-MoS2 nanosheets for effective photothermal killing of bacteria." *ACS Sust Chem Eng* 10 (2022): 8949-8957.

2. Hsu, I-Ling, Fang Hao Yeh, Yu-Cheng Chin and Chun In Cheung, et al. "Multiplex antibacterial processes and risk in resistant phenotype by high oxidation-state nanoparticles: New killing process and mechanism investigations." *Chem Eng J* 409 (2021): 128266.
3. Siboro, Putry Yosefa, Van Khanh Thi Nguyen, Yang-Bao Miao and Amit Kumar Sharma, et al. "Ultrasound-activated, tumor-specific in situ synthesis of a chemotherapeutic agent using ZIF-8 nanoreactors for precision cancer therapy." *ACS Nano* 16 (2022): 12403-12414.
4. Chin, Yu-Cheng, Li-Xing Yang, Fei-Ting Hsu and Che-Wei Hsu, et al. "Iron oxide@ chlorophyll clustered nanoparticles eliminate bladder cancer by photodynamic immunotherapy-initiated ferroptosis and immunostimulation." *J Nanobiotechnol* 20 (2022): 373.
5. Chang, Te-Wei, Han Ko, Wei-Shiang Huang and Yi-Chun Chiu, et al. "Tannic acid-induced interfacial ligand-to-metal charge transfer and the phase transformation of Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles for the photothermal bacteria destruction." *Chem Eng J* 428 (2022): 131237.

How to cite this article: Leticia, Marcelo. "Unraveling Nanomaterial Toxicity: Insights from Zebrafish Studies." *J Bioengineer & Biomedical Sci* 14 (2024): 404.