Protect and Counter-attack: Nutritional Supplementation with Essential Amino acid Ratios Reduces Doxorubicin–induced Cardiotoxicity in vitro and promote Cancer Cell Death in vitro
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Commentary

Human and experimental data show that nutritional supplementation with a specific mixture of essential amino (EAA) improves both mitochondrial function and biogenesis and reduces either ROS formation or apoptotic drive in myocytes [1-2]. Supplementation with EAA is also effective in humans when tested in patients with cardiac dysfunction [3]. Doxorubicin (Doxo), an effective cancer chemotherapy agent, causes severe cardio-toxicity due to mitochondria damage, production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and cell apoptosis with myofibrillar disarrangement, which in turn induces chronic heart failure. Pathogenesis of toxicity, as reported by Zhang et al. [4] is connected to the binding of Doxo to topoisomerase-IIb, which triggers a complex cascade of events, altering the DNA response and apoptosis pathways. However, a marked alteration in the transcriptome, that selectively affects oxidative phosphorylation and mitochondrial biogenesis in cardiomyocytes. As a result, Doxo-induced cardiotoxicity limits optimal drug-dose use, reducing its potential therapeutic effects in cancer patients [5].

Consequently, we hypothesized that supplementation with EAA would blunt Doxo cardiotoxicity, but we also tested if this would happen without interfering with the Doxo therapeutic effects on cancer cells. We used C57BL/6 mice fed on a normal diet and i.p. injected with a single saline or Doxo-HCl (15 mg/kg, from Sigma-Aldrich) injection to induce cardiomyopathy [6], with or without oral supplementation of EAA (1.5 g/kg). After 14 days, the heart was collected and morphologically evaluated by optical and electron microscopy. In addition, to test whether EAA supplementation negatively interferes with the Doxo anticaner action, we treated different cancer cell lines (3 × 10⁵ cells/well in DMEM medium of HCT116 colon carcinoma; MCF7 mammary gland carcinoma; M14 melanoma), with Doxo (0.7 microg/ml) and with or without EAA (1% w/v). Untreated cancer cell lines (cultured in DMEM only) were used as controls. The osmolarity of the culture medium was the same for all groups. After 24 hours the cells were counted with the Trypan blue dye exclusion assay to distinguish live from dead cells.

The in vivo experiments showed that Doxo significantly lowered body weight (22.59 ± 1.33 g vs 30.12 ± 1.4 g, p<0.05) and heart weight (0.12 ± 0.01 g vs 0.17 ± 0.01 g, p<0.05) compared to the control group.

Furthermore, Doxo induced morphological alteration of cardiomyocytes with giant and irregularly shaped nuclei with condensed chromatin (Figure 1a A-C). In addition, Doxo impaired cellular distribution, morphology and the number of mitochondria (24 ± 2.8 vs 31.62 ± 5.5 Nmit/100µ2. p<0.05). Interestingly, EAA supplementation of Doxo-treated animals protected normal heart weight (0.16 ± 0.01 g vs 0.12 ± 0.01 g, p<0.05) and preserved cardiomyocytes architecture, mitochondrial morphology and number (28 ± 2.8 vs 24 ± 2.8 Nmit/100µ2, p<0.05) (Figure 1a D-F).

The in vivo findings were partially surprising because previous studies had shown that EAA nutritional supplementation stimulates mitochondrial biogenesis and endogenous defences against ROS. The consequences were: reduced cell damage, improved cellular energy production and preserved muscular structure, which finally increased life span of aged animals [1].

As expected, the in vitro experiments confirmed the Doxo action on cancer cells, causing about 60% of cell death vs untreated (control) cell after 24h. On the contrary and surprisingly, EAA administration with or without Doxo, increased cancer cell mortality (Figure 1b). Interestingly, EAA alone increased the apoptotic markers: cleaved-
We hypothesize that cancer cells are most dependent on the environmental availability of non-EAA for cell signalling, protein synthesis and cell proliferation. Therefore, we suggest that a "positive imbalance" between EAA and non-EAA may alter cancer cells environment and possibly trigger a signalling cascade which in turn induces a series of events incompatible with proliferation and survival of cancer cells. Further studies are in progress to clarify the mechanisms possibly connected to these intriguing findings.
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