The Role of Endoscopic Surgery in the Treatment of Painful Conditions of an Aging Spine: State of the Art
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Abstract

Endoscopic spine surgeons bridge the major gap between fusion-oriented spinal surgeons and pain management physicians. Current surgical philosophy by traditionally trained spinal surgeons focuses on decompression with or without fusion as the “ultimate cure” for a painful spinal segment, while pain management uses spinal injections and techniques such as peripheral nerve and spinal cord neuro-modulation to relieve pain. Endoscopic surgery is the least invasive of current minimally invasive surgical options-focuses on treating the patho-anatomy of the pain generator. This represents a path between traditional open decompression with or without fusion and the more temporary techniques of pain management.
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Introduction

Common low back pain, whether affecting lifestyle or considered debilitating, will afflict most people in their lifetime due to disc degeneration from trauma or the normal aging process. Recent clinical studies demonstrate that imaging studies detecting disc degeneration even in asymptomatic patients will eventually result in the development of low back pain severe enough for patients to seek medical and surgical treatment [1-3]. Degenerative conditions in an aging spine are well documented in Cadaver cryosections by Wolfgang Rauschning and a degenerative cascade described by Pfirrmann. It is also recognized that lower back and neck pain is 3rd only after #1 diabetes, and essentially debilitating, will afflict most people in their lifetime due to disc degeneration from trauma or the normal aging process. Recent clinical studies demonstrate that imaging studies detecting disc degeneration even in asymptomatic patients will eventually result in the development of low back pain severe enough for patients to seek medical and surgical treatment [1-3]. Degenerative conditions in an aging spine are well documented in Cadaver cryosections by Wolfgang Rauschning and a degenerative cascade described by Pfirrmann. It is also recognized that lower back and neck pain is 3rd only after #1 diabetes, and essentially tied with ischemic heart disease, listed as #2, in the top 5 common health condition expenditures according to an analysis published by JAMA in 2013 (Figure 1).

The patho-physiology of pain

Why some patients develop debilitating pain or are unable to accept and tolerate common low back pain is still not completely understood, as pain is multi-factorial. Nevertheless, physicians of all backgrounds, from primary care, to non-surgical and surgical specialties all offer medical advice from their own narrow perspectives as practitioners of spine care. In China, spine care is complemented by traditional non-surgical treatments such as acupuncture with thousands of years of medical history. All techniques are part of current treatment schemes and algorithms worldwide. “Modern” treatment in the United States has recently created an opioid crisis when powerful pain relieving pharmaceuticals became the easiest method to provide short-term immediate clinical relief. The procedural oriented specialties of pain management and interventional radiology has also emerged along with ever increasing minimally invasive fusion techniques.

The gap between pain management and traditional surgery

There is a major gap, however, between fusion-oriented spinal surgeons and non-surgical specialties such as pain management, physical medicine, rehabilitation, and interventional radiology among the multiple non-surgical methods treating chronic back pain and sciatica. Endoscopic spinal surgeons bridge the treatment gap. Current surgical philosophy by traditionally-trained spinal surgeons focuses on fusion as the ultimate “cure” for a painful spinal segment, justified by concepts of instability, secondary to macro or micro trauma and aging.

Pain management adopts injection procedures that ultimately depend on the natural healing process. For debilitating pain resistant to injections, peripheral nerve blocks, peripheral neuromodulation, spinal cord stimulation, or dorsal root ganglion neuromodulation is utilized, especially following “failed back surgery syndrome”. Endoscopic spine surgery—the least invasive of current surgical options-offers a path between surgical fusion and the techniques of pain management.

Fusion

Fusion has also evolved throughout its modern history, with new...
concepts changing every few years since the pedicle screw revolution that promotes instrumented stabilization. Multiple minimally invasive fusions implants and techniques have emerged in the past 10 years, fuelled and supported by industry. Current emphasis is now on attaining sagittal alignment, another phase in the evolution of fusion techniques. Now, with the advent of MIS decompression techniques, some key opinion leaders among spine surgeons are recognizing that not all patients require instrumented fusion. Endoscopic decompression, whether translaminar or transforaminal (the least invasive) will eventually lead the way for staging procedures and offering the patient more treatment choices. Of the endoscopic techniques, the transforaminal approach is the least invasive.

