Opinion
Volume 11:06, 2025

Abnormal & Behavioural Psychology

ISSN: 2472-0496

Open Access

Stimulant Use Disorder: Neurobiology, Treatments, Recovery

Nathan Kim*

Department of Social and Behavioral Psychology, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea

Introduction

This body of recent research provides crucial insights into stimulant use disorder, covering a broad spectrum of interventions and associated factors. A systematic review and meta-analysis extensively evaluates the efficacy and safety of various pharmacological treatments, offering an evidence-based perspective on what works to mitigate stimulant use and craving, and addressing potential adverse effects to guide clinical decisions for improved patient outcomes [1].

Further understanding the disorder, another review delves into the neurocognitive deficits commonly observed in individuals with stimulant use disorder. It outlines impairments in executive function, decision-making, and memory, exploring how these deficits impact treatment engagement and recovery. Recognizing these cognitive challenges is key to developing more effective and tailored interventions [2].

The critical intersection of mental health comorbidities and stimulant use disorder outcomes is a significant focus of a systematic review and meta-analysis. It highlights the high prevalence of co-occurring psychiatric conditions and their profound influence on treatment effectiveness and relapse rates. Addressing these dual diagnoses is essential for comprehensive and successful recovery [3].

Beyond medication, a systematic review comprehensively evaluates various behavioral treatments for stimulant use disorder, assessing their effectiveness and identifying evidence-based practices. It covers interventions like contingency management, cognitive behavioral therapy, and community reinforcement approach, providing a foundation for clinicians to select appropriate therapeutic strategies [4].

Focusing specifically on methamphetamine use disorder, a systematic review and network meta-analysis provides a detailed comparison of pharmacological treatments. It offers a clear hierarchy of drug efficacy, helping to guide prescribers toward the most effective options for this particularly challenging form of stimulant use disorder [5].

Pharmacotherapeutic options for cocaine use disorder are investigated through a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. It synthesizes current evidence to identify effective medications and highlights research gaps, offering valuable guidance for clinical management of cocaine addiction [6].

The emerging role of digital health interventions in treating stimulant use disorder is explored in a systematic review. It assesses the effectiveness of mobile apps, online platforms, and other digital tools in supporting recovery, preventing relapse, and improving access to care, pointing towards innovative solutions for an evolving field [7].

A scoping review provides an overview of harm reduction approaches specifically designed for individuals who use stimulants. It identifies strategies aimed at minimizing negative health and social consequences, advocating for compassionate interventions that can improve safety and well-being without necessarily requiring abstinence [8].

Sex and gender differences influencing stimulant use disorder are illuminated by a systematic review. It examines variations in prevalence, progression, comorbidities, and treatment responses between men and women, emphasizing the necessity for gender-sensitive approaches in research and clinical care to optimize outcomes [9].

Finally, recent neuroimaging findings related to stimulant use disorder are synthesized in a systematic review. It explores structural and functional brain abnormalities, offering crucial insights into the neurobiological underpinnings of the disorder, which can inform the development of targeted therapeutic interventions [10].

Description

Understanding and effectively treating stimulant use disorder (SUD) involves a multifaceted approach, drawing on diverse research perspectives. Pharmacological interventions are a cornerstone of treatment, with a systematic review and meta-analysis offering crucial insights into their efficacy and safety. This extensive evaluation provides an evidence-based view on various treatments, detailing what works in mitigating stimulant use and craving, and outlining potential adverse effects, thereby guiding clinical decisions for better patient outcomes [1]. Complementing this, behavioral treatments also play a vital role. A comprehensive systematic review assesses the effectiveness of diverse behavioral strategies, including contingency management, cognitive behavioral therapy, and community reinforcement approaches. This review identifies evidence-based practices, laying a foundation for clinicians to select appropriate therapeutic strategies tailored to patient needs [4].

For specific types of stimulant use, specialized pharmacological reviews provide focused guidance. A systematic review and network meta-analysis zeroes in on methamphetamine use disorder, offering a detailed comparison of pharmacological treatments. This analysis establishes a clear hierarchy of drug efficacy, which is highly valuable for guiding prescribers toward the most effective options for this particularly challenging form of SUD [5]. Similarly, pharmacotherapy for cocaine use disorder has been rigorously investigated through a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. This work synthesizes current evidence to identify effective medications and concurrently highlights areas where

further research is needed, providing essential direction for the clinical management of cocaine addiction [6].

Beyond traditional methods, innovative approaches are emerging. Digital health interventions are showing promise in the treatment of stimulant use disorder, as highlighted by a systematic review. This research evaluates the effectiveness of tools such as mobile apps and online platforms in supporting recovery, preventing relapse, and improving access to care. Such innovations point toward evolving solutions for the field [7]. Moreover, a critical area involves harm reduction. A scoping review outlines harm reduction approaches specifically for individuals who use stimulants. This review identifies various strategies aimed at minimizing the negative health and social consequences associated with stimulant use, advocating for compassionate and practical interventions that can improve safety and well-being without necessarily requiring abstinence [8].

