Commentary
Volume 10:05, 2025

International Journal of Public Health and Safety

ISSN: 2736-6189

Open Access

Risk Assessment: Methods and Applications Across Domains

Felix Johansson*

Department of Public Safety, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

Introduction

This paper offers a comprehensive review of methods used globally for assessing climate change risks to biodiversity. It highlights the diverse approaches currently employed and identifies critical gaps, emphasizing the need for more standardized and robust methodologies to effectively guide conservation efforts in a changing climate [1].

This article introduces a novel method for cybersecurity risk assessment that integrates attack graph analysis with the modeling of attacker intentions. The goal is to deliver a more precise and complete evaluation of potential security threats by factoring in an adversary's motivations and capabilities, moving beyond just technical vulnerabilities [2].

This systematic review examines current frameworks and methodologies for assessing human health risks from exposure to multiple environmental contaminants. It highlights the inadequacies of traditional single-substance approaches and advocates for integrated assessment methods to better account for cumulative risk scenarios [3].

This survey paper delves into the application of machine learning techniques for financial risk assessment. It explores various machine learning models utilized across credit, market, and operational risks, showcasing their potential and challenges compared to more conventional statistical methods [4].

This review addresses the complexities involved in performing ecological risk assessments for chemical mixtures, which are a common environmental reality. It discusses various methodologies for evaluating combined effects and identifies significant challenges in extrapolating single-substance research findings to more complex environmental scenarios [5].

This systematic review investigates how Industry 4.0 technologies are reshaping occupational risk assessment. It identifies emerging risks due to increased automation and digitalization, alongside new opportunities for advanced monitoring and predictive analytics that can significantly improve workplace safety [6].

This systematic literature review provides a current overview of research on risk assessment within project management. It highlights key trends, methodologies, and persistent challenges, underscoring the vital role of proactive risk identification, analysis, and response strategies for successful project outcomes [7].

This review focuses on the applications of Quantitative Microbial Risk Assessment (QMRA) in food safety, detailing how it's used to evaluate risks posed by pathogens. It discusses recent advancements, data requirements, and the challenges encountered when applying QMRA for effective food safety management [8].

This systematic review explores existing frameworks for social risk assessment within the context of sustainability reporting. It underscores the increasing importance of social factors in corporate risk management and the critical need for standardized approaches to identify, measure, and transparently report social impacts [9].

This paper proposes a comprehensive framework designed for assessing data privacy risks, with a particular focus on compliance with regulations like GDPR. It advocates for a structured approach to identifying privacy threats, analyzing their likelihood and potential impact, and evaluating mitigation strategies to ensure data protection and regulatory adherence [10].

Description

Research offers a comprehensive review of global methods for assessing climate change risks to biodiversity. This analysis highlights diverse approaches and identifies critical gaps, emphasizing the need for more standardized methodologies to guide conservation efforts in a changing climate [1]. Additionally, the complexities in performing ecological risk assessments for chemical mixtures, a common environmental reality, are thoroughly addressed. This review discusses various methodologies for evaluating combined effects and pinpoints challenges in extrapolating single-substance research findings to complex environmental scenarios [5]. These studies underscore the dynamic nature of environmental risk analysis.

A systematic review meticulously examines current frameworks for assessing human health risks from exposure to multiple environmental contaminants. It critically highlights inadequacies of traditional single-substance approaches, strongly advocating for integrated assessment methods crucial to better account for cumulative risk scenarios [3]. Furthermore, a dedicated review focuses on applications of Quantitative Microbial Risk Assessment (QMRA) in food safety, detailing its use to evaluate pathogen risks. This work discusses recent advancements, data requirements, and challenges encountered when applying QMRA for robust food safety management [8]. These two areas represent proactive and crucial measures for safeguarding public health.

A novel method for cybersecurity risk assessment integrates sophisticated attack graph analysis with precise modeling of attacker intentions. The goal is to deliver a more precise and complete evaluation of potential security threats by factoring in an adversary's motivations and capabilities, moving beyond technical vulnerabili-

ties [2]. Complementing these efforts, a comprehensive framework is proposed for assessing data privacy risks, focusing on compliance with regulations like General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). It advocates for a structured approach to identifying privacy threats, analyzing their likelihood and potential impact, and rigorously evaluating mitigation strategies to ensure robust data protection and regulatory adherence [10]. These efforts are crucial in protecting digital assets and information.

This survey paper meticulously delves into the application of machine learning techniques for financial risk assessment. It thoroughly explores various machine learning models utilized across critical areas such as credit, market, and operational risks. The paper effectively showcases both their considerable potential and existing challenges when compared to more conventional statistical methods [4]. This in-depth analysis helps understand how advanced computational tools are transforming financial stability and informed decision-making by offering sophisticated new ways to predict, quantify, and effectively manage complex economic uncertainties.