Pain management

For pain management, the current focus is on injection techniques, radiofrequency rhizotomy, peripheral sensory nerve blocks, and neuromodulation of the spinal cord or dorsal root ganglion. This has been applied as a primary non-surgical procedural oriented subspecialty treatment or as a salvage technique following failed back surgery syndrome that ignores treatable patho-physiology and patho-anatomy by foraminal decompression, nerve ablation, and disc irrigation. Endoscopic surgeons promote “full endoscopic” decompression procedures as the least invasive of the surgical trends promoting minimal invasiveness in spinal surgery through translaminar or transforaminal approaches. There are now multiple subspecialty societies worldwide supporting this platform.

Transforaminal endoscopic decompression and intradiscal therapy

Patients with disc degeneration, even in asymptomatic patients have a high Risk of eventually developing low back pain, according to a prospective study assessment in Southern Chinese by the University of Hong Kong [4,5]. The current treatment of selective endoscopic discectomy from an extensive personal database of over 10,000 clinical cases since 1991 by Anthony Yeung has identified the disc as the common denominator in the patho-genesis of common chronic low back pain. Intradiscal therapy is the only Level 1 validated procedure that has under gone two large double blinded randomized studies and over 38 cohort studies. Chymopapain was eventually adopted for clinical use. It was adopted widely and utilized successfully in Korea, the United States, Europe, and Canada. Unfortunately, pharma abandoned it, and attempts to bring it back after abandonment by a multi-disciplinary group of physician users was unsuccessful due to FDA requirement roadblocks requiring new clinical studies by the FDA for bringing back an “Orphan” drug. Intralaminar therapy by minimally invasive endoscopic decompression through endoscopic disc decompression and thermal annuloplasty has subsequently evolved and has demonstrated great clinical success, with visualization and endoscopically visualized selective endoscopic discectomy and thermal annuloplasty. The disc is the main source of common low back pain, and endoscopic surgery of the disc has demonstrated great success as a major aspect and part of endoscopic intradiscal treatment (Figures 2 and 3).

Because endoscopic decompression of the disc is not taught in academic programs, transforaminal decompression is still misunderstood, undervalued, and underutilized even by general endoscopic surgeons. Practically, spine surgeons adopting endoscopic spine surgery, are more familiar with the anatomy of open surgical approaches, and are migrating to translaminar endoscopic approaches rather than transforaminal approaches. Transforaminal approaches, possibly more difficult for those unfamiliar to the anatomy, is still safer because it is easily accomplished utilizing local anaesthesia in patients with significant co-morbidities. While endoscopic spine surgery is growing, almost all studies comparing mini open versus endoscopic trans laminar surgery or minimally open surgery demonstrate no statistically different outcomes in comparison studies, even though the endoscopic approaches have documented advantages of less surgical morbidity. Advancements in endoscopic spine surgery are being propagated mostly in private practice settings, which compete with traditionally trained surgeons.

The transforaminal approach has served to advance endoscopic spine, marketed as “full endoscopic” spine surgery when translaminar endoscopic decompression is combined with the less familiar transforaminal approach. For experienced endoscopic surgeons, with training and experience in both translaminar and transforaminal approaches, as many as 80-90% of all painful conditions can now be treated partially or fully with the endoscope. Operating under local anaesthesia, best and safest performed under local anaesthesia, enhances, and facilitates the safety and efficacy of the endoscopic platform.

Health Care Reforms

The current health care environment in the USA aims to stem the escalating cost of spine care also create roadblocks to innovation. Government and insurance payers tend to prioritize cost management over what may be the most effective treatment for a surgically stratified individual patient. The individual “surgeon factor” will also come into play.
Payment is increasingly governed by guidelines developed by payers and stakeholders using evidence-based medicine (EBM) through Level 1 and 2 validation before considering payment. Each stakeholder, therefore, focuses on level 1 and 2 EBM studies to stake their claim for payment in the environment of shrinking reimbursement. By insisting on level 1 and 2 EBM, treatment decisions become more contorted, dictated by struggles for complex payment codes, and by playing the reimbursement game. This scenario serves as a roadblock to continued innovation for the most effective and least invasive treatment of the patient's pain dependent more on surgeon skill than expensive implants and devices.

All competing factions need new directions, with cooperation between physicians and other healthcare providers. We all need to be aware of each other's contributions as a multi-disciplinary team. Specialties need to coordinate care in cooperation with each other. Also, competing providers should consider a "warranty" for the anticipated results of their procedures. If this concept is accepted in exchange for lifting the relentless pressure on re-imbursement, the cost of health care can be effectively curtailed while allowing continued innovation and development based on cost and value, much like how consumer products are marketed and warranted.

