Probiotics for Human and Poultry Use in the Control of Gastrointestinal Disease: A Review of Real-World Experiences
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Abstract

Over a century ago Eli Metchnikoff proposed the revolutionary idea to consume viable bacteria to promote health by modulating the intestinal microflora. The idea is more applicable now than ever, since bacterial antimicrobial resistance has become a serious worldwide problem both in medical and agricultural fields. The interest in digestive physiology and the microbiome has generated data whereby well being of all living organisms with a digestive tract can be enhanced and the risk of disease reduced. Given the recent international legislation and domestic consumer pressures to withdraw growth-promoting antibiotics and limit antibiotics available for treatment of bacterial infections, probiotics can offer alternative options. Probiotics are live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host. Current indications are that mechanism of action involves a number of possibilities like rapid activation of innate host immune responses, assisting in the digestion of food materials etc. In this review, we focused on applying probiotic concept to alleviate chronic constipation and idiopathic diarrhea in humans or for specific pathogen reduction and improvement of GIT diseases in poultry. Based on this review, it is clear that the probiotic concept can be further explored to impact various areas of health and well being in both humans and animals.
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Introduction

Enteric problems and their treatment are of great concern both in humans and animals. The diarrhea caused as a result of cancer treatments in humans is a very good example. The chemotherapy induced is associated with increased morbidity and mortality, increased treatment costs, and limitations related to the ability to deliver full doses of chemotherapy [1]. In cases where chemotherapy associated diarrhea occurs, it may be necessary to discontinue or modify drug treatment until the diarrhea ceases [1]. Both chemotherapeutic agents and radiation can directly injure rapidly proliferating enterocytes in the enteric mucosa, while several chemotherapeutic agents also have direct effects on the intestinal microflora, frequently leading to diarrhea sometimes hemorrhagic, malabsorption and bacterial translocation [2]. Radiotherapy and chemotherapy treatments also depress immune function, increasing the likelihood of systemic disease [3]. Consequently, cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy or radiation therapy are often treated with high doses of broad spectrum antibiotics in an attempt to reduce such systemic disease. A common and unfortunate sequelae to this treatment is disruption of beneficial enteric microflora, allowing colonization by opportunistic pathogens such as Clostridium difficile, which frequently causes severe diarrhea and sometimes death [1,4]. Similarly, acute infectious diarrhea and chronic constipation are also two other enteric disturbances seen in humans. Meanwhile, in case of food animals, the occurrence of enteric disease can lead to huge economic loss. Salmonelllosis is a highly contagious food borne pathogen with important economic repercussions in commercial poultry [5,6]. The use of antibiotics can be an effective way to control these types of infections, however, the use of antibiotic in food animals and the concern over the spread of antibiotic resistance genes is gaining global attention. The use of antibiotics is already banned in Europe since January 2006 [7]. The enteric complications in humans, impeding ban of antibiotics in animal feed, the failure to identify new antibiotics and the inherent problems with developing new vaccines make a compelling case for developing alternative probiotics. One such alternative approach involves the use of probiotics or beneficial bacterial cultures [6,8,9].

Probiotics are defined as live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host. The administration of probiotics as part of the daily dietary intake can reduce the incidence and severity of acute and chronic enteric infection, facilitate prevention and reduced recurrence of certain cancers, and lower the incidence of several atopic conditions [10,11]. The field of probiosis has emerged as a new science with applications in farming and aquaculture as alternatives to antibiotics as well as prophylactics in humans [12,13]. Probiotics are being developed commercially for both human uses, primarily as novel foods or dietary supplements, and in animal feeds, poultry and aquaculture, for the prevention of gastrointestinal infections.

Probiosis, although not a new concept, has only recently begun to receive an increasing level of scientific interest. Probiotics as a viable alternative to antibiotics is an important venture. For this reason the development of new probiotic products that could be licensed for food animal use is receiving considerable interest [9-11]. Probiotics for...
human use, on the other hand, are subjected to minimal restrictions at least as novel foods or as dietary supplements and come in many different forms. In supermarkets, they are often sold as dairy-type products containing live bacteria and in health food shops as capsules or tablets composed of lyophilized preparations of bacteria which promote a healthy gut. Currently, there is no universal class of probiotic bacterium. The commonly used probiotic bacterial cultures include lactic acid bacteria and Bacillus sp., considered as alternatives to antibiotics that are used in both human and animal production industry [8,9,14-16]. These bacteria are found normally in the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) of humans and animals and there is the vague notion that the use of indigenous or commensal microorganisms is somehow restoring the natural microflora to the gut. The present review is exploring the effect of probiotic, in both humans and animals, with respect to certain enteric disease conditions.

