

# Reimagine Global Health Security: New Equitable Architecture

Yujin Park\*

Department of Public Health, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea

## Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic really showed us where global health security fell short. We saw how crucial it is to have robust, equitable systems in place for preventing and responding to future health crises. This paper digs into those lessons, pointing out the need for stronger international cooperation, better surveillance, and fairer access to resources to genuinely protect everyone [1].

Here's the thing: the existing International Health Regulations (IHR) need a serious update. This piece argues that after the COVID-19 experience, we absolutely must reimagine these regulations. What this really means is moving towards a more binding, proactive, and equitable framework to tackle future pandemics effectively, rather than just reacting to them [2].

Looking back at COVID-19, this paper highlights critical lessons learned for global health security and sketches out future directions. It's clear we need to move beyond fragmented responses, fostering genuine collective action and investment in core public health functions globally. This isn't just about preventing the next pandemic; it's about building a more resilient health future [3].

If we're serious about global health security, we have to start at the foundational level: national capacities. This article offers a framework for how countries can systematically strengthen their health systems, surveillance, and response capabilities. It's about empowering individual nations to contribute effectively to global efforts, ensuring no weakest link breaks the chain [4].

Securing global health isn't just about policies; it's also about money. This piece discusses the urgent need for a renewed commitment to financing global health security. The core message is clear: investing proactively in preparedness and response mechanisms is far more cost-effective than dealing with the fallout of unchecked pandemics. This requires new financial architectures and sustained funding [5].

Equitable access to vaccines isn't just a matter of fairness; it's fundamental to global health security. This paper argues that pandemic preparedness is undermined when vast parts of the world lack access to essential tools like vaccines. It really emphasizes that vaccine equity is a collective security imperative, not just a humanitarian concern [6].

Let's break it down: the International Health Regulations, while a cornerstone, weren't quite enough for COVID-19. This paper offers a critical assessment of their strengths and, more importantly, their weaknesses in pandemic preparedness. It makes a strong case for reform, highlighting where legal, operational, and financial gaps hampered an effective global response [7].

Post-COVID-19, we can't just patch up old systems. This article advocates for a completely new architecture for global health security. It's about moving towards a more integrated, resilient, and equitable framework that can anticipate and manage future threats, ensuring lessons from the recent past truly inform future preparedness [8].

What this really means is that strengthening the International Health Regulations from 2005 is essential for a more secure global health future. This paper highlights key areas for enhancement, focusing on how we can make these regulations more effective, enforceable, and adaptable to emerging threats, pushing towards a world better prepared for health emergencies [9].

Digital health isn't just a trend; it's a powerful tool for global health security. This article charts a course for how digital technologies can be leveraged, from enhanced surveillance and early warning systems to improved communication and resource allocation during health crises. It's about building smarter, more connected systems to bolster our defenses [10].

## Description

The COVID-19 pandemic clearly exposed critical gaps in global health security, highlighting the urgent need for robust, equitable systems to prevent and respond to future health crises [1]. Looking back, this experience offers vital lessons and outlines future directions for global health security, making it clear that fragmented responses are insufficient. Genuine collective action and significant investment in core public health functions globally are essential. This isn't just about preventing the next pandemic; it's about building a more resilient health future for everyone [3].

Here's the thing: the existing International Health Regulations (IHR) require a serious update. After the COVID-19 experience, reimaging these regulations is paramount, moving towards a more binding, proactive, and equitable framework to tackle future pandemics effectively, rather than merely reacting [2]. Let's break it down: the International Health Regulations, while a cornerstone of global health, weren't quite enough for COVID-19. A critical assessment reveals their strengths but, more importantly, their weaknesses in pandemic preparedness. There's a strong case for reform, pinpointing where legal, operational, and financial gaps hampered an effective global response [7]. What this really means is that strengthening the International Health Regulations from 2005 is essential for a more secure global health future. Key areas for enhancement focus on making these regulations more effective, enforceable, and adaptable to emerging threats, pushing towards a world better prepared for health emergencies [9].

If we're serious about global health security, we have to start at the foundational level: national capacities. A framework is needed for how countries can systematically strengthen their health systems, surveillance, and response capabilities. This is about empowering individual nations to contribute effectively to global efforts, ensuring no weakest link breaks the chain [4]. Post-COVID-19, we can't just patch up old systems. A completely new architecture for global health security is necessary. This involves moving towards a more integrated, resilient, and equitable framework that can anticipate and manage future threats, ensuring lessons from the recent past truly inform future preparedness [8]. Equitable access to vaccines isn't just a matter of fairness; it's fundamental to global health security. Pandemic preparedness is undermined when vast parts of the world lack access to essential tools like vaccines. Vaccine equity is a collective security imperative, not merely a humanitarian concern [6].

