

Open Access

Review Article

Tritrichomonas foetus in Beef Bulls

Kshitija lyer*

M.Sc (Integrated) Biotechnology, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract

Tritrichomonas foetus is a production and regulatory concern for beef producers in the Western United States and more recently in states of the Mississippi Valley. Traditionally preputial scrapings have been collected, cultured in enriched media, and examined microscopically. PCR techniques are now being used extensively to confirm culture results or as a stand-alone test for the organism. This technology offers the advantage of distinguishing the pathogenic *Tritrichomonas foetus* organism from other nonpathogenic fecal organisms.

Keywords: Tritrichomonas foetus; PCR; Culture

Introduction

Tritrichomonas foetus (T. foetus) is a single cell parasite associated with venereal disease in cattle. The organism produces abortion, infertility, prolonged calving intervals, and pyometra in infected cows. The organism infects the prepuce of the bull and is transmitted to susceptible females during coitus [1,2]. Most often the female will be only transiently infected, and in a matter of 4 to 5 months the infection is cleared and she is again reproductively sound, yet remains susceptible to re-infection at a later time. There are reported cases where the infection was present for longer periods, and isolated incidences in which the female remained infected after delivering a normal term calf. Although infection in young bulls reportedly may clear, current state regulations result in mandatory slaughter of infected bulls. With the exception of one, all states west of a line drawn north to south through the eastern border of Kansas have some form of T. foetus regulation, and recently states east of that line have developed regulations addressing T. foetus including Missouri, Arkansas and Louisiana. All regulatory efforts address suitable testing to determine the presence of infection in bulls. Diagnosis of infection in the female and the movement of infected females is not significantly controlled or monitored. Diagnosis of T. foetus is based on clinical signs, history, and laboratory detection of the organism. In order to confirm a herd is infected with T. foetus it is necessary to demonstrate the organism or it's DNA. This can be done by direct microscopic examination, culture followed by microscopic examination and/or PCR of Preputial Scrapings (PPS) or cervical mucus [3-7].

Methods to Detect

Culture is limited by the inherent lack of environmental stability of the organism, collections made or maintained at low temperatures, exposed to direct sunlight, bacterial and fungal contamination of culture media, and changes in pH may cause a loss of viability and result in failure to detect the organism. Additionally as the organism dies in the culture media it degrades and the by-products of the degradation results in a breakdown of the organisms DNA [8,9].

Potential sample degradation supports an early commitment to doing PCR is essential and that waiting until the end of the traditional culture period may result in an inadequate amount of DNA for diagnostic purposes. A second disadvantage to culture is that there are few individuals capable of distinguishing the morphologically nuances between *T. foetus* and other Trichomonad spp., therefore most final diagnoses require a confirmation through the use of PCR.

PCR and qPCR

With the reported high analytical sensitivity of PCR, a move to using PCR as a stand-alone test has been seen with many state regulations relying on PCR in lieu of culture [10-14]. However, PCR is costly and may result in false positives. Two types of DNA studies are being employed, gel based PCR and qPCR. Both methods require the extraction of the T. foetus DNA from the collected sample. The basic difference between the two methods is in how the DNA is detected after extraction and the PCR has occurred. Gel based PCR relies on detecting a band at the appropriate base pair level versus qPCR that uses a fluorescent probe, optical density, and cycle threshold (Ct) to detect the presence of the organisms DNA with the Ct being the determining factor on a sample being called positive or negative. From the producer's standpoint PCR is an expensive alternative to traditional culture. A method to lower the cost of using PCR is to pool samples. A published study using a pooled PCR strategy with culture as the criteria showed an increase of diagnostic sensitivity to detect the organism over culture existed, and that the increased diagnostic sensitivity could be accomplished without a significant increase in the price of diagnostic testing [7,15-17]. However further study is required before any conclusion can be drawn.

