

Quantitative Nanomechanical Mapping of Soft Materials *via* AFM-based Techniques

Jonson White*

Department of Biology, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

Introduction

Quantitative nanomechanical mapping using Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) has emerged as a critical method for characterizing the mechanical properties of soft materials at the nanoscale. This includes polymers, hydrogels, biomaterials, and living cells. This paper reviews the principles, techniques, and recent advances in AFM-based nanomechanical mapping, including force–distance spectroscopy, PeakForce QNM, and fast force mapping methods. We also examine their applications in soft matter research, evaluate the limitations of current approaches, and discuss future directions to enhance accuracy, resolution, and throughput in nanomechanical analysis. Soft materials are essential in biology, medicine, and materials science due to their tunable mechanical properties and responsiveness to environmental stimuli. Traditional mechanical testing lacks the spatial resolution to probe heterogeneities at the nanometer scale. Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM), with its high sensitivity to surface forces and spatial resolution, provides a unique platform for quantitative nanomechanical characterization. Modern AFM-based techniques allow for spatial mapping of Young's modulus, adhesion, and dissipation across soft material surfaces, offering insight into material behavior and structure-function relationships at the nanoscale.

Description

In force–distance spectroscopy, the AFM tip is brought into and out of contact with the sample while recording the cantilever deflection as a function of tip–sample distance. By fitting the approach curve to contact mechanics models (e.g., Hertz, DMT, JKR), mechanical properties such as stiffness and modulus can be extracted. This technique is foundational but often slow and limited in spatial coverage. Peak Force QNM, developed by Bruker, operates by oscillating the probe at low frequencies (~1–2 kHz) and capturing a force curve at every pixel during imaging. This enables real-time, high-resolution mapping of mechanical properties such as modulus, adhesion, deformation, and dissipation. The key advantage is controlled force application, minimizing tip–sample damage and enabling measurements on ultra-soft materials like hydrogels and cells. Recent developments include Fast Force Mapping (FFM), which accelerates force-curve acquisition using high-speed piezo actuators and low-noise detection systems. Multi-frequency AFM techniques, such as AM-FM or bimodal AFM, measure elastic and viscous properties simultaneously by analyzing the response at multiple cantilever resonant frequencies. These methods improve mapping speed while maintaining or enhancing mechanical resolution. Hydrogels, often used in biomedical applications, require precise

characterization of mechanical properties that govern cell behavior and tissue integration. AFM-QNM allows for spatially resolved modulus mapping of hydrogels under hydrated conditions, aiding in the design of scaffolds with biomimetic mechanical profiles.

Phase-separated polymer systems often contain soft and hard domains with distinct mechanical characteristics. AFM enables differentiation of these domains with nanometer precision. This is crucial for developing high-performance materials with tailored mechanical behavior, such as thermoplastic elastomers and shape-memory polymers. AFM nanomechanical mapping provides insights into the biomechanical properties of cells, which reflect physiological and pathological states. Modulus and adhesion maps can reveal cytoskeletal integrity, membrane stiffness, and cell response to drugs. Live-cell measurements in physiological media are particularly valuable in cancer, neurobiology, and stem cell research.

Applying contact mechanics models like Hertz and DMT to viscoelastic or heterogeneous materials introduces errors. Advanced models are needed for accurate interpretation. Accurate mechanical measurements depend on cantilever spring constant, tip radius, and deflection sensitivity. Variability in these parameters leads to inconsistency in results. Soft materials often require hydration or temperature control. Environmental fluctuations can affect both sample behavior and measurement stability. Faster mapping techniques may sacrifice resolution or accuracy. Balancing throughput and precision remains an ongoing concern. Efforts to overcome existing limitations focus on integrating AFM with complementary techniques, such as confocal microscopy or Raman spectroscopy, for correlative imaging. Machine learning approaches are being explored to interpret force curves and classify material properties. Additionally, improvements in probe design—such as soft, blunt, and chemically modified tips—enhance compatibility with soft and sticky materials. The development of automated, high-throughput AFM platforms will further facilitate large-scale mechanical phenotyping of biological samples and soft composites [1-5].

Conclusion

Quantitative nanomechanical mapping via AFM has become an indispensable tool in the characterization of soft materials. It offers unique capabilities to resolve mechanical properties at nanometer resolution under physiological and environmental conditions. Continued technological improvements and methodological refinements are expected to broaden its impact across materials science, biomedical engineering, and nanotechnology.

Acknowledgment

None.

*Address for Correspondence: Jonson White, Department of Biology, Lund University, Lund, Sweden; E-mail: whitejonson00@gmail.com

Copyright: © 2025 White J. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 03 March, 2025, Manuscript No. jncr-25-165203; Editor assigned: 05 March, 2025, Pre QC No. P-165203; Reviewed: 19 March, 2025, QC No. Q-165203; Revised: 24 March, 2025, Manuscript No. R-165203; Published: 31 March, 2025, DOI: 10.37421/2572-0813.2025.10.282

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Heu, Celine, Alexandre Berquand, Celine Elie-Caille and Laurence Nicod. "Glyphosate-induced stiffening of HaCaT keratinocytes, a Peak Force Tapping study on living cells." *J Struct Bio* 178 (2012): 1-7.
2. Labuda, Aleksander, Marta Kocun, Waiman Meinhold and Deron Walters, et al. "Generalized Hertz model for bimodal nanomechanical mapping." *Beilstein J Nanotechnol* 7 (2016): 970-982.
3. Kocun, Marta, Aleksander Labuda, Waiman Meinhold and Irène Revenko, et al. "Fast, high resolution and wide modulus range nanomechanical mapping with bimodal tapping mode." *ACS Nano* 11 (2017): 10097-10105.
4. An, Sangmin, Santiago D. Solares, Sergio Santos and Daniel Ebeling. "Energy transfer between eigenmodes in multimodal atomic force microscopy." *Nanotechnology* 25 (2014): 475701.
5. Nakajima, Ken, Makiko Ito, Dong Wang and Hao Liu, et al. "Nano-palpation AFM and its quantitative mechanical property mapping." *Microscopy* 63 (2014): 193-208.

How to cite this article: White, Jonson. "Quantitative Nanomechanical Mapping of Soft Materials via AFM-based Techniques." *J Nanosci Curr Res* 10 (2025): 282.