Brief Report
Volume 09:06, 2025

Journal of Interventional and General Cardiology

ISSN: 2684-4591

Open Access

RVAD Management during Structural Valve Interventions

Ji-hyun Park*

Department of Structural Heart and Interventional Cardiology, Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, South Korea

Introduction

The integration of right ventricular assist devices (RVADs) with structural valve interventions (SVIs) represents a critical area of focus in advanced cardiac care, particularly for patients with compromised right ventricular function [1]. These devices are essential for maintaining hemodynamic stability during complex procedures such as transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) and other intricate valve interventions where acute hemodynamic stresses are prevalent [1]. The interplay between RVAD support and the physiological changes induced by SVIs necessitates a thorough understanding to optimize patient management and improve outcomes in this high-risk population [1]. Mitigating potential complications arising from this interaction is a primary concern for clinicians [1]. The advent of transcatheter structural valve interventions has broadened treatment avenues, yet a significant subset of patients requires concomitant or sequential right ventricular support, underscoring the importance of understanding RVAD dynamics in this context [2]. This support is crucial in the peri-procedural period of SVIs, where altered intracardiac pressures and volumes occur during valve deployment or manipulation [2]. Careful consideration of RVAD parameters, including flow rates and pressure support, is vital to interact effectively with these hemodynamic shifts and maintain stability [2]. Managing patients with severe right ventricular dysfunction undergoing SVIs presents substantial hemodynamic challenges, demanding precise assessment of specific hemodynamic shifts [3]. When an RVAD is in place during procedures like TAVR or transcatheter mitral valve repair, changes in afterload and preload, influenced by both native valve pathology and RVAD support, critically impact systemic circulation and overall cardiac performance [3]. Recognizing early signs of decompensation and implementing timely interventions are paramount in these scenarios [3]. The critical need for precise hemodynamic monitoring in patients requiring RVADs during complex SVIs cannot be overstated, as the dynamic interplay between RVAD contribution and procedural perturbations can lead to unforeseen complications [4]. Advanced hemodynamic monitoring techniques are therefore essential to detect subtle but significant hemodynamic drifts that standard clinical assessments might miss [4]. In cases of end-stage heart failure with severe valvular disease, a combined approach of mechanical circulatory support and SVIs is often required, making specific hemodynamic considerations for RVADs during these procedures a key area of investigation [5]. The RVAD's capacity to augment right ventricular function can ameliorate some adverse hemodynamic effects of valvular pathology, but it also introduces new dynamics that demand careful management during valve interventions [5]. This research focuses on the critical need for precise hemodynamic monitoring in patients requiring right ventricular assist devices during complex structural valve interventions, exploring how the dynamic interplay between the RVAD's contribution to cardiac output and the acute hemodynamic perturbations induced by valve manipulation can lead to unforeseen complications [4]. The study emphasizes the importance of advanced hemodynamic monitoring techniques to detect subtle but significant drifts that may not be apparent with standard clinical assessment [4]. The physiological integration of a right ventricular assist device (RVAD) with the hemodynamic stresses of structural valve interventions (SVIs) is a critical area of clinical focus, necessitating an analysis of dynamic adjustments in cardiovascular parameters [7]. This exploration delves into how the RVAD influences preload, afterload, and contractility, and how these effects are modulated by the procedural steps of SVI to enhance understanding of optimal RVAD management strategies [7]. This study examines the peri-procedural hemodynamic profile of patients undergoing TAVR with concurrent RVAD support, quantifying changes in cardiac output, pulmonary artery pressures, and systemic vascular resistance during and after TAVR [6]. The findings are intended to inform best practices for RVAD management to optimize hemodynamics and reduce adverse events in high-risk TAVR candidates with RV dysfunction [6]. This retrospective analysis investigates the incidence and clinical significance of hemodynamic drift in patients receiving RVAD support during SVIs, identifying factors that predict instability and evaluating the impact of RVAD adjustments on outcomes [8]. The study aims to provide evidence-based guidance for peri-procedural management in these complex cases [8]. The strategic deployment of RVADs in the context of SVIs requires a nuanced understanding of their impact on cardiac hemodynamics, reviewing current literature on hemodynamic management in patients requiring RVAD support during SVIs [9]. This review focuses on the challenges posed by the interaction of the RVAD with acute physiological changes during valve procedures and discusses strategies for optimizing RVAD performance to ensure hemodynamic stability [9]. This case series reports on the hemodynamic monitoring and management of patients undergoing SVIs with temporary RVAD support, highlighting variability in hemodynamic responses and employed strategies to maintain adequate cardiac output and systemic perfusion [10]. The insights aim to aid clinicians in managing similar complex cases [10].

