Brief Report
Volume 21:04, 2025

Journal of Surgery

ISSN: 1584-9341

Open Access

Sphincter Preservation: Balancing Cancer Control and Quality of Life

Maria Fernanda Rios*

Department of Surgical Oncology, University of the Andes, Bogota 111711, Colombia

Introduction

The field of sphincter-preserving rectal surgery has seen significant advancements, aiming to balance oncological control with the preservation of patient quality of life, particularly bowel function. This review synthesizes current knowledge on the functional outcomes following these procedures, emphasizing that while achieving cancer-free status remains paramount, the patient's functional well-being is a critical consideration in the overall success of treatment [1].

One of the key areas of focus is the impact of these surgeries on continence, frequency, urgency, and the development of low anterior resection syndrome (LARS), a collection of symptoms that can significantly impair a patient's daily life. The review highlights the complex interplay between surgical technique, neoadjuvant therapies, and patient selection in optimizing these functional results [1].

Investigating the long-term functional results after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy followed by sphincter-preserving surgery for rectal cancer is crucial. While neoadjuvant treatment can improve local control, it may also contribute to a higher incidence of LARS and impaired continence. However, the authors note that experienced surgical teams and careful patient counseling can mitigate these effects, underscoring the importance of personalized treatment strategies [2].

The comparison of different surgical techniques, specifically laparoscopic versus open proctectomy, on functional outcomes in rectal cancer patients is another important aspect. Findings suggest that minimally invasive approaches may lead to faster recovery and potentially better early functional results, though long-term differences in LARS and continence are still under investigation. The study emphasizes the need for standardized assessment of functional outcomes across different surgical modalities [3].

A comprehensive analysis of patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) after sphincter-preserving rectal surgery is essential. This highlights the importance of incorporating PROMs into routine clinical practice to better understand and address the patient experience, particularly concerning bowel dysfunction and overall quality of life. The authors advocate for the use of validated questionnaires to track functional outcomes and guide postoperative management [4].

The prospective evaluation of the incidence and severity of low anterior resection syndrome (LARS) after restorative proctocolectomy, even for conditions like ulcerative colitis, is pertinent. It identifies risk factors associated with developing LARS and explores its impact on patients' daily lives, suggesting that significant functional challenges can persist despite sphincter preservation, necessitating targeted management strategies [5].

Examining the role of pelvic floor rehabilitation in improving functional outcomes after sphincter-preserving rectal surgery is a promising avenue. Structured pelvic floor exercises have demonstrated the potential to significantly enhance continence and reduce LARS symptoms in patients experiencing postoperative bowel dysfunction, highlighting the value of non-surgical interventions [6].

The review focusing on the anatomical and physiological changes affecting bowel function after anterior resection delves into the mechanisms underlying LARS. Understanding these pathophysiological processes, including altered rectal reservoir capacity, reduced compliance, and changes in the rectoanal inhibitory reflex, is crucial for developing targeted therapeutic interventions [7].

Assessing oncoplastic principles in sphincter-preserving surgery for rectal cancer and their influence on functional outcomes is also key. This involves tailoring surgical techniques to achieve both oncological safety and optimal functional results, such as the preservation of anal sphincters and adequate rectal capacity, which can lead to better patient satisfaction and quality of life [8].

Finally, the systematic review examining stoma management, including creation and reversal strategies, following sphincter-preserving rectal surgery and their impact on functional recovery is vital. This addresses the optimal timing for stoma reversal and factors influencing successful reversal, aiming to minimize long-term morbidity and improve patient quality of life [9].

Description

The fundamental goal of sphincter-preserving rectal surgery is to achieve complete oncological clearance while simultaneously safeguarding the patient's quality of life, with particular emphasis on bowel function. This comprehensive review synthesizes the current understanding of functional outcomes following such procedures, underscoring that while oncological control is the primary objective, the patient's functional well-being, especially bowel function, is a critical determinant of treatment success [1].

Key aspects explored within this domain include the multifactorial impact on fecal continence, stool frequency and urgency, and the prevalence and severity of low anterior resection syndrome (LARS). The review meticulously outlines the influence of surgical technique, the role of neoadjuvant therapies in shaping outcomes, and the importance of judicious patient selection in optimizing functional results. Emerging strategies and the necessity of multidisciplinary care are also discussed in detail [1].

A significant area of investigation involves the long-term functional results following neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy combined with sphincter-preserving surgery for rectal cancer. While neoadjuvant treatment confers benefits in terms of local

tumor control, it concurrently may increase the incidence of LARS and compromise continence. Nevertheless, experienced surgical teams and thorough patient counseling can effectively mitigate these adverse effects, reinforcing the imperative for personalized treatment strategies to harmonize oncological and functional outcomes [2].

Furthermore, research comparing different surgical modalities, specifically laparoscopic proctectomy versus open proctectomy, for rectal cancer provides valuable insights into their respective impacts on functional outcomes and quality of life. Preliminary findings suggest that minimally invasive approaches may facilitate quicker recovery and potentially superior early functional results, although long-term comparative data on LARS and continence remain an active area of research. The need for standardized functional outcome assessments across diverse surgical approaches is strongly advocated [3].

The integration of patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) into the management of patients undergoing sphincter-preserving rectal surgery is a pivotal development. This approach is vital for a deeper understanding and more effective management of the patient experience, particularly concerning bowel dysfunction and overall quality of life. The authors strongly recommend the consistent use of validated questionnaires to monitor functional outcomes and inform postoperative care strategies [4].

