Opinion
Volume 18:04, 2025

Journal of Computer Science & Systems Biology

ISSN: ISSN: 0974-7230

Open Access

Transaction Processing: Diverse Challenges, Novel Solutions

Maria Petrova*

Department of Computer Science, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia

Introduction

The field of transaction processing is continually evolving, driven by the demands of new technologies and distributed computing paradigms. One significant area of focus involves blockchain technology and its application in handling transactions, particularly within Internet of Things (IoT) environments. This includes detailed examinations of architectural considerations, the persistent problems of scalability and security, and identifying future directions to make blockchain efficient and secure enough for widespread adoption in decentralized systems [1].

Further exploration delves into the intricate management of distributed transactions within blockchain systems. This research typically surveys existing methods, pinpointing the challenges in achieving consistency and atomicity across decentralized ledgers, and outlining avenues for future investigation. The complex nature of adapting traditional distributed transaction concepts to the blockchain paradigm necessitates novel approaches to guarantee data integrity and reliability in trustless settings [2].

Beyond blockchain-specific applications, Hybrid Transactional/Analytical Processing (HTAP) systems, especially those leveraging hybrid-storage architectures, represent another critical development. These systems are designed to simultaneously manage both Online Transaction Processing (OLTP) and Online Analytical Processing (OLAP) workloads without compromising performance. The fundamental advantage of HTAP is its ability to provide immediate data availability for analysis even as transactions are actively processed, offering real-time insights crucial for modern businesses [3].

The robustness of distributed database systems heavily relies on their concurrency control mechanisms. Comprehensive overviews categorize and compare various approaches, highlighting their respective strengths and weaknesses in maintaining data consistency and maximizing throughput. The proper selection of a concurrency control strategy is paramount for optimal distributed transaction performance, requiring a careful balance between strong consistency and system availability, especially as these systems scale across numerous nodes [4].

Real-time transactional processing in NoSQL databases presents a distinct set of challenges. Surveys in this area investigate how diverse NoSQL models, such as key-value, document, and graph stores, handle transactions. They emphasize the difficulties in preserving Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, Durability (ACID) properties within a distributed, schema-less environment. While NoSQL solutions offer undeniable scalability and flexibility, achieving dependable real-time transactions often demands meticulous design and strategic trade-offs when compared to conventional relational databases [5].

Innovations in database architecture also extend to in-memory systems. These papers survey the designs, emerging trends, and unresolved issues in in-memory databases, demonstrating how storing entire datasets in Random Access Memory (RAM) dramatically accelerates transaction processing by drastically reducing disk Input/Output (I/O). In-memory solutions are fundamentally reshaping the landscape of high-performance transaction systems, although considerations regarding memory costs and data persistence remain critical for practical deployments [6].

The dynamic nature of cloud computing environments introduces unique complexities for transaction processing. Research on this topic examines how cloud characteristics, including scalability, elasticity, and distributed architecture, influence the design and performance of transactional systems. A key takeaway is that while the cloud offers substantial advantages, ensuring strong transactional guarantees like atomicity and isolation within a highly dynamic and distributed cloud infrastructure demands specialized methodologies [7].

Further extending the distributed transaction paradigm, managing secure and efficient distributed transactions in edge-cloud computing environments is an area of growing importance. This research addresses the complexities that arise from the geographical dispersion of resources and the imperative for low-latency processing at the network edge. Achieving reliable transaction processing from the edge to the cloud necessitates the development of new protocols and architectures that can effectively account for network variability and inherent security vulnerabilities in such decentralized systems [8].

Transactional data processing within big data systems also faces considerable hurdles. Surveys in this domain explore the extent to which traditional transaction models can adapt, or indeed struggle to adapt, to the immense scale, velocity, and variety characteristic of big data. The core issue is that while big data platforms excel at analytical tasks, incorporating robust transactional guarantees proves challenging, often requiring compromises in consistency or availability to manage the sheer volume of data operations [9].