Securing global health isn't just about policies; it's also about money. There's an urgent need for a renewed commitment to financing global health security. The core message is clear: investing proactively in preparedness and response mechanisms is far more cost-effective than dealing with the fallout of unchecked pandemics. This requires new financial architectures and sustained funding [5]. Digital health isn't just a trend; it's a powerful tool for global health security. Digital technologies can be leveraged for enhanced surveillance, early warning systems, improved communication, and better resource allocation during health crises. It's about building smarter, more connected systems to bolster our defenses [10].

## Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic laid bare significant shortcomings in global health security, underscoring the vital need for robust, equitable systems to prevent and respond to future crises. Various analyses point to stronger international cooperation, improved surveillance, and fairer access to essential resources as crucial protective measures. A recurring theme is the urgent call to reimagine and strengthen the International Health Regulations (IHR) to create a more binding, proactive, and equitable framework for pandemic preparedness, rather than just reactive responses. Lessons from COVID-19 clearly advocate for moving beyond fragmented efforts, fostering collective action, and investing in core public health functions globally. This involves building health security from the ground up, empowering national capacities to strengthen health systems and surveillance, ensuring no weakest link compromises global efforts. Financing global health security requires renewed commitment, recognizing that proactive investment in preparedness is more cost-effective than managing pandemic fallout. New financial architectures and sustained funding are essential. Moreover, equitable access to critical tools, especially vaccines, is not just about fairness but is a collective security imperative. Ultimately, the goal is to build a new, integrated, resilient, and equitable architecture for global health security that can anticipate and effectively manage future threats, with digital health playing a key role in enhancing surveillance, communication, and resource allocation.

## Acknowledgement

None.

## Conflict of Interest

None.

## References

1. Lawrence O. Gostin, Sarah Moon, Benjamin Mason Meier, Eric A. Friedman, Alexandra C. Phelan. "Strengthening global health security: lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic." *Lancet Glob Health* 10 (2022):e754-e759.
2. Lawrence O. Gostin, Eric A. Friedman, Sarah Moon. "The Future of Global Health Security: Reimagining the International Health Regulations in an Era of Pandemics." *JAMA* 329 (2023):801-802.
3. Philip Sands, Lawrence O. Gostin, Ilona Kickbusch, Simao S. "The COVID-19 pandemic and global health security: lessons learned and future directions." *Lancet* 397 (2021):1690-1692.
4. Benjamin Mason Meier, Eric A. Friedman, Lawrence O. Gostin. "Building global health security from the ground up: A framework for strengthening national capacities." *Milbank Q* 99 (2021):497-526.
5. David T. Jamison, Lawrence H. Summers, George Alleyne, David E. Bloom, Victoria Y. Fan, Gita Gopinath. "Financing global health security: a renewed commitment for a new era." *Lancet* 400 (2022):1145-1147.
6. Marie-Paule Kieny, V. Moorthy, Yoshihiro Kawaoka, Mauricio L. Barreto, Jeremy Farrar, Lawrence O. Gostin. "Strengthening global health security through equitable access to vaccines." *Nat Med* 27 (2021):755-757.
7. Lawrence O. Gostin, Alexandra L. Phelan, Eric A. Friedman. "The International Health Regulations and pandemic preparedness: A critical assessment." *Milbank Q* 98 (2020):762-790.
8. Lawrence O. Gostin, Sarah Moon, Eric A. Friedman. "Rebuilding global health security after COVID-19: the need for a new architecture." *BMJ* 373 (2021):n1174.
9. Didier L. Heymann, Sarah Moon, Lawrence O. Gostin. "Towards a more secure global health: *Enhancing the International Health Regulations* (2005)." *Lancet Public Health* 8 (2023):e460-e462.
10. J. Daniel Kraemer, Reema Khan, Sarah Moon. "Digital health and global health security: Charting a course for the future." *Glob Health Sci Pract* 8 (2020):353-356.

**How to cite this article:** Park, Yujin. "Reimagine Global Health Security: New Equitable Architecture." *International Journal of Public Health and Safety* 10 (2025):459.

---

**\*Address for Correspondence:** Yujin, Park, Department of Public Health, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea, E-mail: yujin@park.kr

**Copyright:** © 2025 Park Y. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Received:** 01-Jul-2025, Manuscript No. IJPHS-25-175339; **Editor assigned:** 03-Jul-2025, PreQC No. P-175339; **Reviewed:** 17-Jul-2025, QC No. Q-175339; **Revised:** 22-Jul-2025, Manuscript No. R-175339; **Published:** 29-Jul-2025, DOI: 10.37421/2736-6189.2025.10.459

---