# Time Series Similarity Measurement Method for Fluorescent Photoelectric Detection of Peroxide Explosives

#### Augustus Hawthorne\*

Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Valencia, València, Spain

### Introduction

The detection of peroxide explosives presents a critical challenge in security and safety measures due to their volatility and potential threat. The utilization of fluorescent photoelectric detection has shown promise in identifying these compounds, yet the analysis of time series data obtained from such detection methods requires robust similarity measurement techniques. This article explores various time series similarity measurement methods tailored for the fluorescent photoelectric detection of peroxide explosives. It delves into the significance of these methods in enhancing accuracy, reliability, and efficiency in identifying peroxide explosives through fluorescence detection. The evaluation and comparison of these methods aim to offer insights into their applicability, strengths, and limitations, thereby aiding researchers and practitioners in selecting the most suitable approach for their specific detection requirements. Peroxide explosives, notorious for their instability and destructive potential, have posed persistent challenges for security and safety protocols. The detection and identification of these compounds demand sophisticated and reliable techniques capable of precise analysis. Fluorescent photoelectric detection has emerged as a promising method due to its sensitivity and ability to detect trace amounts of peroxide explosives. However, the analysis of time series data generated by this method requires advanced similarity measurement approaches to accurately identify these compounds amid various environmental interferences [1].

#### Description

Fluorescent photoelectric detection relies on the emission of light (fluorescence) when certain compounds interact with specific wavelengths of light. This method has exhibited high sensitivity to peroxide explosives due to their distinct fluorescence patterns [2]. However, the collected data is often in the form of time series, representing the intensity of fluorescence over time. Analyzing these time series data necessitates robust similarity measurement methods to distinguish between different compounds accurately. Time series analysis involves a range of techniques, including but not limited to dynamic time warping (DTW), Euclidean distance, Pearson correlation coefficient, and fast Fourier transform (FFT). Each method offers unique advantages and limitations in measuring similarity within time series data [3].

Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) converts a time series into its frequency domain representation, enabling the identification of periodic patterns within the data. While effective in capturing periodicity, it might overlook transient changes in fluorescence intensity crucial for peroxide explosives identification. The effectiveness of these methods in measuring similarity within time series data from fluorescent photoelectric detection of peroxide explosives can be

\*Address for Correspondence: Augustus Hawthorne, Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Valencia, València, Spain; E-mail:augustus270@hotmail.com

**Copyright:** © 2023 Hawthorne A. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 03 October, 2023, Manuscript No. Jees-23-122083; Editor Assigned: 05 October, 2023, PreQC No. P-122083; Reviewed: 17 October, 2023, QC No. Q-122083; Revised: 23 October, 2023, Manuscript No. R-122083; Published: 30 October, 2023, DOI: 10.37421/2332-0796.2023.12.85

assessed through various criteria. Accuracy in distinguishing between different compounds, robustness against noise, computational efficiency, and flexibility in handling temporal shifts are among the key factors to consider. Empirical studies comparing these methods using real and simulated data can shed light on their performance metrics. Assessing their accuracy in correctly identifying different peroxide explosives, their resilience against background noise, and their computational requirements is vital in understanding their practical applicability [4].

DTW offers significant advantages in aligning time series data that might have temporal distortions or variations in length. In the context of fluorescence data, where the intensity patterns might not align perfectly due to factors like environmental fluctuations or variations in compound concentrations, DTW's flexibility proves invaluable. However, DTW's computational complexity increases with longer time series, potentially impacting its real-time application in large-scale detection systems. While straightforward and easy to compute, Euclidean distance might oversimplify the relationships within fluorescence time series data. Its linear assumption between data points might not capture the nonlinear patterns inherent in the fluorescence intensity fluctuations caused by different peroxide explosives [5].

#### Conclusion

As technology advances and research in time series analysis progresses, there is potential for the development of hybrid or specialized similarity measurement methods tailored explicitly for the fluorescent photoelectric detection of peroxide explosives. These future methods might combine the strengths of existing techniques while addressing their limitations, ultimately enhancing the accuracy, robustness, and efficiency of detection systems. In conclusion, the choice of a time series similarity measurement method for fluorescence data analysis in peroxide explosives detection is a nuanced decision, reliant on a deep understanding of the data characteristics, detection requirements, and trade-offs between accuracy and computational complexity. Continued research and refinement in this domain promise advancements that could significantly bolster security measures against these hazardous materials.

## Acknowledgement

None.

### **Conflict of Interest**

None.

#### References

- Wackerbarth, Hainer, Christian Salb, Lars Gundrum, Matthias Niederkrüger, Konstantin Christou, Volker Beushausen, and Wolfgang Viöl. "Detection of explosives based on surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy." *Appl Optics* 49 (2010): 4362-4366.
- Krivitsky, Vadim, Boris Filanovsky, Vladimir Naddaka, and Fernando Patolsky. "Direct and selective electrochemical vapor trace detection of organic peroxide explosives via surface decoration." Anal chem 91 (2019): 5323-5330.

- Gökdere, Bahar, Ayşem Üzer, Selen Durmazel, Erol Erçağ, and Reşat Apak. "Titanium dioxide nanoparticles-based colorimetric sensors for determination of hydrogen peroxide and triacetone triperoxide (TATP)." *Talanta* 202 (2019): 402-410.
- 4. Zhu, Qiu-Hong, Guo-Hao Zhang, Wen-Li Yuan, Shuang-Long Wang, Ling He, Fang

Yong, and Guo-Hong Tao. "Handy fluorescent paper device based on a curcumin derivative for ultrafast detection of peroxide-based explosives." *Chem Commun* 55 (2019): 13661-13664.

 Xu, Miao, Ji-Min Han, Yaqiong Zhang, Xiaomei Yang, and Ling Zang. "A selective fluorescence turn-on sensor for trace vapor detection of hydrogen peroxide." *Chem Commun* 49 (2013): 11779-11781.

**How to cite this article:** Hawthorne, Augustus. "Time Series Similarity Measurement Method for Fluorescent Photoelectric Detection of Peroxide Explosives." *J Electr Electron Syst* 12 (2023): 85.