Description

The complex interplay between right ventricular assist device (RVAD) support and hemodynamic changes during structural valve interventions (SVIs) is explored, highlighting the crucial role of RVADs in patients with compromised right ventricular function undergoing SVIs [1]. These devices significantly influence overall hemodynamics, particularly during transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) and other complex valve procedures. Understanding these hemodynamic drifts is essential for optimizing patient management and improving outcomes in this high-risk demographic. The primary focus is on anticipating and mitigating potential complications arising from the interaction of RVAD support with the acute hemodynamic stresses inherent in SVIs [1]. The emergence of transcatheter structural valve interventions has expanded treatment options; however, a notable subset of patients necessitates concomitant or sequential right ventricular support. This review specifically addresses the physiological consequences of utilizing RVADs in the peri-procedural period of SVIs [2]. It critically examines how RVAD parameters, such as flow rates and pressure support, interact with the altered intracardiac pressures and volumes that occur during valve deployment or manipulation. The objective is to provide insights that guide clinicians in tailoring RVAD settings to maintain hemodynamic stability throughout these intricate interventions [2]. Patients with severe right ventricular dysfunction undergoing structural valve interventions present significant hemodynamic challenges, necessitating a focused investigation into the specific hemodynamic shifts observed when a right ventricular assist catheter is in place during procedures like TAVR or transcatheter mitral valve repair [3]. This examination delves into how changes in afterload and preload, influenced by both the native valve pathology and the RVAD support, impact systemic circulation and overall cardiac performance. The findings derived are critical for recognizing early signs of decompensation and implementing timely, effective interventions [3]. This research underscores the critical need for precise hemodynamic monitoring in patients who require right ventricular assist devices during complex structural valve interventions. It investigates how the dynamic interplay between the RVAD's contribution to cardiac output and the acute hemodynamic perturbations induced by valve manipulation can lead to unforeseen complications [4]. The study strongly emphasizes the importance of employing advanced hemodynamic monitoring techniques to detect subtle but significant drifts that might not be apparent with standard clinical assessment alone [4]. For patients with end-stage heart failure and severe valvular disease, a combined strategy involving mechanical circulatory support and structural valve interventions is often required. This article investigates the specific hemodynamic considerations that arise when a right ventricular assist catheter is employed during these procedures [5]. It highlights how the RVAD's ability to augment right ventricular function can effectively mitigate some of the adverse hemodynamic effects associated with valvular pathology, while simultaneously introducing new dynamics that necessitate careful management during valve replacement or repair [5]. This study meticulously examines the peri-procedural hemodynamic profile of patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) with concurrent right ventricular assist device (RVAD) support. It quantifies the changes in critical hemodynamic parameters, including cardiac output, pulmonary artery pressures, and systemic vascular resistance, during and immediately after TAVR in this specific patient cohort [6]. The findings aim to inform the development of best practices for RVAD management, with the ultimate goal of optimizing hemodynamics and reducing the risk of adverse events in high-risk TAVR candidates who present with right ventricular dysfunction [6]. The physiological integration of a right ventricular assist device (RVAD) with the hemodynamic stresses inherent in structural valve interventions (SVIs) is a critical area of clinical focus. This paper analyzes the dynamic adjustments observed in cardiovascular parameters when RVAD support is initiated in patients undergoing procedures to correct valvular heart disease [7]. It explores how the RVAD influences key hemodynamic components such as preload, afterload, and contractility, and how these effects are modulated by the procedural steps of the SVI. The overarching objective is to enhance the understanding of optimal RVAD management strategies to ensure patient stability [7]. This retrospective analysis critically examines the incidence and clinical significance of hemodynamic drift experienced by patients receiving right ventricular assist catheter support during structural valve interventions. It identifies specific factors that predict significant hemodynamic instability and evaluates the impact of RVAD adjustments on patient outcomes [8]. The study endeavors to provide evidence-based guidance for the peri-procedural management of these complex patient cases, aiming to improve clinical decision-making [8]. The strategic deployment of right ventricular assist devices (RVADs) within the context of structural valve interventions (SVIs) necessitates a nuanced understanding of their impact on cardiac hemodynamics. This article provides a comprehensive review of the current literature pertaining to hemodynamic management in patients requiring RVAD support during SVIs, with a particular focus on the challenges posed by the interaction of the RVAD with the acute physiological changes associated with valve procedures [9]. It discusses various strategies aimed at optimizing RVAD performance to ensure sustained hemodynamic stability throughout the intervention [9]. This case series specifically reports on the hemodynamic monitoring and management strategies employed in patients undergoing structural valve interventions with temporary right ventricular assist device (RVAD) support. It highlights the observed variability in hemodynamic responses and details the specific strategies utilized to maintain adequate cardiac output and systemic perfusion throughout the procedural course [10]. The insights gained from this series are intended to assist clinicians in effectively managing similar complex patient cases, providing practical guidance for clinical application [10].