Mechanism of Action of Probiotics

The GIT contains a microenvironment of bacteria that influences the host in many ways. Colonization begins at birth and is followed by progressive assembly of a complex and dynamic microbial society regulated by elaborate and combinatorial microbial–microbial and host–microbial interactions [17,18]. A delicate balance exists regarding the interaction between intestinal microbiota interact with host tissues to determine gut physiological function under normal conditions. The fragile composition of the gut microflora can be affected by various factors such as age, diet, environment, stress and medication [18,19]. The factors that perturb this equilibrium, such as marked dietary changes, infections or antibiotic treatment, will promote gut dysfunction [20,21]. Primary motility disturbances can induce changes in the intestinal bacterial content and thereby further worsen intestinal physiology [17]. A range of host functions has revealed to be affected by indigenous microbial communities. For example, microflora can metabolize several nutrients that the host cannot digest and converts these to end products, a process which has a direct impact on digestive physiology [22-24]. In addition, the microbiota directs the assembly of the gut-associated lymphoid tissue [25], helps educate the immune system [26], modulates proliferation and differentiation of its epithelial lineages [18], regulates angiogenesis [4], modifies the activity of the enteric nervous system [22] and plays a key role in extracting and processing nutrients consumed in the diet [27]. Despite these important effects, the mechanisms by which the gut microbial community influences host biology remain almost entirely unknown [28]. Amongst the many benefits associated with the consumption of probiotics, modulation of the immune system has received considerable attention [26]. Numerous researchers have reported the ability of live bacterial cultures to also reduce colonization of opportunistic microorganisms in the gastrointestinal tract [8,29-31]. Balanced gastrointestinal microflora and immune-stimulation or regulation of cell mediated or humoral immunity are major functional effects attributed to the consumption of probiotics [18,26,32-36]. However, several animal and human studies have provided unequivocal evidence that specific strains of probiotics are able to stimulate multiple aspects of innate immunity [3,8,20,37-40]. The importance of the intestinal microflora composition in physiological and pathophysiological processes in the GIT, is becoming more evident and has led to new possibilities for prevention and therapy of diseases [4,18,41]. There is a growing interest in probiotics as a safe way of changing the intestinal bacterial flora. It is possible to increase the proportion of Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and Bacillus sp. in the gastrointestinal microflora by consumption of probiotics or by oral administration of specific non-digestible substrates, such as oligofructose, termed as prebiotics [42]. LAB and Bacillus sp. spores based probiotics are reported to have the potential to ameliorate several gastroenteric conditions, especially when the intestinal flora has been disturbed [7,17,43].

Effect of Probiotics in Certain GIT Diseases

Chemotherapy induced diarrhea

Oral chemotherapy using anticancerous drugs can destroy the normal microflora in the GIT, leading to diarrhea which is difficult to control. Recently, El-Atti et al. reported a case study in which they used LAB culture to treat chemotherapy induced diarrhea in a cancer patient [44]. The authors reported the probiotic culture reduced the severity and frequency of diarrhea from day 1 administration. However, the patient reported that the diarrhea will relapse whenever she stops taking probiotics. From this report, the authors suggested that the adjuvant administration of probiotics along with chemotherapy can reduce the occurrence of chemotherapy induced diarrhea. Several studies have shown that either live vegetative cells or endospores of some Bacillus isolates can prevent colon carcinogenesis [45] or discharge antimicrobial substances against Gram-positive bacteria, such as Staphylococcus aureus, Enterococcus faecium, and Clostridium difficile [46]. This again suggests that Bacillus spores based probiotics can also be a potential candidate to be used an effective probiotic for the chemotherapy induced diarrhea.