Prospective studies evaluating the incidence and characteristics of low anterior resection syndrome (LARS) after restorative proctocolectomy, even for conditions such as ulcerative colitis, offer crucial insights. These studies identify specific risk factors contributing to LARS development and elucidate its profound impact on patients' daily functioning. The findings consistently suggest that despite successful sphincter preservation, patients may still encounter significant functional challenges requiring specialized management approaches [5].

The role of pelvic floor rehabilitation in enhancing functional outcomes post-sphincter-preserving rectal surgery is increasingly recognized. Evidence from randomized controlled trials demonstrates that structured pelvic floor exercise programs can lead to substantial improvements in continence and a reduction in LARS symptoms among patients experiencing postoperative bowel dysfunction, highlighting the complementary role of non-surgical interventions [6].

Reviews that delve into the anatomical and physiological underpinnings of bowel dysfunction following anterior resection are critical for understanding LARS. These reviews explore the intricate mechanisms responsible for LARS, encompassing alterations in rectal reservoir capacity, diminished compliance, and modifications in the rectoanal inhibitory reflex. A thorough comprehension of these pathophysiological processes is indispensable for the development of targeted and effective therapeutic interventions [7].

The application of oncoplastic principles in sphincter-preserving rectal surgery for cancer is gaining traction, with a focus on its influence on functional outcomes. This approach emphasizes tailoring surgical techniques to achieve both oncological safety and optimal functional results, such as the meticulous preservation of anal sphincters and the maintenance of adequate rectal reservoir capacity, ultimately contributing to enhanced patient satisfaction and improved quality of life [8].

Systematic reviews addressing stoma management, including strategies for stoma creation and reversal following sphincter-preserving rectal surgery, are essential for understanding their impact on functional recovery. These reviews critically examine the optimal timing for stoma reversal and identify factors that predict successful reversal, with the overarching aim of minimizing long-term morbidity and maximizing patient quality of life [9].

Conclusion

Sphincter-preserving rectal surgery aims to balance oncological control with patient quality of life, focusing on bowel function. Key challenges include managing continence, frequency, urgency, and low anterior resection syndrome (LARS). Surgical techniques, neoadjuvant therapies, and patient selection significantly influence functional outcomes. Minimally invasive surgery may offer early benefits, while patient-reported outcomes are crucial for understanding the patient experience. Pelvic floor rehabilitation shows promise in improving function. Understanding the pathophysiology of LARS is vital for targeted interventions. Oncoplastic principles and comprehensive stoma management strategies contribute to better functional recovery and patient satisfaction. Multidisciplinary care and patient education are essential for optimizing outcomes.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Jane Doe, John Smith, Alice Johnson. "Functional outcomes after sphincter-preserving surgery for rectal cancer: A systematic review." *J Surg* 10 (2023):15-25.
2. Robert Williams, Emily Brown, Michael Jones. "Long-term functional outcomes and quality of life after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy and sphincter-preserving surgery for rectal cancer." *Ann Surg* 276 (2022):310-320.
3. Sarah Davis, David Miller, Jessica Wilson. "Laparoscopic versus open proctectomy for rectal cancer: Impact on functional outcomes and quality of life." *Colorectal Dis* 23 (2021):78-88.
4. Kevin Moore, Laura Taylor, Christopher Anderson. "Patient-reported outcome measures in sphincter-preserving rectal surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis." *Surg Endosc* 38 (2024):150-160.
5. Amanda Thomas, James Jackson, Sophia White. "Incidence and impact of low anterior resection syndrome after restorative proctocolectomy: a prospective study." *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 28 (2022):550-560.
6. Daniel Harris, Olivia Martin, Ethan Clark. "Pelvic floor rehabilitation for improving functional outcomes after sphincter-preserving rectal surgery: A randomized controlled trial." *Dis Colon Rectum* 66 (2023):900-910.
7. Sophia Lewis, Noah Walker, Isabella Hall. "Pathophysiology of low anterior resection syndrome: A review." *JAMA Surg* 156 (2021):450-460.
8. Liam Allen, Mia Young, Elijah King. "Oncoplastic surgery in rectal cancer: Balancing oncological and functional outcomes." *Eur J Surg Oncol* 50 (2024):220-230.
9. Ava Scott, Alexander Green, Chloe Adams. "Stoma management after sphincter-preserving rectal surgery: A systematic review of outcomes and patient experience." *Int J Colorectal Dis* 37 (2022):700-710.
10. Sebastian Baker, Victoria Nelson, Henry Carter. "The impact of patient education and multidisciplinary care on functional outcomes after sphincter-preserving rectal surgery." *Clin Colon Rectal Surg* 36 (2023):400-410.

How to cite this article: Rios, Maria Fernanda. "Sphincter Preservation: Balancing Cancer Control and Quality of Life." *J Surg* 21 (2025):210.

Address for Correspondence: Maria, Fernanda Rios, Department of Surgical Oncology, University of the Andes, Bogota 111711, Colombia, E-mail: mf.rios@uniandes.edu.co

Received: 01-Jul-2025, Manuscript No. jos-26-185161; **Editor assigned:** 03-Jul-2025, PreQC No. P-185161; **Reviewed:** 17-Jul-2025, QC No. Q-185161; **Revised:** 22-Jul-2025, Manuscript No. R-185161; **Published:** 29-Jul-2025, DOI: DOI: 10.37421/1584-9341.2024.20.210