

Therapeutic Potentials of Antimicrobial Peptides

Whasun Oh Chung*

Department of Oral Health Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are small proteins (<100 amino acids) which include alpha-defensins, beta-defensins and the cathelicidin LL-37 in humans [1]. Alpha-defensins are expressed in neutrophils as part of their non-oxidative antimicrobial mechanisms and are also found in Paneth cells in the intestine [2,3]. They are synthesized as precursors that are proteolytically activated and released during inflammation [4]. The human beta-defensins (hBDs) are cationic antimicrobial peptides made primarily by epithelial cells and expressed in all human epithelia tested to date [5]. The defensins are secreted in biological fluids, including urine, bronchial fluids, nasal secretions, saliva and gingival crevicular fluid [5-7]. hBD-1 is expressed constitutively in epithelial tissues, whereas hBD-2 and hBD-3 are expressed when epithelia are stimulated with bacteria, *Candida albicans*, IL-1, or TNF- α [8,9]. LL-37 is an amphipathic cationic peptide expressed in various epithelial cell types, including inflamed epidermal keratinocytes and in human tongue, buccal mucosa and saliva following inflammatory stimulation [10,11].

These AMPs are synthesized in various locations of the human body and provide a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, as well as against yeast and some viruses [12,13], thus may have future therapeutic potential [14]. The effectiveness of human AMPs has been tested in a few animal studies, such as investigating how a subcutaneous administration of HNP-1 significantly reduces *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection in lung and spleen of the infected mice [15,16]. Furthermore, hBD-2 has been shown to be protective against pneumonia and sepsis, as rats receiving a recombinant adenovirus carrying rat beta-defensin-2 (BD-2), which is very similar to hBD-2, showed significantly lower amounts of *P. aeruginosa* colony-forming units in the infected compared to the control rats [17]. Although these animal studies suggest administration of AMPs as a valuable candidate for a new therapeutic approach, their effectiveness in human clinical studies is yet to be determined.

As the level and the frequency of bacterial resistance to systemic antibiotics increase, the need to develop suitable alternatives to the current antibiotic therapy becomes more urgent. Fortunately, AMPs have shown a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activities with little bacterial resistance to this date, making them promising alternatives to the current therapy [18,19]. In addition, another desirable characteristic of AMPs is the fact that they are synthesized in various body sites and may act synergistically to fight infections caused by different microorganisms. Other potential applications of AMPs include utilization as adjuvants to enhance antibody production, as AMPs show chemotactic abilities and can stimulate the acquired immune system [20,21]. AMPs also promise to be a viable candidate to be used in cancer therapies. For instance, hBD-1 shows potential as an agent to suppress the growth of OSCC cells, as its recombinant protein can be developed to support tumor therapy and prevent tumor recurrence [22]. Feedback regulation of hBD-1 expression in OSCC cells may also be useful in developing new ways to control tumor cell proliferation [22]. The strong evidence presented in this commentary will help us leap forward to new and innovative ways to develop antimicrobial compounds against many infectious diseases.

