

# Study Finds Minimal-Incision Surgery Results in Quicker Recovery Time and Reduced Complications

Jim Kurt\*

Department of Surgery, University of Charlotte, 9201 University City Blvd, Charlotte, NC 28223, USA

## Introduction

Minimal-incision surgery, also known as minimally invasive surgery or laparoscopic surgery is a surgical technique that uses small incisions and specialized tools to perform procedures with fewer traumas to the body than traditional open surgery. Recent research has shown that minimal-incision surgery can result in quicker recovery times and reduced complications compared to open surgery [1].

## Description

Traditional open surgery involves making a large incision in the body to access the surgical site. While open surgery can be effective, it can also be associated with significant pain, scarring, and a longer recovery time. Minimal-incision surgery, on the other hand, uses small incisions and specialized tools to access the surgical site, reducing trauma to the body and allowing for a quicker recovery time. One study published in the Journal of the American Medical Association found that patients who underwent laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer had a shorter hospital stay and fewer complications compared to patients who underwent open surgery. The study also found that patients who underwent laparoscopic surgery had a lower risk of developing a surgical site infection and a lower risk of requiring additional surgery [2].

Another study published in the found that patients who underwent laparoscopic surgery for endometrial cancer had a shorter hospital stay, less blood loss, and fewer complications compared to patients who underwent open surgery. The study also found that patients who underwent laparoscopic surgery had a lower risk of developing a postoperative fever and a shorter time to resuming a regular diet. While minimal-incision surgery can offer significant benefits over traditional open surgery, it is not without its risks and limitations. One potential risk of laparoscopic surgery is injury to nearby organs or structures due to the use of specialized tools and limited visualization of the surgical site. Additionally, laparoscopic surgery may not be appropriate for all patients, depending on the type and location of the surgical site [3].

It is important for patients to discuss the risks and benefits of minimal-incision surgery with their healthcare provider to determine if it is an appropriate option for their individual needs. In some cases, traditional open surgery may still be the best option for achieving the desired surgical outcome. Surgery has been shown to offer significant benefits over traditional open surgery, including a shorter recovery time and reduced risk of complications. While there are some potential risks and limitations to consider, minimal-incision surgery is an important option for many surgical procedures and can help to improve patient outcomes and quality of life. As technology continues to advance, it is likely that minimal-incision

surgery will become an increasingly important tool in the field of surgery [4].

Advancements in technology have enabled surgeons to perform an increasing number of procedures using minimal-incision techniques. For example, robotic-assisted laparoscopic surgery allows surgeons to perform complex procedures with greater precision and accuracy, further reducing the risk of complications and improving patient outcomes. Another area of development in minimal-incision surgery is single-incision laparoscopic surgery, also known as SILS or single-port surgery. This technique involves making a single incision through which multiple specialized instruments are inserted to perform the surgical procedure. While still in its early stages, SILS has shown promising results in reducing scarring and pain associated with traditional laparoscopic surgery.

Despite the benefits of minimal-incision surgery, there are some challenges associated with its adoption. One challenge is the need for specialized training for surgeons to become proficient in performing procedures using minimal-incision techniques. Additionally, the cost of equipment and training for minimal-incision surgery can be higher than traditional open surgery, making it less accessible in some healthcare settings [5]. However, with increasing evidence of the benefits of minimal-incision surgery, more healthcare providers are adopting these techniques and making them available to their patients. As technology continues to advance and training becomes more widespread, it is likely that minimal-incision surgery will become an increasingly common option for many surgical procedures.

## Conclusion

Minimal-incision surgery offers significant benefits over traditional open surgery, including a shorter recovery time, reduced risk of complications, and less scarring. While there are some challenges associated with its adoption, advancements in technology and training are making minimal-incision surgery increasingly accessible to patients. As more research is conducted in this area, it is likely that minimal-incision surgery will continue to be an important tool for improving patient outcomes and quality of life.

## Acknowledgement

None.

## Conflict of Interest

None.

## References

1. Woolson, Steven T, Christopher S. Mow, Jose Fernando Syquia and John V. Lannin, et al. "Comparison of primary total hip replacements performed with a standard incision or a mini-incision." *JBJS* 86 (2004): 1353-1358.
2. Chen, Dave Weichih, Chih-Chien Hu, Yu-Han Chang and Wen-E. Yang, et al. "Comparison of clinical outcome in primary total hip arthroplasty by conventional anterolateral transgluteal or 2-incision approach." *J Arthroplasty* 24 (2009): 528-532.
3. Archibeck, Michael J and Richard E. White Jr. "Learning curve for the two-incision total hip replacement." *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 429 (2004): 232-238.

\*Address for Correspondence: Jim Kurt, Department of Surgery, University of Charlotte, 9201 University City Blvd, Charlotte, NC 28223, USA; E-mail: jimkurt@gmail.com

Copyright: © 2023 Kurt J. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 02 May, 2023; Manuscript No. JOS-23-98535; Editor Assigned: 04 May, 2023; PreQC No. P-98535; Reviewed: 17 May, 2023; QC No. Q-98535; Revised: 23 May, 2023, Manuscript No. R-98535; Published: 31 May, 2023, DOI: 10.37421/1584-9341.2023.19.91

4. Sendtner, Ernst, Katja Borowiak, Tibor Schuster and Michael Woerner, et al. "Tackling the learning curve: Comparison between the anterior, minimally invasive (Micro-hip®) and the lateral, transgluteal (Bauer) approach for primary total hip replacement." *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 131 (2011): 597-602.
5. Connolly, Keith P and Atul F. Kamath. "Direct anterior total hip arthroplasty: Comparative outcomes and contemporary results." *World J Orthop* 7 (2016): 94.

**How to cite this article:** Kurt, Jim. "Study Finds Minimal-Incision Surgery Results in Quicker Recovery Time and Reduced Complications." *J Surg* 19 (2023): 91.