A systematic review investigates how Industry 4.0 technologies are fundamentally reshaping occupational risk assessment. It clearly identifies emerging risks due to increased automation and digitalization, while simultaneously highlighting new opportunities for advanced monitoring and predictive analytics that can significantly improve workplace safety [6]. Concurrently, a comprehensive systematic literature review provides a current overview of research concerning risk assessment within project management. This work highlights key trends, methodologies, and persistent challenges, unequivocally underscoring the vital role of proactive risk identification, thorough analysis, and effective response strategies for achieving successful project outcomes [7]. These areas show how operational and strategic risks are being addressed with modern and foresightful approaches.

A systematic review thoroughly explores existing frameworks for social risk assessment within sustainability reporting. It unequivocally underscores the increasing importance of social factors in corporate risk management practices globally and highlights the critical need for standardized approaches. These methods are essential to effectively identify, accurately measure, and transparently report social impacts [9]. This trend signals a growing awareness of societal welfare, ethical considerations, and broader environmental justice in business operations, propelling organizations towards a more holistic and responsible view of their overall corporate duties and impact on communities.

Conclusion

The collected research provides a broad overview of diverse risk assessment methodologies and applications across various critical domains. Papers examine climate change risks to biodiversity, emphasizing the need for standardized approaches for conservation efforts [1]. Cybersecurity risk assessment methods are evolving, with new models integrating attack graph analysis and attacker intentions for more precise threat evaluations [2]. In human health, the focus is on integrated assessment methods to address cumulative risks from multiple environmental contaminants, moving beyond single-substance approaches [3]. The financial sector sees machine learning techniques increasingly applied to credit, market, and operational risks, presenting both potential and challenges [4]. Ecological risk assessments for chemical mixtures also highlight complexities in evaluating combined effects and extrapolating research findings [5]. Industry 4.0 technologies are reshaping occupational risk assessment, identifying new risks alongside opportunities for advanced monitoring to improve workplace safety [6]. Project management benefits from systematic reviews of risk assessment, underscoring proactive identification and response strategies [7]. Food safety utilizes Quantitative Microbial Risk Assessment (QMRA) to evaluate pathogen risks, facing challenges in data requirements and application [8]. Social risk assessment frameworks are crucial for sustainability reporting, stressing the need for standardized identification and measurement of social impacts [9]. Finally, a comprehensive framework for data privacy risk assessment is proposed, specifically addressing General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) compliance through structured identification, analysis, and mitigation of privacy threats [10]. This body of work collectively underscores the importance of robust, adaptive, and domain-specific risk assessment strategies for informed decision-making across environmental, technological, societal, and organizational contexts.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Resit Akçakaya, Stephen T. Garnett, Fiona M. Hunter. "Climate change risk assessments for biodiversity: a global review of approaches and applications." *Sci Adv* 6 (2020):eAAY8232.
2. Chao Li, Yichao Hou, Yu Song. "Cybersecurity risk assessment based on attack graph and attack intention." *J Netw Comput Appl* 187 (2021):103001.
3. Marjan van der Laan, Lisette C. C. M. de Groot, Jos Bessems. "Human health risk assessment of exposure to multiple environmental contaminants: A systematic review of frameworks and methods." *Environ Int* 142 (2020):105553.
4. Xiaoke Li, Ruoqing Yu, Xiang Li. "Machine learning for financial risk assessment: A survey." *Expert Syst Appl* 188 (2022):116241.
5. Mark L. Johnson, Kimberly L. Anderson, Richard S. Lazarus. "Ecological risk assessment for chemical mixtures: a review of methodologies and challenges." *Environ Toxicol Chem* 39 (2020):746-758.
6. Sara Silva, Sofia G. de Andrade, Ana Cristina Barros. "Occupational risk assessment in the context of *Industry* 4.0: A systematic review." Saf Sci 133 (2021):104996.
7. Marília P. Garcia, Eduardo L. B. M. Marins, Marisa R. S. Leal. "Risk assessment in project management: A systematic literature review." *Int J Proj Manag* 39 (2021):1-19.
8. Dong-Sun Kim, You-Jin Lee, Dae-Young Kim. "Quantitative microbial risk assessment (QMRA) in food safety: A review of recent applications and challenges." *Food Control* 108 (2020):106886.
9. Carolina F. de Andrade, Pedro D. Costa, Ana P. S. S. de Andrade. "Social risk assessment frameworks in sustainability reporting: A systematic literature review." *J Clean Prod* 334 (2022):129330.
10. Dimitrios Kourtesis, Vassilios Peristeras, Charalampos Tsiakalos. "A framework for data privacy risk assessment in the context of GDPR." *Inf Syst Front* 21 (2019):1373-1393.

How to cite this article: Johansson, Felix. "Risk Assessment: Methods and Applications Across Domains." *Int J Pub Health Safe* 10 (2025):466.

Address for Correspondence: Felix, Johansson, Department of Public Safety, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden, E-mail: felix@johansson.se

Received: 01-Sep-2025, Manuscript No. IJPHS-25-175357; **Editor assigned:** 03-Sep-2025, PreQC No. P-175357; **Reviewed:** 17-Sep-2025, QC No. Q-175357; **Revised:** 22-Sep-2025, Manuscript No. R-175357; **Published:** 29-Sep-2025, DOI: 10.37421/2157-7587.2025.10.466