Lastly, the integration of Machine Learning (ML) into database management systems is paving the way for more intelligent and adaptive systems. This area specifically investigates how ML can optimize various database operations, including transaction processing, query optimization, and resource management. Leveraging ML enables databases to autonomously adapt and enhance their performance, potentially leading to more efficient and responsive transaction processing without the need for constant manual intervention [10].

Description

Modern data management and transaction processing systems grapple with multifaceted challenges, driven by evolving architectures and increasing data volumes. One significant trend involves the integration of blockchain technology, which offers promising avenues for handling transactions, particularly within Internet of Things (IoT) ecosystems. However, deploying blockchain in these environments requires addressing tough problems like scalability and security to ensure efficiency in decentralized systems [1]. The broader scope of distributed transaction management within blockchain systems is also a subject of intense study, where the complexity lies in achieving consistency and atomicity across widely distributed ledgers. This necessitates the development of novel approaches to maintain data integrity and reliability in trustless settings [2].

A pivotal advancement in database technology is the rise of Hybrid Transactional/Analytical Processing (HTAP) systems. These systems, often built on hybrid-storage architectures, are designed to seamlessly handle both Online Transaction Processing (OLTP) and Online Analytical Processing (OLAP) workloads simultaneously. Their primary benefit is making data immediately available for real-time analysis, even as transactions occur, which is invaluable for businesses that require instant insights [3]. Complementing this, concurrency control mechanisms are fundamental to the performance and consistency of distributed database systems. Researchers categorize and compare various strategies, emphasizing their roles in ensuring data consistency and maximizing throughput. The choice of the right concurrency control approach is critical, balancing strong consistency requirements with system availability, especially as these systems scale across numerous nodes [4].

The landscape of database solutions has expanded dramatically with NoSQL databases, which offer scalability and flexibility for various data models like key-value, document, and graph stores. However, achieving robust real-time transactional processing in these schema-less, distributed environments is often challenging, typically requiring careful design and trade-offs to maintain traditional Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, Durability (ACID) properties [5]. Parallel to this, in-memory database systems have emerged as a game-changer for high-performance transaction systems. By storing entire datasets in Random Access Memory (RAM), these systems significantly boost transaction speeds by minimizing slow disk Input/Output (I/O) operations. Despite their transformative potential, key considerations like memory costs and data persistence remain vital for real-world applications [6].

The adoption of cloud computing has reshaped how transactional systems are designed and deployed. Cloud characteristics such as scalability, elasticity, and inherent distributed nature introduce unique challenges to ensuring strong transactional guarantees like atomicity and isolation. Specialized approaches are often needed to maintain these guarantees within dynamic and geographically dispersed cloud infrastructures [7]. Extending this, edge-cloud computing environments introduce even more complexity. Managing distributed transactions securely and efficiently from the edge to the cloud demands new protocols and architectures. These must account for network variability, potential security vulnerabilities, and the need for low-latency processing at the edge to ensure reliable operations [8].

Finally, big data systems, while excelling at analytics, face considerable difficulties in incorporating traditional transactional data processing. The massive scale, velocity, and variety of big data often mean that robust transactional guarantees must be compromised for the sake of handling the sheer volume of operations, making it a challenge to adapt conventional transaction models [9]. Looking ahead, the integration of Machine Learning (ML) into database management systems promises more intelligent and autonomous operation. By optimizing various database functions, including transaction processing, query optimization, and resource management, ML can enable databases to adapt and improve performance without constant manual tuning, leading to more efficient and responsive systems [10].

