

The Implementation of Practice Paradigms and Programmes for Precision Medicine

Jennifer Whitlock*

Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, USA

Introduction

Cancer prevention, diagnosis, prognosis, and therapies are all impacted by the developing discipline of personalised medicine. The recent introduction of many individualised, molecularly targeted medicines with greater efficacy and/or lower toxicity into mainstream clinical practise demonstrates its significance in clinical management. The discovery of genes that increase the chance of acquiring cancer, such as the BRCA genes in breast cancer, allows screening programmes to identify patients who are "at-risk" of getting cancer and supports their choice of personal risk-reducing behaviours. The use of personalised medicine is becoming more and more significant in the fight against cancer. It is becoming increasingly evident that there are molecularly distinct subtypes of several common cancers, and that each subtype requires a different therapeutic approach. As an example, HER2-positive breast cancer and colorectal cancer with wild-type KRAS are treated with monoclonal antibodies while non-small-cell lung cancer and chronic myeloid leukaemia are treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors. The effectiveness of different targeted therapies in such a wide range of tumour types suggests that we are entering a time when treatment decisions will be made based on the molecular abnormality profile or "signature" of the tumour rather than the tissue type or anatomical site of origin, which will improve patient prognosis and quality of life [1].

Description

This concise overview concentrates on the function of personalised medicine in the diagnosis, prognosis, and therapy of cancer, as well as its potential in oncology [2]. The three distinct precision medicine practise models created by oncology pharmacists are discussed, along with implementation tactics and suggestions for training the upcoming group of oncology pharmacy professionals. Somatic mutations are unusual in oncology since they can both promote the growth of a tumour and act as a therapeutic target for curing the disease [3]. Interprofessional teams, including pharmacists, debate tumour somatic mutations in precision medicine practise models in order to inform patient-specific treatment. Oncology pharmacists established and oversaw the implementation of precision medicine practise paradigms at the University of Wisconsin, Indiana University, and Moffit Cancer Center. To improve integration into health systems and payment structures, various practise models, including a clinic, a clinical consultation service, and a molecular tumour board were implemented [4].

Despite the differences in practise models, three of them include a clinical

pharmacist's leadership, particular therapeutic advice, the acquisition of drugs for off-label use, and a research component. Pharmacy and medical students and residents, these three practise models serve as interprofessional teaching locations, serving as a crucial training resource for these institutions. Multiprofessional participation, institutional support, integration into clinical workflow, and model selection based on payer mix are important implementation tactics. Pharmacogenomic-based dose recommendations for a number of oncolytic drugs used in cancer treatment and supportive care help to reduce potential side effects. We explore a number of resources to help inform cancer therapy options with regard to somatic mutations [5].

Conclusion

The molecular tumour board is an emerging practise model for oncology pharmacists and a mechanism for clinical genomic medicine application in oncology. Oncology pharmacy residents must be trained in genomic oncology, precision medicine and genomics education should be expanded in pharmacy schools, and opportunities for continuing education in precision medicine should be made available to practising pharmacists because pharmacists must be ready to fully participate in contemporary practise.

Conflicts of Interest

None.

References

- Alexandrov, Peter N., Prerna Dua, James M. Hill and Surjyadipta Bhattacharjee, et al. "MicroRNA (miRNA) speciation in Alzheimer's disease (AD) cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and extracellular fluid (ECF)." *Int J Biochem Mol Biol* 3 (2012): 365.
- Bergman, Petra, Eliane Piket, Mohsen Khademi and Tojo James, et al. "Circulating miR-150 in CSF is a novel candidate biomarker for multiple sclerosis." *Neuro Immunol Neuroinflamm* 3 (2016).
- Cummings, Jeffrey L. "Biomarkers in Alzheimer's disease drug development." *Alzheimer's & Dementia* 7 (2011):e13-e44.
- Deng X., Nakamura Y. "Cancer precision medicine: from cancer screening to drug selection and personalized immunotherapy." *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 38 (2017):15-24.
- Ni, Dalong, Carolina A. Ferreira, Todd E. Barnhart and Virginia Quach, et al. "Magnetic targeting of nanotheranostics enhances cerenkov radiation-induced photodynamic therapy." *J Am Chem Soc* 140 (2018):14971-14979.

*Address for Correspondence: Jennifer Whitlock, Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, USA, E-mail: Jenni788@gmail.com

Copyright: © 2022 Whitlock J. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Date of Submission: 06 April, 2022, Manuscript No. jomp-22-70434; **Editor Assigned:** 11 April, 2022, PreQC No. P-70434; **Reviewed:** 22 April, 2022, QC No. Q-70434; **Revised:** 25 April, 2022, Manuscript No. R-70434; **Published:** 30 April, 2022, DOI: 10.37421/2157-7145.2022.7.159

How to cite this article: Whitlock, Jennifer. "The Implementation of Practice Paradigms and Programmes for Precision Medicine." *J Oncol Med & Pract* 7 (2022): 159.