Our ability to respond to the individual needs of our patients will help facilitate cost containment. This will encourage innovation by preserving physician autonomy with incentive to develop new treatment through clinical and basic science research. This will allow physicians autonomy to treat patients for their individual needs with minimal interference from payers and regulators.

By focusing on the patho-anatomic source of pain through endoscopic visualization, surgical pain management using the endoscopic surgery philosophy and technique will move this subspecialty to mainstream medicine. The best method at this time is to distribute information in open access journals and training efforts. Clinical research can still be validated with traditional EBM methods of validation as long as they are peer reviewed and confirmed by the US Food and Drug Association (FDA) and marketed in the USA in partnership with Richard Wolf's surgical instrument company. In 1997, the Yeung Endoscopic Spine System (YESS) was cleared by the FDA and marketed in the USA [20-24].

In vivo Visualization of Patho-anatomy

Pain is better understood with in vivo visualization and probing of the pain generators using endoscopic transforaminal access, rather than just relying on inexact symptom diagrams. With endoscopic image correlation of the patho-anatomy of imaging studies, a new form of evidence-based medicine should be adopted. This facilitates a shared decision-making process involving the patient and surgeon, focused on a broader spectrum of surgical as well as non-surgical treatments, and not just masking the pain generator. Transforaminal endoscopic decompression, irrigation, and ablation have moved away from decisions based on imaging confirmation alone. Image abnormalities cannot, by themselves, explain the pain and disability experienced by each individual patient. Radiographic images do not always show variations in nerve supply and patho-anatomy, nor do they quantify the pain experienced by a patient. The ability to isolate and visualize "pain" generators in the foramen, treating patients under local anesthesia, and treating persistent pain by visualizing inflammation and compression of nerves, serves as the basis for transforaminal endoscopic (TFE) surgery [16-19]. This has also resulted in better pre-surgical planning with more specific and defined goals in mind. There are various surgical philosophies and techniques proposed by other pioneers in endoscopic surgery, but I choose to embrace the "inside out" philosophy of TFE surgery as safe and precise in well-trained surgical hands. It provides basic access to the disc and foramen that cover a large spectrum of painful pathologies with the least surgical risk.

Clinical Experience

My own experience with the endoscopic approach for the spine began in 1991, when I took an arthroscopic spine course taught by Parviz Kambin (Philadelphia, USA) with extensive personal experience in joint arthroscopy, I began using the endoscope to examine patho-anatomy in the spine. I quickly decided to visualize the epidural space through the foramen with the "inside out" technique to obtain confirmation that the spinal nerves were decompressed. Visualized use of Holmium:Yag laser for fine bone and soft tissue dissection was also demonstrated to be a valuable surgical tool (Figure 4).

Laser as a surgical tool

In 1991, the laser was introduced for the lumbar spine, and I became a fan of laser as a surgical tool under endoscopic visualization, because it had the tissue effect of decreasing bleeding, ablating soft tissue as well as bone. The small working surgical portal made it an effective surgical tool through an endoscope directed to the disc and foramen ad epidural space through a 6 mm inner diameter surgical cannula and an endoscope with a 2.8 mm to 4.1 mm working channel endoscope [20-24].

The development of the Yeung Endoscopic Spine System (YESS)

This experience caused me to develop my own endoscopic system in partnership with Richard Wolf’s surgical instrument company. In 1997, the Yeung Endoscopic Spine System (YESS) was cleared by the US Food and Drug administration (FDA) and marketed in the USA (Figure 5).

I have not deviated from this surgical philosophy since then, except to evolve the technique, aided by the recognition of spinal patho-anatomy. I have customized my surgical instrumentation to facilitate decompression, ablation, and irrigation of the pain generator. The patho-anatomy is identified by the endoscope, and probing, decompression, ablation or transection treats the effect of surgery under local anaesthesia.

My original endoscope design is still the state of the art configuration for intradiscal therapy, where the degenerative process begins. It has been copied and reproduced to some extent in designs
Yeung, Chris was trained by me in endoscopic spine surgery while he my son, a traditionally fellowship trained spinal surgeon, Christopher.

In retrospect, I should have had confirming imaging and endoscopic transforaminal surgery months earlier. Although I initially adhered to my personal thoughts, and a review of the evolving literature. Surg Tech Int: 25.
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