

Solicitation of Knowledge Graph Enhanced Neural Network Objects Detection by Sentiment Analysis

Yacouba Conde* and Zhoulianying

Department of Computer Science and Telecommunication Engineering, Jiangsu University, Jiangsu, China

Abstract

In the machine learning technique, the knowledge graph is advancing swiftly; however, the basic models are not able to grasp all the affluence of the script that comes from the different personal web graphics, social media, ads, and diaries, etc., ignoring the semantic of the basic text identification. The knowledge graph provides a real way to extract structured knowledge from the texts and desire images of neural network, to expedite their semantics examination. In this study, we propose a new hybrid analytic approach for sentiment evaluation based on knowledge graphs, to identify the polarity of sentiment with positive and negative attitudes in short documents, particularly in 4 chirps. We used the tweets graphs, then the similarity of graph highlighted metrics and algorithm classification pertain sentimentality pre-dictions. This technique facilitates the explicability and clarifies the results in the knowledge graph. Also, we compare our differentiate the embedding's n-gram based on sentiment analysis and the result is indicated that our study can outperform classical n-gram models, with an F1-score of 89% and recall up to 90%.

Keywords

Knowledge graph • Sentiment analysis • Graph similarities • Long-short term memory

Introduction

In social networking there are the various platforms, like Facebook, twitter, make use of such stages to incredible opinions, as well as any emotional or dramatic emotions on any topic. In this situation, intelligent classification models, to use sentiment analysis, have identified efficiency to envisage extra feelings in the texts and to classify the users' acuity regarding daily life [1,2].

The expected results of this study are based on sentiment in the contexts of market, film, industry, image, and diverse emotions. However, predicting sentiment challenges in Facebook and twitter, like most dialogues do not have a well-formed formal structure [3,4]. In this situation, nowadays existing increasing sentiment techniques to determine the accurate, explainable, and traceable results, also as for the better performance of dialogues structure and sound. In this study, we use the sentiment technique to perform the prediction and solve the various problems in the case of linear models to the knowledge graph [5-8].

Likewise, we use machine learning allows various that learn to differ the negative and positive sentiments and then design to structure the new dialogues. The knowledge graph provides a way to extract and classify the structure of the knowledge theme from the basic image and described tests, to facilitate the semantic analysis [8-10]. This knowledge graph is applied to determine the sentiment polarities based on comparison measures between the pre and pro-determine polarities of graphs. Therefore, it is one of the broad application prospects in different areas, including computer networks, graphics, health, sports, and different dialogues interpretation.

In this context, we apply a new dynamic approach to identify the words, text, and various dialogues to their definition. We notify the predicted problems sentiment in small and unique short of texts, in particular Facebook and tweets, by discussing the meaning of discussion as entities that hypothetically are connected with the basic text through the expansion of its representation of knowledge graph [8,11].

We analyze the graph in the entire dissuasion of the social media, then use a graph with similarity metrics likewise graph and tweets messages. We classify the algorithm and applied it to determine the sentiment predictions. Also, compare our proposal in dialogues with an n-gram embedding-based model (deep learning) and sentiment analysis [3,12]. The expected results show that our proposal is able in n-gram models, and getting to 87% and an FI-score of 85%. These estimated results demonstrated that the knowledge graph uses and opens the opportunity to determine the use of semantics in the sentiment analysis. Also, expandability of the results classification and traceability, since these graphs can be visually inspected. Furthermore, the knowledge graph is not exaggerated by the size of the text or the use of dialogues.

Literature review

As per the knowledge graph, the sentiment analysis on the different units of social media is based on linear techniques. In prior research, the authors have analysis on several tools based on linear and predicting sentiments with random forest model, support media sources, and decision trees [13]. The database of social media (Facebook, tweets, and news) are combined and used with different techniques and the analysis results got an accuracy of 89% with a random forest model. In this study, the accuracy trends decrease when in the database the prescribed text gets longer [14]. Like long-distance and dependencies between words and also unable to clarify the sentiment with combination tools. Some other traditional approaches identify the concept of machine learning with knowledge graphs are based on language features. Investigates the various machine learning, such as SVM in the domain, naive Bayes, and entropy. The analysis results obtained 85.4% accuracy in the model by SVM. The sentiment classifier is unearthed by natural language processing and this technique mainly identifies on the n-gram. It is also a popular use of text representation for object categorization [15,16].

