ISSN: 2472-128X Open Access

Prognostic Value of Mutations in Key Cancer Driver Genes in Advanced Lung Adenocarcinoma

Abdon Nicole*

Department of Health Policy, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

Introduction

Lung adenocarcinoma, the most common histological subtype of Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC), remains a leading cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide, particularly in its advanced stages. Despite progress in targeted therapies and immunotherapy, prognosis for patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma varies widely and remains poor overall. A major contributor to this variability is the genomic heterogeneity of the disease, which is driven by mutations in a number of key cancer driver genes [1]. These genetic alterations influence tumor behavior, treatment response, and clinical outcomes. Understanding the prognostic value of specific driver gene mutations can refine risk stratification, inform treatment decisions, and potentially uncover new therapeutic opportunities [2].

Description

In this study, we examine the association between mutations in key cancer driver genes and clinical outcomes in a large cohort of patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma. Using publicly available datasets, including The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and clinical-genomic data from institutional cohorts and commercial platforms, we assess the mutational status of frequently altered genes such as TP53, KRAS, EGFR, STK11, KEAP1, and ALK. These genes were selected based on their known involvement in lung adenocarcinoma pathogenesis and therapeutic relevance. We analyze Overall Survival (OS), Progression-Free Survival (PFS), and response to standard therapies in relation to mutation status, while adjusting for key clinical variables such as age, smoking history, stage, and treatment type [3,4].

The analysis reveals distinct prognostic patterns associated with specific gene mutations. TP53 and STK11 mutations are significantly associated with worse overall survival, suggesting a more aggressive disease phenotype. In contrast, patients with EGFR mutations tend to exhibit improved survival, particularly when treated with Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors (TKIs), reflecting the therapeutic sensitivity conferred by these alterations. KRAS mutations, especially in combination with co-mutations in STK11 or KEAP1, are linked to poorer outcomes and reduced response to immunotherapy, underscoring the need for combination treatment strategies in these subgroups. Furthermore, gene mutation combinations appear to be more predictive of prognosis than single-gene alterations alone, highlighting the value of integrated genomic profiling [5].

*Address for Correspondence: Abdon Nicole, Department of Health Policy, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada; E-mail: nicole.abdon@gmail.com

Copyright: © 2025 Nicole A. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 28 January, 2025, Manuscript No. JCMG-25-165723; Editor assigned: 30 January, 2025, Pre QC No. P-165723; Reviewed: 13 February, 2025, QC No. Q-165723; Revised: 20 February, 2025, Manuscript No. R-165723; Published: 27 February, 2025, DOI: 10.37421/2472-128X.2025.13.319

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that mutations in key cancer driver genes carry significant prognostic implications for patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma. The findings support the incorporation of comprehensive genomic profiling into routine clinical assessment to better stratify patients and guide personalized treatment strategies. By identifying mutation-specific patterns of survival and therapeutic response, this research contributes to a more nuanced understanding of lung adenocarcinoma biology and paves the way for precision oncology approaches that consider not just the presence of driver mutations, but their broader clinical context and combinatorial effects.

Acknowledgment

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

- Ganti, Apar Kishor, Alyssa B. Klein, Ion Cotarla and Brian Seal, et al. "Update
 of incidence, prevalence, survival, and initial treatment in patients with nonsmall cell lung cancer in the US." JAMA Oncology 7 (2021): 1824-1832.
- Bach, Peter B., Joshua N. Mirkin, Thomas K. Oliver and Christopher G. Azzoli, et al. "Benefits and harms of CT screening for lung cancer: A systematic review." JAMA 307 (2012): 2418-2429.
- 3 Yates, Lucy R. and Peter J. Campbell. "Evolution of the cancer genome." Nat Rev Genet 13 (2012): 795-806.
- Pathak, Gopal P., Rashmi Shah, Mathieu Castonguay and Angela Cheng, et al. "Temporal Effect on PD-L1 Detection and Novel Insights Into Its Clinical Implications in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer." Cancer Med 13 (2024): e70262.
- Herbst, Roy S., Daniel Morgensztern and Chris Boshoff. "The biology and management of non-small cell lung cancer." Nature 553 (2018): 446-454.

How to cite this article: Nicole, Abdon. "Prognostic Value of Mutations in Key Cancer Driver Genes in Advanced Lung Adenocarcinoma." *J Clin Med Genomics* 13 (2025): 319.