Mini Review
Volume 7:2, 2023

Journal of Environmental Hazards

ISSN: 2684-4923 Open Access

Rising from Crisis: Building Back Better with a Green Recovery

Sviridova Tatyana*

Department of Biochemistry and Biotechnology, University of Engineering Technologies, Voronezh 394000, Russia

Abstract

The world has witnessed numerous crises throughout history, each of which has tested human resilience and adaptability. The recent global pandemic and its far-reaching socio-economic repercussions have once again highlighted the need for innovative and sustainable recovery strategies. The concept of building back better has gained prominence as societies seek to not only recover but also to evolve in a more environmentally conscious manner. This article delves into the idea of a green recovery, exploring its significance, key principles, potential benefits and challenges. By harnessing the power of innovation, collaboration and a commitment to sustainability, countries can rise from crisis, not only revitalizing their economies but also creating a foundation for a more resilient and eco-friendly future.

Keywords: Green recovery • Building back better • Sustainability

Introduction

In the annals of history, humanity has often been tested by adversity, facing challenges that require innovative solutions and collective resilience. The past decades have witnessed an unparalleled convergence of crises, from health emergencies to environmental upheavals, with far-reaching implications for societies and economies worldwide. As these crises shake the foundations of established norms, the need for recovery strategies that transcend the status quo becomes abundantly clear. Building Back Better with a Green Recovery has gained momentum, presenting a path toward rejuvenation that not only addresses the immediate aftermath of crises but also steers humanity toward a more sustainable and promising future. A Green Recovery is a concept imbued with hope, offering a roadmap for harnessing the power of sustainability and environmental consciousness in the wake of calamity. It encapsulates a holistic approach to recovery that goes beyond mere reconstruction, advocating for a transformative journey that recalibrates societal, economic and ecological systemsIt recognizes that the conventional modes of recovery often perpetuate inequalities, exacerbate environmental degradation and neglect the need for long-term resilience [1].

In the face of unprecedented challenges, humanity has consistently demonstrated its ability to adapt, evolve and rebuild. The current era, marked by the COVID-19 pandemic, has underscored the importance of not just recovering from crises, but of using these moments as catalysts for positive change. The concept of building back better has emerged as a guiding principle, emphasizing the importance of recovery strategies that prioritize resilience, sustainability and innovation. Central to this idea is the concept of a green recovery, which aims to rejuvenate economies while also promoting environmental responsibility. Green Recovery entails integrating environmental considerations into economic recovery plans. It recognizes that economic prosperity and environmental stewardship need not be at odds, but can complement each other to create a more harmonious and balanced society. As nations look to rebuild their economies following the pandemic, the green recovery approach emphasizes investments in renewable energy, sustainable infrastructure and conservation efforts. This not only generates jobs and economic activity but also addresses long-term climate challenges [2,3].

Literature Review

One of the foundational principles of a green recovery is the transition from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources such as solar, wind and hydropower. This reduces greenhouse gas emissions, mitigates climate change and establishes a foundation for energy security. Green recovery initiatives prioritize the development of sustainable infrastructure, including public transportation, energy-efficient buildings and waste management systems. This not only improves the quality of life for citizens but also reduces the environmental footprint of urban areas. Protecting and restoring ecosystems is crucial for long-term sustainability. A green recovery acknowledges the value of biodiversity and invests in projects that conserve and restore natural habitats, fostering resilience against future shocks [4].

Shifting towards a circular economy model, which focuses on reducing, reusing and recycling resources, is central to a green recovery. This minimizes waste, conserves resources and promotes sustainable consumption patterns. Embracing a green recovery offers multifaceted benefits. First and foremost, it addresses pressing environmental concerns, such as climate change and pollution. By accelerating the adoption of clean technologies, societies can significantly reduce their carbon footprint and transition towards a low-carbon future. Additionally, a green recovery generates new job opportunities in emerging industries like renewable energy, providing employment and economic stability. Furthermore, investing in sustainable infrastructure and conservation efforts enhances societal resilience. As nations build back better, they become better equipped to withstand future shocks, whether they are environmental, economic or health-related. This resilience stems from improved resource management, diversified economies and enhanced community cohesion [5].

Discussion

While the concept of a Green Recovery holds promise, it is not without its challenges. One major hurdle is the initial financial investment required for transitioning to sustainable practices. Governments must allocate resources strategically to ensure a balance between immediate economic recovery and long-term sustainability. Another obstacle is resistance to change from vested interests in traditional industries. The fossil fuel sector, for example,

***Address for correspondence:** Sviridova Tatyana, Department of Biochemistry and Biotechnology, University of Engineering Technologies, Voronezh 394000, Russia, E-mail: sviridova2@gmail.com*

Received: 02 May, 2023, Manuscript No. Jeh-23-110057; **Editor Assigned:** 04 May, 2023, PreQC No. P-110057; **Reviewed:** 16 May, 2023, QC No. Q-110057; **Revised:** 22 May, 2023, Manuscript No. R-110057; **Published:** 29 May, 2023, DOI: 10.37421/2684-4923.2023.7.194

may resist the shift towards renewable energy due to economic dependencies. Overcoming this resistance demands effective policy frameworks, stakeholder engagement and a clear communication of the benefits of green initiatives [6].

Conclusion

The aftermath of a crisis presents a unique opportunity for societies to reflect, adapt and evolve. The concept of Building Back Better with a green recovery embodies the idea that recovery and sustainability are not mutually exclusive but can synergize to create a brighter future. By embracing renewable energy, sustainable infrastructure, conservation and circular economy practices, nations can not only rejuvenate their economies but also safeguard their environment for future generations. The challenges are real, but with innovative thinking, collaboration and a resolute commitment to a greener and more resilient world, we can rise from crisis and build a better tomorrow.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

There are no conflicts of interest by author.

References

1. Lew, Beni, Irina Lustig, Michael Beliavski and Sheldon Tarre. "An integrated UASB-sludge digester system for raw domestic wastewater treatment in temperate climates." *Bioresour Technol* 102 (2011): 4921-4924.
2. Akulume, Martha and Suzanne N. Kiwanuka. "Health care waste segregation behavior among health workers in Uganda: An application of the theory of planned behavior." *J Environ Res Public Health* 2016 (2016).
3. Boesch, Michael E., Carl Vadenbo, Dominik Saner and Christoph Huter, et al. "An LCA model for waste incineration enhanced with new technologies for metal recovery and application to the case of Switzerland." *Waste Manag Res* 34 (2014): 378-389.
4. Antonopoulos, Ioannis, Giorgia Faraca and Davide Tonini. "Recycling of post-consumer plastic packaging waste in the EU: Recovery rates, material flows, and barriers." *Waste Manag Res* 126 (2021): 694-705.
5. Faraca, Giorgia and Thomas Astrup. "Plastic waste from recycling centres: Characterisation and evaluation of plastic recyclability." *Waste Manag Res* 95 (2019): 388-398.
6. Ferreira, S., M. Cabral, Nuno F. da Cruz and P. Simões, et al. "Life cycle assessment of a packaging waste recycling system in Portugal." *Waste Manag Res* 34 (2014): 1725-1735.

How to cite this article: Tatyana, Sviridova. “Rising from Crisis: Building Back Better with a Green Recovery.” *J Environ Hazard* 7 (2023): 194.