Some approaches used the graph to represent the word documents. They use graph metrics and classification by SVM on the predicted sentiment. Authors, try to leverage a deep graph text representation by combining graphs and use them in a representation approach. The graph-based techniques use as for deep learning [14,17].

*Address for Correspondence: Yacouba Conde, Department of Computer Science and Telecommunication Engineering, Jiangsu University, Jiangsu, China; E-mail: khan.rab@jtu.edu.cn

Copyright: © 2021 Conde Y, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received date: November 11, 2021; Accepted date: November 25, 2021; Published date: December 02, 2021

Our main contribution is related to the knowledge graph, which is not affected the text size, dialogues structure, or the use of dialects and is separated in terms of texture. The expected results suggested that deep learning for a task with the sentiment produces a model and prediction of text [18,19]. Therefore, combining learning and knowledge graph allows to powerful model, also traceable, explainable, and predicted results by sentiment labels.

Knowledge graph: Knowledge graphs are stirred in interlinking, connecting data, unstructured information in a significant way. A knowledge graph based on stores complex unstructured or structured data networking in the nodes. It indicates the edge of the relation [20-23]. The SUMO, DBpedia, and YAGO are the best example of knowledge graphs that have been released over the past few decades. The outstanding resources of NLP application like questions and answering [24].

The basic definition of knowledge graph is indicated that the knowledge graph=(E, R, and F), where $E=\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ is a pair of $R=\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\}$ with a binary relations, and $F \geq E_x R_x E_x$ indicated the relationship entities. The knowledge graph shows relations and tail in the different application and text [25,26]. Web business, social media and twitter is such a examples of this application [27]. The construction of knowledge graph based on interrelation about the entities. In the term of e-commerce, candidate describe product and share of knowledge with other entities shows the interrelation of figures.

Methodology

This proposed study shows the inspired work related to the presented text as graph and gustier. We used the knowledge graph technique like deep learning and the difference from the n-gram techniques with a better description. Which shows the text and graph in terms of the knowledge graph? Each sentiment and polarity represented the knowledge graph sentiment with a positive and negative attitude [19,28]. And other produce knowledge graphs by tweet. The sentiment polarities and knowledge graph are used to classify the model in terms of different networks. Also, we measure the different gustier graphs with polarity graphs. Furthermore, in terms of deep learning the model combined with semantic texts by knowledge graph and similarity metrics, and expansibility of graph which can be visually inspected ensured results and accuracy [29].

Descripted database

As prior research, the database is conducted from the sentiment approach 1405, which contains 1650,000 dialogues. It is described with positive, negative, and neutral metadata descriptions with each tweet. This analysis purpose of sentiment analysis indicates the tweet, Facebook, and other media text with a specific tag [30]. However, the neutral tag of the work contained different web interests of the tweets that contain a polarity (sentiment).

The dataset is divided into two portions, training and testing. There was 75% of the dataset was destined for the portion of training and reaming is for testing. We represented the process of transforming the Facebook, and tweet to a small knowledge graph and then individual measuring the similarities with same matrices with new techniques. Also, the predicted label is output with an F1 score of the proposed model for its efficiency [7,8,13].

Pre-treating

In the pre-treating procedure, the raw text involves removal text gestures and detected the sentiment, like in the basic character, elements, and special terms. Also in the transformation to lower and upper cases with a sensitive letter, the English character also is eliminated from Facebook and tweets contain letters [31]. We eliminated like the, a this.etc, It does not require the normal form of words indirectly infected from the basic words gesture.

Graph construction

The knowledge graph construction is indicating the word presentation with some abbreviation like the energetic instructor in the last hold the final price in Figure 1. In this case, we have achieved one object and one subject per sentence so shows the knowledge graph expected terminology [32]. The norm phase's show by NP and entity extracted with a single word. XP shows the verb phrases nature of the sentence. Hence, we extracted the sentences by the subject of the dependency tree. The term of sentence is indicated that the energetic instructor in last holds the final price in the one component.




Figure 1. Presentation of inal price. (The energetic instructor in last hold the inal price like with abbreviation(det(the)mod(energetic)act(instructor)hidden(inlast) quietly(hold)det(the)moo(inal)dbie(price)).

