Commentary
Volume 12:01, 2024

Journal of Clinical & Medical Genomics

ISSN: 2472-128X Open Access

The Genomic Risks of Long term Cryopreservation: Unfreezing the Dangers

Chloe Reble*

Department of Pediatrics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

Description

Cryopreservation, the process of preserving biological material at extremely low temperatures, holds promise in various fields, from medical research to reproductive technology. However, as we delve deeper into the realm of long-term cryopreservation, particularly concerning human genetic material, we confront a host of genomic risks that demand attention. While cryopreservation offers avenues for preserving life and genetic information, unfreezing the dangers reveals complexities that extend beyond simple preservation. At the forefront of genomic risks lies the potential for genetic mutations during the freezing and thawing processes. The delicate balance of cellular structures can be disrupted, leading to DNA damage and alterations. These mutations may remain dormant for extended periods, only to manifest later, posing significant health risks to individuals whose genetic material undergoes cryopreservation. Such mutations could contribute to the development of diseases or compromise the integrity of stored genetic information, impacting future applications, including reproductive technologies and regenerative medicine. Moreover, the epigenome, which orchestrates gene expression patterns without altering the underlying DNA sequence, is susceptible to alterations during cryopreservation. Changes in epigenetic marks could disrupt gene regulation mechanisms, leading to aberrant gene expression profiles upon thawing. This has profound implications for the viability and functionality of preserved genetic material, potentially influencing the success of therapeutic interventions or assisted reproductive procedures.

Cryopreservation, the technique of preserving biological materials at extremely low temperatures, has revolutionized fields ranging from medicine to biotechnology. One of its most promising applications is the preservation of living tissues, organs, and even whole organisms for extended periods. However, as the practice of long-term cryopreservation becomes more widespread, concerns regarding its potential genomic risks have surfaced. Among these concerns is the possibility of genomic instability arising from the freezing and thawing processes involved in cryopreservation. This article explores the mechanisms behind genomic instability in cryopreserved materials and discusses the implications for research, medicine, and beyond, Cryopreservation involves cooling biological samples to temperatures below -130 °C, typically using liquid nitrogen, to halt biochemical processes and preserve cellular structures. This process allows tissues, organs, cells, or even entire organisms to be stored for extended periods without significant degradation [1-3].

Several factors contribute to genomic instability in cryopreserved materials

Ice crystal formation: During freezing, ice crystal formation can damage cellular structures, including DNA. Ice crystals can pierce cell membranes, leading to the release of enzymes that may degrade DNA or disrupt chromosomal integrity.

Oxidative stress: The freeze-thaw process can induce oxidative stress, resulting in the production of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) within cells. ROS can cause DNA damage, including base modifications and DNA strand breaks, which may compromise genomic stability [4].

Epigenetic changes: Cryopreservation may also impact epigenetic modifications, such as DNA methylation and histone acetylation, which regulate gene expression. Alterations in epigenetic marks can affect gene expression patterns and contribute to genomic instability.

Cellular senescence: Cryopreservation can induce cellular senescence, a state of irreversible growth arrest, in preserved cells. Senescent cells are characterized by changes in gene expression and chromatin structure, which may predispose them to genomic instability.

DNA damage: Analysis of cryopreserved cells has revealed increased levels of DNA damage, including DNA strand breaks and chromosomal abnormalities, compared to unfrozen controls [5].

Mutation accumulation: Long-term storage of cryopreserved materials has been associated with the accumulation of mutations, particularly in repetitive DNA sequences and telomeres, which are crucial for genomic stability.

Epigenetic alterations: Cryopreservation has been shown to induce changes in DNA methylation patterns and histone modifications, which may persist even after thawing and contribute to genomic instability.

The applications of cryopreservation are vast, including the preservation of gametes for fertility treatments, the banking of stem cells for regenerative medicine, and the storage of tissues and organs for transplantation. Genomic stability refers to the maintenance of an organism's genetic information over time. Any alterations to the genome, such as mutations or chromosomal rearrangements, can lead to genomic instability, which in turn may contribute to various diseases, including cancer. While cryopreservation aims to preserve cellular integrity, the process itself can introduce stresses that may compromise genomic stability. The long-term stability of cryopreserved genetic material remains a pressing concern. Despite advancements in cryopreservation techniques, the prolonged storage of biological samples introduces the risk of degradation over time. The gradual breakdown of cellular components and biomolecules could compromise the integrity of genetic material, rendering it unusable for intended purposes. Additionally, unforeseen environmental factors or fluctuations in storage conditions may exacerbate degradation processes, exacerbating the genomic risks associated with long-term cryopreservation.

***Address for Correspondence:** Chloe Reble, Department of Pediatrics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, E-mail: chloereble@utoronto.ca*

Received: 01 January 2024, Manuscript No. JCMG-24-129848; **Editor assigned:** 03 January, 2024, PreQC No. P-129848; **Reviewed:** 17 January 2024, QC No. Q-129848; **Revised:** 23 January 2024, Manuscript No. R-129848; **Published**: 29 January, 2024, DOI: 10.37421/2472-128X.2024.12.259

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Bartuszevige, Anne M. and Bryan A. Endress. "Do ungulates facilitate native and exotic plant spread?: Seed dispersal by cattle, elk and deer in northeastern Oregon." *J Arid Environ* 72 (2008): 904-913.
2. Weis, Judith S and Daniel Sol, eds. Biological invasions and animal behaviour. Cambridge University Press (2016).
3. Bartomeus, Ignasi, Montserrat Vila and Luís Santamaría. "Contrasting effects of invasive plants in plant–pollinator networks." *Oecologia* 155 (2008): 761-770.
4. Botero, Carlos A., Franz J. Weissing, Jonathan Wright and Dustin R. Rubenstein. "Evolutionary tipping points in the capacity to adapt to environmental change." *PNAS* 112 (2015): 184-189.
5. Bjerknes, Anne-Line, Orjan Totland, Stein Joar Hegland and Anders Nielsen. "Do alien plant invasions really affect pollination success in native plant species?." *Biol Conserv* 138 (2007): 1-12.

How to cite this article: Reble, Chloe. "The Genomic Risks of Long term Cryopreservation: Unfreezing the Dangers." *J Clin Med Genomics* 12 (2024): 259.