#### ISSN: 2380-5439

Open Access

# Psychological Health Outcomes of Medical *vs.* Surgical Abortion

#### **Hev Rolstoy\***

Department of Biological Engineering, University Teknologi Malaysia, Johor Bahru, Malaysia

## Introduction

Abortion is a complex and contentious issue with profound social, ethical, and political implications. In the context of women's reproductive health, there are two primary methods of abortion: medical abortion, also known as medication abortion or the abortion pill, and surgical abortion. While medical and surgical abortions are safe and legal procedures in many countries, the psychological health outcomes for individuals who undergo these procedures have been the subject of extensive research and debate. This comprehensive review aims to explore and analyze the psychological health outcomes of medical and surgical abortion, shedding light on the potential differences, common factors, and implications for healthcare, policy, and society. The psychological health outcomes following an abortion are multifaceted, influenced by a range of factors including individual characteristics, social and cultural contexts, and the specific circumstances surrounding the abortion. It is important to note that the decision to undergo an abortion is deeply personal and often complex. The psychological impact of abortion is not solely determined by the method used but rather by the myriad factors that converge during this experience. Here, we will discuss the psychological outcomes associated with both medical and surgical abortions.

## Description

Research indicates that satisfaction with the abortion decision is a strong predictor of positive psychological outcomes, regardless of the method. Women who felt their choice was well-informed and aligned with their values tend to experience fewer psychological issues. Pre-existing mental health conditions can influence the psychological response to abortion. Women with a history of mental health issues may be more vulnerable to experiencing negative psychological outcomes. Social support, including the presence of a caring partner, family, or friends, is a critical determinant of psychological well-being.Religious and cultural beliefs regarding abortion can strongly influence the psychological outcomes. Individuals whose beliefs align with their choice may experience less psychological distress.

Psychological responses to abortion can evolve over time. While some women may experience immediate relief, others may face psychological challenges in the months or years following the procedure. The concept of psychological resilience play a significant role in how individuals cope with abortion. Some women have a higher capacity to adapt and recover from the experience. Psychological experiences are inherently subjective, making it difficult to generalize findings. What one person experiences may differ significantly from another? Women who agree to participate in abortion-related

\*Address for Correspondence: Hev Rolstoy, Department of Biological Engineering, University Teknologi Malaysia, Johor Bahru, Malaysia, E-mail: hevrolstoy@gmail.com research may have unique experiences or perspectives, potentially introducing selection bias. Studies on abortion often rely on retrospective self-reporting, which can be influenced by memory, cognitive bias, and emotional factors. A multitude of individual, social, and cultural factors influence psychological outcomes, making it challenging to isolate the specific impact of abortion methods on mental health. Long-term, longitudinal studies tracking the psychological well-being of women before and after abortion are relatively scarce. Such studies would provide more comprehensive insights into the evolving nature of psychological responses. Conducting research on abortion-related psychological outcomes raises ethical concerns. Researchers must prioritize the well-being and privacy of participants and address potential stigmatization [1].

The psychological health outcomes of medical and surgical abortion are complex, multifaceted, and deeply personal experiences that are influenced by a myriad of factors. While both methods of abortion have their unique features. what is paramount is the holistic understanding of the psychological outcomes in the broader context of individual circumstances, social influences, and healthcare provision. Both medical and surgical abortion can lead to a range of psychological responses, including stress, anxiety, relief, sadness, and grief. Individual factors such as the decision satisfaction, pre-existing mental health conditions, social support, religious and cultural beliefs, and access to postabortion counseling play a crucial role in shaping these responses. Moreover, the societal and legal context in which the abortion occurs, including stigma and access to healthcare services, can significantly impact the psychological experience. Healthcare providers should offer comprehensive care that encompasses not only the medical aspects of abortion but also the emotional and psychological well-being of the individual. This includes providing information, emotional support, and access to post-abortion counseling. Access to Services: Expanding access to safe and legal abortion services is vital to reduce the likelihood of individuals resorting to unsafe practices and facing increased psychological risks. Access should be equitable and not hindered by geographic or financial barriers [2].