In the case of relationship extraction the prescribe tax show relationship between nodes, we assume the verb sentence and their entities with the tax nature. According to tax, won and root individually categorized. Such as the energetic man indicated the "won" situation and "hold the final price" is root of the sentence.

construction of knowledge graph

The network of nodes and entities represent the relationship between the words and their direction of the graph shows the unidirectional statement in the sentence. In the above sentence the "hold the final price" entities represent the verb identity and the relationship of "The energetic instructor" defines the entity knowledge graph. Therefore the knowledge graph constructed from the positive tagged in the Facebook and tweets and the polarity of the knowledge graph shows the other aspect of the negative tagged set.

Graph similarities

The graph similarity represents the Facebook texture and the graph polarity, express how the Facebook tax is related to one and other polarity. Also it indicated the if the graph of Facebook is more similar and polarity graph, the Facebook texture consider as expressing a positive sentiment. The similarity of each graph mean % of the correlation between graph ad polarities [33]. Furthermore the similarity metrics measure the common edge among knowledge graph and represent the two graph edge individually.

Repression graph represent by KG_R KG_F , it expresses the % of the common edge of the knowledge graph by the containment values. The given value of KG_R of the Facebook and KG_F is the polarity of the equation. Where the containment value of knowledge graph represent the eq 1 by the edge of the graph:

$$regression(KG_R KG_F) = \sum \mu(e, KGR) / \min(|KG_R|, |KG_S|)$$

The maximum common sub graph represents similarity measure with knowledge graph. KG_1 KG_2 Is maximum sub graph with node of G_R KG_F . The measurement of the graph consisted between two graphs with label of liner eq 2. It is used to calculate the maximum common sub graph within maximum nodes like MSN function that provide the number of nodes that are contained in the maximum values [16].

$$MSN(KG_R KG_F) = MSN(KG_R KG_F) / \min(|KG_R|, |KG_S|)$$

Reduction of knowledge graph

The knowledge graph reduction implies typically machine learning and improves the metrics with squared error accuracy. In this study, the mutual information of the knowledge graph is used for the criteria and the creation of the knowledge graph. The sentiment classes by the Facebook and tweets represent dimension reduction of discards of edges with computational resources. We used the edge filter and containment metric with mutual information between edge and category in the above equations. Where the number of time periods shows with t and the training process [34]. The repression and Facebook entity represent with "F" and the total number of documents of entries represent in the second part.

Results and Discussion

In LSTM approach the KG version (LSTM with KG) and Bi-LSTM heightened with term of KG variation and we also implemented a basic character base logic of LSTM and Bi-LSTM in n gram based approach (Table 1).

Table 1. Model approach of n gram based.

Model	F1-score	Precision	Recall
LSTM with KG	0.984	0.877	0.865
Bi-LSTM	0.675	0.689	0.832
Character n -gram based LSTM	0.921	0.876	0.812
Character n -gram based Bi-LSTM	0.823	0.842	0.844

Here the classified version of baseline shows the art of techniques with long-distance and their approach of dependencies in the embedding version. The original input is the sentence and using max-pooling with the importance of n -gram extracted. It is combining the maximum value of each layer of the network. We use Python 3 for the individual variation with Keras library and Tensor Flow along with Google GPUs based version for the implementation of the individual model. Furthermore, the hardware used in 12GB NVIDIA Tesla with K90 GPU, which is used up to 10 hours continually [23]. The actual batch size is 600, the length of the input sequence is 235 since 260 is the maximum length of Facebook, and the input sequence is 250 since 280 is the maximum for the tweet. Second, the layer of embedding is created within a sequential model by Koras individually. Third, deleted id with feature impact of spatialdropout1d and promoting separately. Fourth, the layer approached from the LSTM.

In the study experimental approach is indicated that the dimensionality deduction helps to improve the precision method. The results show the number of edges in the knowledge graph with represented figures in Table 1. The Bi-LSTM model approach results show the worse approach as a comparatively deep learning model. We got more significant results from the LSTM in the knowledge graph. The F1 values are quite similar results within the n -gram. We built a model to correct predictions and the ratio of correctly predicted value trends. The knowledge graph classifier the short texts with state-of-the-art sentiment analysis [21]. The results highlighted the combination of the knowledge graph with appropriate feelings expressed in Facebook and tweets. Besides the expected results the knowledge graph is visually inspected and conducted to more explainable classification results. Second, the semantic texts show by a Knowledge graph with grammar indication of the long sentence [8]. Third, sentiment analysis is used for contexts that are recognized topics based on the semantic approach in the knowledge graph.