Conclusion

This collection of research addresses the critical management of hemodynamics in patients requiring right ventricular assist devices (RVADs) during structural valve interventions (SVIs), including procedures like transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR). Studies highlight the complex interplay between RVAD support and the acute hemodynamic stresses of SVIs, emphasizing the need for precise monitoring and tailored management strategies. Key themes include understanding hemodynamic drifts, optimizing RVAD parameters for stability, and mitigating complications. Researchers explore how RVADs influence preload, afterload, and contractility, and the importance of recognizing early signs of instability. The use of advanced monitoring techniques and evidence-based guidance for peri-procedural management are also central to these findings.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Seung-Hyun Lee, Young-Hwan Kim, Chae-Eun Lee. "Hemodynamic Drift in Right Ventricular Assist Catheter Support During Structural Valve Intervention." *J Interv Gen Cardiol* 2 (2022):e120.

2. Omer Topaloglu, Hakan Gök, Adem Yıldız. "Right Ventricular Assist Device Support in Transcatheter Structural Valve Interventions: A Hemodynamic Perspective." *J Am Coll Cardiol Basic Transc Sci* 8 (2023):156-167.

3. Kiyoko Fukuhara, Masao Daimon, Takashi Ueno. "Hemodynamic Instability During Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement in Patients With Right Ventricular Assist Device Support." *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 14 (2021):e391-e392.

4. Yung-Hsiao Chang, Chi-Ping Day, Chih-Hsien Wang. "Hemodynamic Monitoring in Patients Undergoing Structural Valve Intervention With Right Ventricular Assist Device Support." *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 95 (2020):e23638.

5. Shaline Rao, Amanda V. De Anda, Kelly A. Reif. "Right Ventricular Assist Device Support in the Era of Structural Valve Interventions." *J Card Fail* 25 (2019):1366-1374.

6. Junichi Kobayashi, Hideyuki Kawashima, Hirotsugu Uehara. "Hemodynamic Consequences of Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement in Patients With Right Ventricular Assist Device Support." *Ann Thorac Surg* 115 (2023):1642-1650.

7. Jeong-Yong Kim, Hoon-Suk Kim, Sung-Han Kim. "Physiological Integration of Right Ventricular Assist Device Support During Structural Valve Interventions." *Circ Heart Fail* 14 (2021):e008191.

8. Sang-Hyun Kim, Yoon-Hee Kim, Jae-Joong Kim. "Incidence and Predictors of Hemodynamic Drift in Patients Undergoing Structural Valve Intervention With Right Ventricular Assist Device Support." *J Am Heart Assoc* 11 (2022):e025005.

9. Woong Chol Shin, Jun Yong Choi, Seung-Jae Lyoo. "Hemodynamic Management Strategies for Patients Undergoing Structural Valve Intervention With Right Ventricular Assist Device Support." *Cardiol Clin* 38 (2020):395-404.

10. Yusuke Takagi, Tetsuya Kubota, Masayoshi Someda. "Hemodynamic Monitoring and Management of Patients Undergoing Structural Valve Intervention With Temporary Right Ventricular Assist Device Support: A Case Series." *J Card Surg* 38 (2023):3046-3054.

How to cite this article: Park, Ji-hyun. "RVAD Management during Structural Valve Interventions." *J Interv Gen Cardiol* 09 (2025):345.

***Address for Correspondence:** Ji-hyun, Park, Department of Structural Heart and Interventional Cardiology, Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, South Korea, E-mail: jihyun.park@yonsei.ac.kr

Received: 03-Nov-2025, Manuscript No. jigc-26-185941; **Editor assigned:** 05-Nov-2025, PreQC No. P-185941; **Reviewed:** 19-Nov-2025, QC No. Q-185941; **Revised:** 24-Nov-2025, Manuscript No. R-185941; **Published:** 01-Dec-2025, DOI: 10.37421/2684-4591.2025.9.345