Conclusion

Modern transaction processing faces evolving challenges across diverse computing landscapes. Blockchain technology, for instance, offers novel ways to handle transactions, especially in Internet of Things (IoT) environments, but demands significant work to ensure efficiency and security. Similarly, managing distributed transactions within blockchain systems is complex, requiring new approaches for consistency and atomicity in trustless settings. Beyond blockchain, Hybrid Transactional/Analytical Processing (HTAP) systems with hybrid-storage architectures aim to simultaneously manage Online Transaction Processing (OLTP) and Online Analytical Processing (OLAP) workloads, providing real-time insights. Concurrency control mechanisms are vital in distributed databases to balance consistency with throughput, especially as systems scale. Real-time transactional processing in NoSQL databases presents its own hurdles, often necessitating careful design choices to maintain Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, Durability (ACID) properties in distributed, schema-less environments. In-memory database systems are transforming high-performance transactions by minimizing disk I/O, though memory costs and data persistence remain key considerations. Cloud computing also introduces unique challenges for transactional guarantees due to its dynamic and distributed nature, while edge-cloud environments require new protocols for secure and efficient distributed transaction management due to geographical distribution and low-latency needs. Transactional data processing in big data systems struggles to adapt traditional models to massive scales, often compromising consistency for volume. Intriguingly, integrating Machine Learning (ML) into database management systems shows promise for optimizing transaction processing autonomously, enhancing efficiency without constant manual tuning. Overall, the landscape of transaction processing is marked by a continuous push for novel solutions to ensure data integrity, performance, and reliability across increasingly complex and decentralized systems.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Mohamed Amine Ferrag, Leandros Maglaras, May El-Haj. "A Survey on Blockchain-Based IoT: Architectures, Challenges, and Open Issues." IEEE Communications Surveys \& *Tutorials* 22 (2020):2133-2195.

2. Hongyi Zhu, Junyi Wu, Xinxin Wang. "A comprehensive survey on distributed transaction management in blockchain." *The Journal of Supercomputing* 78 (2022):19690-19721.

3. Bo Wang, Xiaofei Zhang, Wei Li. "HTAP with Hybrid-Storage Architectures: A Survey." *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* 33 (2021):4945-4965.

4. Xu Ma, Zhiping Cai, Guojie Song. "A Survey of Concurrency Control Mechanisms in Distributed Database Systems." *IEEE Access* 8 (2020):187637-187659.

5. Mahmoud Abdelaal, Sherif K. G. Saied, Hoda M. El-Sayed. "Real-time transactional processing in NoSQL databases: a survey." *The Journal of Supercomputing* 77 (2021):14745-14777.

6. Xiaolin Chen, Jiaping Qiu, Jianbo Li. "A Survey on In-Memory Database Systems: Designs, Trends, and Open Issues." *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology* 32 (2022):8431-8449.

7. Saurabh Sharma, Sudeep Tanwar, Neeraj Kumar. "Transaction Processing in Cloud Computing: A Survey." *Neural Computing and Applications* 32 (2020):6695-6715.

8. Jing Liu, Jianbing Ni, Peng Li. "Towards Secure and Efficient Distributed Transaction Management in Edge-Cloud Computing." *IEEE Access* 11 (2023):70331-70344.

9. Shuang Wang, Lingjuan Hu, Wenbo Yang. "Transactional Data Processing in Big Data Systems: A Survey." *The Journal of Supercomputing* 77 (2021):13917-13947.

10. Yichuan Zhu, Junting Huang, Chao Deng. "Intelligent database management systems with machine learning: A survey." *Computer Science Review* 43 (2022):100486.

How to cite this article: Petrova, Maria. "Transaction Processing: Diverse Challenges, Novel Solutions." *J Comput Sci Syst Biol* 18 (2025):594.

Address for Correspondence: Maria, Petrova, Department of Computer Science, Lomonosov Moscow State University, *Moscow* 119991, Russia, E-mail: maria.petrova@msu.ru

Received: 30-Jun-2025,ManuscriptNo.jcsb-25-176407; **Editor assigned:** 02-Jul-2025,PreQCNo.P-176407; **Reviewed:** 16-Jul-2025,QCNo.Q-176407; **Revised:** 23-Jul-2025,ManuscriptNo.R-176407; **Published:** 30-Jul-2025,DOI: 10.37421/0974-7230.2025.18.594