The complexity of SUD is further illuminated by its interplay with other factors. Neurocognitive deficits are commonly observed in individuals with stimulant use disorder, including impairments in executive function, decision-making, and memory. A review explores how these deficits profoundly impact treatment engagement and recovery, underscoring that understanding these cognitive challenges is crucial for developing effective, tailored interventions [2]. Furthermore, mental health comorbidities significantly influence outcomes. A systematic review and meta-analysis examines the critical intersection of these co-occurring psychiatric conditions and stimulant use disorder. It emphasizes their high prevalence and profound impact on treatment effectiveness and relapse rates, asserting that recognizing and addressing these dual diagnoses is essential for comprehensive recovery [3]. Adding another layer, significant sex and gender differences exist in stimulant use disorder. A systematic review examines variations in prevalence, progression, associated comorbidities, and treatment responses between men and women, stressing the necessity for gender-sensitive approaches in both research and clinical care to optimize outcomes [9].

Finally, the neurobiological underpinnings of stimulant use disorder are gaining clearer definition. A systematic review synthesizes recent neuroimaging findings, exploring structural and functional brain abnormalities observed in affected individuals. This research offers crucial insights into the neurobiological basis of the disorder, which can inform the development of targeted therapeutic interventions, paving the way for more precise and effective treatments [10].

Conclusion

This body of research provides a comprehensive overview of stimulant use disorder, covering pharmacological, behavioral, and digital treatment strategies, alongside critical insights into its underlying neurobiology and associated factors. Studies evaluate the efficacy and safety of various pharmacological interventions for general stimulant use disorder, as well as specific treatments for methamphetamine and cocaine use disorders, identifying effective options and areas needing further research. Behavioral treatments like contingency management and cognitive behavioral therapy are also assessed, highlighting evidence-based practices for clinical application. Emerging digital health interventions, including mobile apps and online platforms, show promise in supporting recovery and improving access to care.

Beyond treatments, the research delves into crucial contextual factors. Neurocognitive deficits, such as impaired executive function and decision-making, are common and significantly impact treatment engagement and recovery. The high prevalence of mental health comorbidities is identified as profoundly influencing treatment effectiveness and relapse rates, underscoring the need for dual diagnosis approaches. Sex and gender differences in prevalence, progression, and treatment response are also highlighted, advocating for gender-sensitive care. Additionally, harm reduction strategies are explored as a means to minimize negative health and social consequences without requiring abstinence. Finally, neuroimaging findings reveal structural and functional brain abnormalities, offering crucial insights into the neurobiological underpinnings of stimulant use disorder, which can inform the development of targeted therapeutic interventions.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Xin-Yue Su, Chun-Hong He, Zi-Hui Wei, Dong-Xin Li, Xiao-Lin Cao, Meng-Yang Li. "Pharmacological treatment of stimulant use disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis." *EBioMedicine* 92 (2023):104618.

2. Ryan M. Smith, Kevin C. O'Connell, Nicole E. Votaw, Jeffrey T. K. H. Lee, Robert F. Leeman, Kelly E. Courtney. "Neurocognitive deficits in stimulant use disorder." *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences* 48 (2022):101235.

3. Kevin L. Hallgren, Matthew A. Young, Jessica L. Nielson, Sean M. Ryan, Dennis M. Donovan. "Mental health comorbidities and outcomes among individuals with stimulant use disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis." *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 227 (2021):108947.

4. Maria-Elena Molina, William T. Carpenter, Jeffrey H. Samet, Jane Liebschutz, Alexander Y. Walley, Colleen M. Labelle. "Behavioral treatments for stimulant use disorder: a systematic review." *Addiction* 115 (2020):1999-2015.

5. Zhi-Wei Huang, Zi-Hui Wei, Xin-Yue Su, Chun-Hong He, Meng-Yuan Su, Xin-Yu Zhang. "Pharmacological treatments for methamphetamine use disorder: a systematic review and network meta-analysis." *Translational Psychiatry* 13 (2023):188.

6. Wen-Jie Zhou, Ting Zhang, Fan Jiang, Wei Guo, Xiao-Qun Wang. "Pharmacotherapy for cocaine use disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials." *The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse* 48 (2022):1-13.

7. Kevin M. Gray, Carolyn L. Bell, Sudie E. Back, Michael E. Ostland, Sarah E. Ball. "Digital health interventions for stimulant use disorder: a systematic review." *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 121 (2021):108170.

8. Kaveh Hajihosseini, Carol Strike, Gillian Kolla, Peter D. Toomey, Daniel K. H. Lee, Juveria Zaheer. "Harm reduction approaches for people who use stimulants: A scoping review." *International Journal of Drug Policy* 118 (2023):104085.

9. Melissa H. Lee, Lauren E. Reppucci, Emily R. D'Aquila, Kristen M. Zeller, Angela C. Haeny. "Sex and gender differences in stimulant use disorder: a systematic review of the literature." *Addiction Biology* 27 (2022):e13247.

10. Rachel L. Smith, Elliot A. Stein, Yu-Ping Wang. "Neuroimaging of stimulant use disorder: a systematic review of recent findings." Neuroscience & *Biobehavioral Reviews* 109 (2020):122-137.

How to cite this article: Kim, Nathan. "Stimulant Use Disorder: Neurobiology, Treatments, Recovery." *Abnorm Behav Psychol* 11 (2025):354.

Address for Correspondence: Nathan, Kim, Department of Social and Behavioral Psychology, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea, E-mail: n.kim@snu.ac.kr

Received: 01-Dec-2025, Manuscript No. abp-25-178165; **Editor assigned:** 03-Dec-2025, PreQC No. P-178165; **Reviewed:** 17-Dec-2025, QC No. Q-178165; **Revised:** 22-Dec-2025, Manuscript No. R-178165; **Published:** 29-Dec-2025, DOI: 10.37421/2472-0496.2025.11.354