

Triple Negative Invasive Encapsulated Papillary Carcinoma of the Breast • ®A Case Report

Xavier de Sousa^{1*}, Pedro Santos Ferreira¹, Isabel Lopes Martins¹, Luís Branco¹, Jorge Simões², Isabel Duarte³, Matilde Gonçalves⁴, Manuel Vitor Rigueira¹ and Luis Cortez¹

¹Breast Unit, General Surgery Department, Setúbal Medical Centre, Portugal

²Breast Unit, Gynecology & Obstetrics Department, Setúbal Medical Centre, Portugal

³Oncology Department, Setúbal Medical Centre, Portugal

⁴Pathology Department, Setúbal Medical Centre, Portugal

*Corresponding author: Xavier de Sousa, Breast Unit, General Surgery Department, Setúbal Medical Centre, Portugal, Tel: 351265549000; E-mail: xavierpdesousa@gmail.com

Received May 14, 2018; Accepted May 29, 2018; Published June 04, 2018

Citation: Sousa Xde, Ferreira PS, Martins IL, Branco L, Simões J (2018) Triple Negative Invasive Encapsulated Papillary Carcinoma of the Breast•®A Case Report. J Gen Pract 6: 358. doi: [10.4172/2329-9126.1000358](https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-9126.1000358)

Copyright: © 2018 Sousa Xde, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Retraction Note

The article entitled “An Open Label, Multi-Center, Non-Interventional Study of the Safety of Nebivolol (Nebilet) In The Treatment of Hypertension In Filipino Adult Patients: A Post Marketing Surveillance Study” has been accepted for publication in the Journal of General Practice considering the statements provided in the article as personal opinion of the author which was found not having any conflict or biasness towards anything. As the article was a perspective one, information provided by the author was considered as an opinion to be expressed through publication.

Soon after the publication of the paper, we witnessed some serious concerns and many of them argued that the paper is a personal perspective and had not discussed any relevant ethical issue considered under the journal scope. Moreover, the paper is neither innovative nor thought provoking.

Publisher took decision to make the article online solely based on the reviewers suggestion which considered the article not but a personal opinion of the author. However, it is found that the article has some unavoidable mistakes and issues, therefore, being retracted from the journal.

Review Comments on this manuscript

This article shows the importance and the needed to have validated tools to assess. Interesting topic about the perception of a worker has in this work place. Work done is apt Research done is authentic Bibliography is accurate and as per norms Tables are consistent with the results Conclusion matches with the objectives Good job and great explanation of the validation process. To be accepted for further publication

RETRACTED