Raising awareness and education around abortion is essential to destigmatize the procedure and provide accurate information about the psychological aspects of the experience. This can promote understanding and empathy within society. The accessibility of genetic and molecular diagnostics raises ethical concerns related to equity. These tests can be expensive, limiting access for individuals from lower socioeconomic backgrounds. Furthermore, certain populations might be underrepresented in genetic databases. leading to disparities in the accuracy of diagnostic information and treatment recommendations. Efforts should be made to ensure that these technologies are accessible to all individuals, regardless of their socioeconomic status or ethnic background. Genetic and molecular diagnostics are increasingly being used in pediatric and prenatal settings to identify genetic disorders early in life or during pregnancy. While these tests can provide valuable information for medical decision-making, they also pose unique ethical challenges. In the case of prenatal testing, parents may be faced with difficult decisions regarding continuation of the pregnancy based on the test results. Balancing the right of the parents to make informed choices with concerns about potential eugenic practices is a complex ethical issue. Advances in genetic and molecular diagnostics have given rise to technologies like Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis (PGD) and Non-Invasive Prenatal Testing (NIPT), which allow for the selection of embryos or detection of genetic abnormalities in fetuses. While these technologies offer opportunities to prevent or treat genetic disorders, they also raise ethical concerns about selecting embryos based on non-

**Copyright:** © 2023 Rolstoy H. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Received:** 02 October, 2023, Manuscript No. jbhe-23-116600; **Editor Assigned:** 04 October, 2023, PreQC No. P-116600; **Reviewed:** 17 October, 2023, QC No. Q-116600; **Revised:** 23 October, 2023, Manuscript No. R-116600; **Published:** 30 October, 2023, DOI: 10.37421/2380-5439.2023.11.100099

medical traits or the potential for parents to make decisions solely for cosmetic reasons [3].

The emergence of technologies like CRISPR-Cas9 has brought the possibility of editing the human germline, which raises profound ethical questions. While gene editing holds promise for treating genetic diseases, it also opens the door to genetic enhancement and the potential for designer babies. Discussions about the ethical boundaries of germline editing, the potential unintended consequences, and the need for responsible oversight are ongoing. The commercialization of genetic and molecular diagnostic technologies raises questions about the ownership of genetic information. Who owns the data generated through these tests, and who benefits from their commercial exploitation? Ensuring that patients' genetic information is not exploited for profit without their informed consent is a critical ethical consideration. Another challenge is the integration of AI technologies into existing healthcare systems. Implementing AI solutions requires adequate infrastructure, data interoperability, and training of healthcare professionals. Collaboration between AI developers and healthcare providers is crucial to ensure that AI tools are user-friendly, clinically validated, and align with the specific needs of healthcare settings. There is a need for regulatory frameworks and standards to govern the development and deployment of Regulations should address issues related to data privacy, algorithm transparency, and liability for AI-generated decisions. Collaboration between policymakers, healthcare organizations, and AI experts is necessary to establish guidelines that balance innovation, safety, and ethical considerations [4,5].

#### Conclusion

Psychological health outcomes of medical and surgical abortion are influenced by a complex interplay of individual, social, and cultural factors. It is important to approach this topic with empathy, recognizing that each person's experience is unique. Ultimately, understanding and addressing the psychological well-being of those who undergo abortion is crucial for providing effective and compassionate reproductive healthcare and for fostering a society that respects individual choices in matters of reproductive health. The psychological health outcomes of medical and surgical abortion are influenced by a complex interplay of individual, social, and cultural factors. While some differences exist between the two methods, what matters most the holistic experience and the context in which the abortion occurs. Understanding these psychological outcomes is essential for healthcare providers, policymakers, and society at large, as it informs the provision of safe, accessible, and supportive reproductive healthcare. Compassion, empathy, and respect for individual choice should be central to addressing the psychological well-being of those who undergo abortion, regardless of the method chosen.

#### Acknowledgement

None.

## **Conflict of Interest**

There are no conflicts of interest by author.

#### References

- Major, Brenda, Mark Appelbaum, Linda Beckman and Mary Ann Dutton, et al. "Abortion and mental health: Evaluating the evidence." *Am Psychol* 64 (2009): 863.
- Nutbeam, Don. "Health literacy as a public health goal: A challenge for contemporary health education and communication strategies into the 21<sup>st</sup> century." *Health Promot Int* 15 (2000): 259-267.
- Prochaska, James O and Wayne F. Velicer. "The transtheoretical model of health behavior change." Am J Health Promot 12 (1997): 38-48.
- Trickett, Edison J., Sarah Beehler, Charles Deutsch and Lawrence W. Green, et al. "Advancing the science of community-level interventions." *Am J Public Health* 101 (2011): 1410-1419.
- Wallerstein, Nina and Bonnie Duran. "Community-based participatory research contributions to intervention research: The intersection of science and practice to improve health equity." Am J Public Health 100 (2010): S40-S46.

How to cite this article: Rolstoy, Hev. "Psychological Health Outcomes of Medical vs. Surgical Abortion." J Health Edu Res Dev 11 (2023): 100099.