References

1. Selsted ME, Ouellette AJ (2005) Mammalian defensins in the antimicrobial immune response. *Nat Immunol* 6: 551-557.
2. Ouellette AJ (1999) IV. Paneth cell antimicrobial peptides and the biology of the mucosal barrier. *Am J Physiol* 277: G257-261.
3. van Wetering S, Sterk PJ, Rabe KF, Hiemstra PS (1999) Defensins: key players or bystanders in infection, injury, and repair in the lung? *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 104: 1131-1138.
4. Wilson CL, Ouellette AJ, Satchell DP, Ayabe T, López-Boado YS, et al. (1999) Regulation of intestinal alpha-defensin activation by the metalloproteinase matrilysin in innate host defense. *Science* 286: 113-117.
5. Dale BA (2002) Periodontal epithelium: a newly recognized role in health and disease. *Periodontol* 30: 70-78.
6. Alexander MC, Puneet D, Tomas Ganz (1999) Innate antimicrobial activity of nasal secretions. *Infect Immun* 67: 3267-3275.
7. Valore EV, Park CH, Quayle AJ, Wiles KR, McCray PB, et al. (1998) Human beta-defensin-1: an antimicrobial peptide of urogenital tissues. *J Clin Invest* 101: 1633-1642.
8. Chung WO, Dale BA (2004) Innate immune response of oral and foreskin keratinocytes: utilization of different signaling pathways by various bacterial species. *Infect Immun* 72: 352-358.
9. Krisanaprakornkit S, Kimball JR, Weinberg A, Darveau RP, Bainbridge BW, et al. (2000) Inducible expression of human beta-defensin 2 by *Fusobacterium nucleatum* in oral epithelial cells: multiple signaling pathways and role of commensal bacteria in innate immunity and the epithelial barrier. *Infect Immun* 68: 2907-2915.
10. Frohm Nilsson M, Sandstedt B, Sørensen O, Weber G, Borregaard N, et al. (1999) The human cationic antimicrobial protein (hCAP18), a peptide antibiotic, is widely expressed in human squamous epithelia and colocalizes with interleukin-6. *Infect Immun* 67: 2561-2566.
11. Howell MD (2007) The role of human beta defensins and cathelicidins in atopic dermatitis. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol* 7: 413-417.
12. Abiko Y, Saitoh M, Nishimura M, Yamazaki M, Sawamura D, et al. (2007) Role of beta-defensins in oral epithelial health and disease. *Med Mol Morphol* 40: 179-184.
13. Lehrer RI (2004) Primate defensins. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2: 727-738.
14. Chung WO, Dommisch H (2011) Antimicrobial peptides of skin and oral mucosa. *Innate immune system of skin and oral mucosa*. N Dayan and P.W. Wertz, Editors. Wiley, USA.
15. Fu LM (2003) The potential of human neutrophil peptides in tuberculosis therapy. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*, 7: 1027-1032.
16. Sharma S, Verma I, Khuller GK (2001) Therapeutic potential of human neutrophil peptide 1 against experimental tuberculosis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 45: 639-640.

*Corresponding author: Whasun Oh Chung, Department of Oral Health Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA, Tel: 206-543-4339; E-mail: sochung@u.washington.edu

Received July 25, 2013; Accepted July 26, 2013; Published July 29, 2013

Citation: Chung WO (2013) Therapeutic Potentials of Antimicrobial Peptides. *J Bioanal Biomed* 5: e118. doi:10.4172/1948-593X.1000e118

Copyright: © 2013 Chung WO. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

-
17. Shu Q, Shi Z, Zhao Z, Chen Z, Yao H, et al. (2006) Protection against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pneumonia and sepsis-induced lung injury by overexpression of beta-defensin-2 in rats. *Shock* 26: 365-371.
 18. Boman HG (2003) Antibacterial peptides: basic facts and emerging concepts. *J Intern Med* 254: 197-215.
 19. Hancock RE, Sahl HG (2006) Antimicrobial and host-defense peptides as new anti-infective therapeutic strategies. *Nat Biotechnol* 24: 1551-1557.
 20. Brogden KA, Heidari M, Sacco RE, Palmquist D, Guthmiller JM, et al. (2003) Defensin-induced adaptive immunity in mice and its potential in preventing periodontal disease. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* 18: 95-99.
 21. Oppenheim J, Biragyn A, Kwak L, Yang D, et al. (2003) Roles of antimicrobial peptides such as defensins in innate and adaptive immunity. *Ann Rheum Dis* 62: 7-21.
 22. Winter J, Pantelis A, Reich R, Martini M, Kraus D, et al. (2011) Human beta-defensin-1, -2, and -3 exhibit opposite effects on oral squamous cell carcinoma cell proliferation. *Cancer Invest* 29: 196-201.