Conclusion

The implication of results shows an innovative sentiment analysis of knowledge graph with deep learning techniques. The knowledge graph

can capture the information of structure by the different levels of Facebook and tweet text. This study approach is based on the graph technique with knowledge graph among the similarity metrics of the graph. The vector of the graph is representing with a neural network that recognizes the polarity of the sentiment in the graph structure. The graph and study sentiment identify the deep learning and knowledge graph challenges which are no escape from the limitation. The recognition of connection approach use PoS tagging allows performing an individual operation of a knowledge graph. The above results encountered on the literature and expected results of this statement in the micro-blogging performance of texts. The deep learning algorithms that produce more accurate score with expanded use of data properties of the knowledge graph. Another future approach of this knowledge graph is based on sentiment analysis in the area of different irony detection. And classify the approach of irony with the application of knowledge graph.

References

1. Tang, Wenming and Guoping Qiu. "Dense Graph Convolutional Neural Networks on 3D Meshes for 3D Object Segmentation and Classification." *Image Vis Comput* 114 (2021): 104265.
2. Zhang, Zhongwei, Lei Cao, Xiliang Chen and Wei Tang et al. "Representation Learning of Knowledge Graphs with Entity Attributes." *IEEE Access* 8 (2020): 7435-7441.
3. Hasan, SM Shamimul, Donna Rivera, Xiao-Cheng Wu and Eric B. Durbin et al. "Knowledge Graph-Enabled Cancer Data Analytics." *IEEE J Biomed Heal Informatics* 24(2020): 1952-1967.
4. Zhang, Yuxin, Bohan Li, Han Gao and Ye Ji et al. "Fine-Grained Evaluation of Knowledge Graph Embedding Model in Knowledge Enhancement Downstream Tasks." *Big Data Res* 25 (2021): 100218.
5. Jiao, Jianling, Chuxi Chen and Yu Bai. "Is Green Technology Vertical Spillovers More Significant in Mitigating Carbon Intensity? Evidence from Chinese Industries." *J Clean Prod* 257 (2020): 120354.
6. Tiacci, Lorenzo. "Object-Oriented Event-Graph Modeling Formalism to Simulate Manufacturing Systems in the Industry 4.0 era." *Simul Model Pract Theory* 99 (2020): 102027.
7. Wang, Qi, Yongsheng Hao and Jie Cao. "ADRL: An Attention-Based Deep Reinforcement Learning Framework for Knowledge Graph Reasoning." *Knowledge-Based Syst* 197 (2020): 105910.
8. Wang, Rui, Bicheng Li, Shengwei Hu and Wenqian Du et al. "Knowledge Graph Embedding via Graph Attenuated Attention Networks." *IEEE Access* 8 (2019): 5212-5224.
9. Antonello, Morris, Sabrina Chiesurin and Stefano Ghidoni. "Enhancing Semantic Segmentation with Detection Priors and Iterated Graph Cuts for Robotics." *Eng Appl Artif Intell* 90 (2020): 103467.
10. Yang, Zuoxi and Shoubin Dong. "HAGERec: Hierarchical Attention Graph Convolutional Network Incorporating Knowledge Graph for Explainable Recommendation." *Knowledge-Based Syst* 204 (2020): 106194.
11. Palumbo, Enrico, Diego Monti, Giuseppe Rizzo and Raphaël Troncy et al. "Entity2rec: Property-Specific Knowledge Graph Embeddings for Item Recommendation." *Expert Syst Appl* 151 (2020): 113235.
12. Ruqian, Lu, Fei Chaoqun, Wang Chuanqing, Gao Shunfeng and Qiu Han et al. "HAPE: A Programmable Big Knowledge Graph Platform." *Inf Sci* 509 (2020): 87-103.
13. Teng, Fei, Wei Yang, Li Chen and Lu Fei Huang et al. "Explainable Prediction of Medical Codes with Knowledge Graphs." *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* 8 (2020): 867.
14. Lully, Vincent, Philippe Laublet, Milan Stankovic and Filip Radulovic. "Exploring the Synergy between Knowledge Graph and Computer Vision for Personalisation Systems." *Procedia Comput Sci* 137 (2018): 175-186.
15. Dou, Jinhua, Jingyan Qin, Zanzia Jin and Zhuang Li. "Knowledge Graph Based on Domain Ontology and Natural Language Processing Technology for Chinese Intangible Cultural Heritage." *J Vis Lang Comput* 48 (2018): 19-28.

