Self-induced Pacemaker Mutilation Three Months Post Implant

Raffaele Corbisiero*, David Muller RN, Matthew Levy DO and Rebecca Armbruster DO

Department of Cardiology, Electrophysiology Division, Deborah Heart and Lung Center, USA

Keywords: Attempted suicide; Ideation diagnosis; Pacemaker

Case Presentation

We present a 58 year old male with past medical history significant for Hypertension, Chronic Paranoid Schizophrenia, Dyslipidemia, Tourette’s Syndrome and Heart Block, for which he had recently undergone implantation of a dual chamber Accent DR model 2210 (St Jude Medical, Sylmar CA) with two model 1688 leads (St Jude Medical, Sylmar CA) at a different facility three months prior to this admission. Initially, the patient became distressed at home and notified authorities of suicidal thoughts which consisted of disabling his pacemaker, at which time he was evaluated by emergency medical services.

The patient was brought to a nearby hospital and due to his tenuous mental status as well as hemodynamic compromise, was subsequently admitted. At that time, the patient was noted to be in complete heart block despite his implantable pacemaker. The patient was disorganized in his thoughts and was unable to provide the specific instrument he had used to inflict the damage. He was treated in the ICU where he had been restrained for several days as to prevent further self-inflicted harm while being maintained on intravenous Isoproterenol for heart rate support.

At that time, the patient was transferred to our facility for further treatment and management of his pacemaker. Upon our evaluation, the patient was noted to have a series of lesions on the left anterior chest wall, mid-clavicular region overlying his pacemaker pocket. Three traumatic bruises were noted (Figure 1). Two discrete sites of superficial trauma were located just beneath the original, well-healed incision, with the lateral site being somewhat larger. There was also one additional site of trauma inferior to the above which appeared less healed. The remainder of the skin in the region was intact, with mild erythema in a triangular shape noted with the original device incision serving as the apex. No open skin was noted at this time, nor was there exposure of any device components.

Multiple attempts at device interrogation proved unsuccessful, thus the decision was made to replace the device. Due to the patient’s tenuous, agitated, and at times aggressive psychiatric state with residual suicidal ideation, it was decided that the procedure should be performed under general anesthesia to better protect the patient’s airway. Broad Spectrum Intravenous antibiotics were administered as blood cultures from the transferring facility were still pending. We obtained a CBC which demonstrated normal WBC, coagulation and repeat blood cultures. Due to the patient’s unstable mental status and agitation compounded with his unstable hemodynamic condition, it was decided a temporary pacemaker would not be tolerated. After discussions with the patient’s power of attorney, it was decided to replace the generator and or leads as needed.

After local anesthetic infiltration, an incision was made over the previous scar. No purulent discharge was noted in the pocket and additional wound cultures were obtained. The device was retrieved and upon visual inspection, a total of 6 indentations of a well-circumscribed nature were noted on the anterior aspect of the can (Figure 2). Two of the six indentations penetrated the titanium case and one caused a concave protrusion on the deep posterior aspect of the can, almost penetrating both the front and back of the titanium casing. The pulse generator was then disconnected from the can.

Upon inspection of the leads, no apparent damage was visually detected. Checking lead integrity through the pacing system analyzer revealed an electrically compromised right ventricular lead. The atrial lead was intact and provided adequate pacing, sensing, and impendence. Subsequently the right ventricular lead was extracted and a new 1888T lead (St Jude Medical Sylmar, CA) was placed into the right ventricular outflow tract. To discourage future attempts to damage the device, the new pulse generator was placed in a deep sub-pectoral pocket and the incision was closed (Figure 3).

The manufacturer’s analysis reported puncture holes noted on the device casing. The superior penetration was noted on top of the battery (Figure 4). Excessive body fluids were noted inside of the device casing and on the circuitry (Figure 5). Electrical tests were performed and indicated that the pacemaker had no output and no telemetry was possible with a 500 ohm load at 42°C. Additional attempts to measure output using an oscilloscope also demonstrated no output. It was felt these failures were due to excessive body fluids that caused shorting to the device circuitry. The extracted lead suffered a breach of the insulation.

Discussion

Blunt trauma as a mode of pacemaker failure has been previously
reported in the literature and is frequently associated with trauma to
the leads at or near the location of the pocket. The mode of trauma
ranges from deceleration injuries to blunt trauma with a baseball bat
[1-3]. Most common in clinical practice, external traumatic insult
to implanted devices is of insufficient force to cause any permanent
damage to device function.

Self inflicted causes of device failure are somewhat rare in
occurrence, with the exclusion of Twiddler’s syndrome first described
by Bayliss et al. [4]. The body of published literature on self inflicted
pacemaker trauma is very limited [5-9]. Given the increasing number of
patients receiving implantable cardiac devices, the impact of receiving
an implantable device on a patient with an already diagnosed psychiatric
condition should be carefully evaluated at the time of implant. The
incidence of Schizophrenia has been reported to range from 7.7 to 43
per 100,000 [10-12]. However, diagnosis of freedom from psychiatric
disorders at the time of implant does not preclude patients from this
type of event from reoccurring. Nonetheless, knowing the diagnosis
or risk factors of the disease earlier may help with placement of these
deVICES, for example- deep pectoral placement as an alternative. Some
studies have shown late-onset paranoid ideation as first onset estimated
to be between 4%-6%, however most are concomitantly diagnosed with
dementia [13,14]. Patients with a first diagnosis at 40 years old have
been estimated at 23.5% with a 1 year prevalence rate of 0.6% until 64
years of age [15]. After 60 years of age, first admission schizophrenia-
like psychosis increases by 11% with each 5 year increase in age [16].
These factors suggest that during the subsequent follow-up years,
psychological screening should be repeated annually along with device
interrogation to help us intervene and mitigate these events whenever
possible [17].

Patients with implantable devices face a myriad of psychological
considerations which should be continually monitored. These include
the presence of a foreign object implanted in the body, concerns
over lead or device malfunction, recalls, battery depletion as well as
a continued reminder of their disease state. Although these fears may
only affect a small subset of our patient population, care to identify these
patients early is paramount. The psychological impact of implantable
devices has been underscored over the years with some emphasis on
inappropriate high voltage therapy delivered through implantable
defibrillators [18-20]. This report underscores the importance of
continued psychological evaluation of all device patients including low
voltage implantable devices.
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