References

- Bondurant RH (2005) Venereal diseases of cattle: natural history, diagnosis, and the role of vaccines in their control. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract 21: 383-408.
- BonDurant RH, Campero CM, Anderson ML, Van Hoosear KA (2003) Detection of Tritrichomonas foetus by polymerase chain reaction in cultured isolates, cervicovaginal mucus, and formalin-fixed tissues from infected heifers and fetuses. J Vet Diagn Invest 15: 579-584.
- Campero CM, Rodriguez Dubra C, Bolondi A, Cacciato C, Cobo E, et al. (2003) Two-step (culture and PCR) diagnostic approach for differentiation of non-T. foetus trichomonads from genitalia of virgin beef bulls in Argentina. Vet Parasitol 112: 167-175.

*Corresponding author: Kshitija Iyer, M.Sc (Integrated) Biotechnology, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore 632014, Tamil Nadu, India, Tel: +919159385362; E-mail: kshiiyer@gmail.com

Received September 19, 2014; Accepted September 23, 2014; Published September 25, 2014

Citation: Iyer K (2014) *Tritrichomonas foetus* in Beef Bulls. J Veterinar Sci Technol 5: 194. doi:10.4172/2157-7579.1000194

Copyright: © 2014 Iyer K. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Page 2 of 2

- Felleisen RSJ, Natacha L, Philipp B, Jacques N, Norbert M, Bruno G (1998) Detection of Tritrichomonas foetus by PCR and DNA Enzyme Immunoassay Based on rRNA Gene Unit Sequences. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 36: 513-519.
- Givens MD (2006) A clinical, evidence-based approach to infectious causes of infertility in beef cattle. Theriogenology 66: 648-654.
- Grahn RA, BonDurant RH, van Hoosear KA, Walker RL, Lyons LA (2005) An improved molecular assay for Tritrichomonas foetus. Vet Parasitol 127: 33-41.
- Kennedy JA, Pearl D, Tomky L, Carman J (2008) Pooled polymerase chain reaction to detect Tritrichomonas foetus in beef bulls. J Vet Diagn Invest 20: 97-99.
- Mackinnon A (2000) A spreadsheet for the calculation of comprehensive statistics for the assessment of diagnostic tests and inter-rater agreement. Comput Biol Med 30: 127-134.
- McMillen L, Lew AE (2006) Improved detection of Tritrichomonas foetus in bovine diagnostic specimens using a novel probe-based real time PCR assay. Vet Parasitol 141: 204-215.
- Mukhufhi N, Irons PC, Michel A, Peta F (2003) Evaluation of a PCR test for the diagnosis of Tritrichomonas foetus infection in bulls: effects of sample collection

method, storage and transport medium on the test. Theriogenology 60: 1269-1278.

- Mutto AA, Giambiaggi S, Angel SO (2006) PCR detection of Tritrichomonas foetus in preputial bull fluid without prior DNA isolation. Vet Parasitol 136: 357-361.
- Perez A, Cobo E, Martínez A, Campero C, Späth E (2006) Bayesian estimation of Tritrichomonas foetus diagnostic test sensitivity and specificity in range beef bulls. Vet Parasitol 142: 159-162.
- 13. Rae DO (1989) Impact of trichomoniasis on the cow-calf producer's profitability. J Am Vet Med Assoc 194: 771-775.
- Rae DO, Chenoweth PJ, Genho PC, McIntosh AD, Crosby CE, et al. (1999) Prevalence of Tritrichomonas fetus in a bull population and effect on production in a large cow-calf enterprise. J Am Vet Med Assoc 214: 1051-1055.
- 15. Rae DO, Crews JE (2006) Tritrichomonas foetus. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract 22: 595-611.
- Thrushfield M (1995) Veterinary Epidemiology, 2nd Edition. Blackwell Science, Inc. Cambridge, MA.
- 17. Zar JH (1999) Biostatistical Analysis 4th Edition. Upper Saddle River, NJ, Prentice Hall.