16. Li, Nan, Zhihao Yang, Ling Luo and Lei Wang et al. "KGHC: A Knowledge Graph for Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak* 20 (2020): 1-11.
17. Lully, Vincent, Philippe Laublet, Milan Stankovic and Filip Radulovic. "Enhancing Explanations in Recommender Systems with Knowledge Graphs." *Procedia Comput Sci* 137 (2018): 211-222.
18. Chong, Chun Yong and Sai Peck Lee. "Automatic Clustering Constraints Derivation from Object-Oriented Software Using Weighted Complex Network with Graph Theory Analysis." *J Syst Softw* 133 (2017): 28-53.
19. Wiharja, Kemas, Jeff Z. Pan, Martin J. Kollingbaum and Yu Deng. "Schema Aware Iterative Knowledge Graph Completion." *J Web Semant* 65 (2020): 100616.
20. Cui, Hui, Xiuying Wang, Jianlong Zhou and Guanzhong Gong et al. "A Topo-Graph Model for Indistinct Target Boundary Definition from Anatomical Images." *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* 159 (2018): 211-222.
21. Fan, Miao, Qiang Zhou, Thomas Fang Zheng and Ralph Grishman. "Distributed Representation Learning for Knowledge Graphs with Entity Descriptions." *Pattern Recognit Lett* 93 (2017): 31-37.
22. Ji, Shaoxiong, Shirui Pan, Erik Cambria and Pekka Marttinen et al. "A Survey on Knowledge Graphs: Representation, Acquisition, and Applications." *IEEE Trans Neural Netw Learn Syst* (2021).
23. Qin, Chuan, Hengshu Zhu, Fuzhen Zhuang and Qingyu Guo et al. "A Survey on Knowledge Graph-Based Recommender Systems." *Sci Sin Informationis* 50 (2020): 937-956.
24. Li, Daifeng and Andrew Madden. "Cascade Embedding Model for Knowledge Graph Inference and Retrieval." *Inf Process Manag* 56 (2019): 102093.
25. Rasmussen, Mads Holten, Maxime Lefrançois, Pieter Pauwels and Christian Anker Hviid et al. "Managing Interrelated Project Information in AEC Knowledge Graphs." *Autom Constr* 108 (2019): 102956.
26. World Meter. "World Population." (2021).
27. Zhang, Xinyu, Hongbo Gao, Guopeng Li and Jianhui Zhao et al. "Multi-View Clustering Based on Graph-Regularized Nonnegative Matrix Factorization for Object Recognition." *Inf Sci* 432 (2018): 463-478.
28. Jia, Yan, Yulu Qi, Huaijun Shang and Rong Jiang et al. "A Practical Approach to Constructing Knowledge Graph for Cybersecurity." *Engineering* 4 (2018): 53-60.
29. Andreassen, Troels, Henrik Bulskov, Per Anker Jensen and Jørgen Fischer Nilsson. "Natural Logic Knowledge Bases and Their Graph Form." *Data Knowl Eng* 129 (2020): 101848.
30. Padia, Ankur, Konstantinos Kalpakakis, Francis Ferraro and Tim Finin. "Knowledge Graph Fact Prediction via Knowledge-Enriched Tensor Factorization." *J Web Semant* 59 (2019): 100497.
31. Huang, Yongchun, Dejin Su and Yeonggil Kim. "Timing Choice and Catch-Up Strategy for Latecomers in Emerging Green Technologies: An Exploration Study on China's High-Speed Rail Industry." *J Clean Prod* 276 (2020): 124257.
32. Breitfuss, Arno, Karen Errou, Anelia Kurteva and Anna Fensel. "Representing Emotions with Knowledge Graphs for Movie Recommendations." *Futur Gener Comput Syst* (2021).
33. Chen, Xiaojun, Shengbin Jia and Yang Xiang. "A Review: Knowledge Reasoning over Knowledge Graph." *Expert Syst Appl* 141 (2020): 112948.
34. Tilly, Sonja and Giacomo Livan. "Macroeconomic Forecasting with Statistically Validated Knowledge Graphs." *Expert Syst Appl* 186 (2021): 10457.

How to cite this article: Conde, Yacouba and Zhoulianying. "Solicitation of Knowledge Graph Enhanced Neural Network Objects Detection by Sentiment Analysis". *Int J Econ Manag Sci* 10 (2021): 612.