Acute infectious diarrhea

Acute infectious gastroenteritis remains the most common cause of diarrhea worldwide and is a leading cause of death in childhood. This disorder is also a source of anxiety to families of affected children, representing a heavy economic burden for families and for society as a whole [47]. Despite improvements in public health and economic wealth, the incidence of intestinal infections remains high in the developed world and continues to be an important clinical problem with relevant morbidity [48]. The morbidity of acute infectious diarrhea includes complications such as dehydration or electrolyte imbalance. Another issue is the bacterial antimicrobial resistance in both the medical and agricultural fields has become a serious problem worldwide [49]. Antibiotic resistant strains of bacteria are an increasing threat to animal and human health, with resistance mechanisms having been identified and described for all known antimicrobials currently available for clinical use [49]. Because of this problem, there is a need to evaluate potential antibiotic alternatives to improve disease resistance. The use of certain LAB as probiotics have been reported to be effective in reducing the severity and duration of acute diarrhea: Lactobacillus rhamnosus formerly “Lactobacillus casei strain GG” or “Lactobacillus GG”, L. plantarum, several strains of Bifidobacteria spp., Enterococcus faecium SF68, and preparations containing a mix of strains [8,16,27,48,50].

In unpublished results from the author's laboratories, a prospective open controlled trial in a primary care center in two rural towns in Mexico, 200 children aged 10 to 12 years consulting for acute diarrhea were selected where the primary outcome measure was total duration of diarrhea and the number of bowel movements 24 hours after treatment and their consistency. Children were assigned to receive oral rehydration solution alone, a probiotic mixture containing eleven strains of LAB, antibiotics or the combination of antibiotics plus the probiotic. Safety and tolerability of the probiotics were the secondary outcomes. Patients were allocated to each antibiotics group when they had fever, mucous stools, or tenesmus. The average of bowel movements...
in the 24 h after beginning the treatment was smaller (p<0.01) in children who received probiotic and probiotic plus antibiotics treatment. In stool consistency there were not subjective differences between groups after treatment, however, subjective differences were observed between same treatment group before and after the treatment. There were no adverse events in the groups that receive probiotics neither antibiotics nor rehydration. These preliminary and unpublished results suggest that the use of this probiotic culture is effective, safe and provides a good option for the treatment of acute infectious diarrhea in children. Similarly, a study conducted by Chen et al. observed that a probiotic with a mixture of Bacillus mesentericus, Enterococcus faecalis, and Clostridium butyricum reduced the harshness of diarrhea and duration of hospital stay in children with acute diarrhea mainly through the down-regulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines and up-regulation of anti-inflammatory cytokines [51].

### Chronic constipation

Constipation is a common problem, and probiotics have been suggested to improve gastrointestinal symptoms in patients with chronic constipation [52-54]. Rodriguez-Fragoso et al. showed that a probiotic mixture containing eleven strains of LAB, increased the frequency of bowel movements in constipated patients and improved the consistency of their stools, suggesting that the use of this probiotic was effective, safe and provides beneficial effects on symptoms of chronic constipation [55]. The mechanisms for this are thought to be related to alterations in the intestinal microflora and production of short chain fatty acids SCFA, which biological and clinical properties have been extensively investigated [22,23]. SCFA stimulate the motility of the intestine probably through colonic motility by stimulating mucosal receptors connected to enteric and vagal nerves [56], colonic smooth muscle [57], and releasing gastrointestinal regulatory peptides that modulate intestinal motility such as polypeptide YY [58]. The motility of the GIT is important for absorption, transport, and clearance. Absorption is promoted by slow transit because of prolonged contact time, whereas clearance, by rapid transit [59]. Interestingly, recent studies have shown that gut transit is slow in the absence of the intestinal microflora [59-61].

However, there are contradicting reports about the effect of probiotics on chronic constipation. Some studies noted an increase in the amount of stool and reduced symptoms in adult patients with chronic constipation [59,62], while in other studies no improvement was observed [63]. Thus, the effect of probiotic ingestion on orofecal gut transit time appears to be dependent on the specific bacterial strains used and the population being studied.

### Probiotics in poultry research

A number of researches have been conducting on preventing or reducing the occurrence of Salmonella infection in poultry [11,29,30,64]. Tellez et al. evaluated methods to select for individual enteric bacteria capable of inhibiting Salmonella growth in vitro and the ability of selected oxygen tolerant bacteria, in combination, to protect neonatal pouls from Salmonella infection following challenge [24,65,66]. Concurrently, they also worked toward the isolation, selection, further evaluation and combination of LAB to control additional foodborne pathogens. Extensive laboratory and field research conducted with this defined LAB culture has demonstrated accelerated development of normal microflora in chickens and turkeys, providing increased resistance to Salmonella spp. infections [37,67-72]. Published experimental and clinical studies have shown that these selected probiotic organisms are able to reduce idiopathic diarrhea in commercial turkey brooding houses [73]. Large scale commercial trials indicated that appropriate administration of this probiotic mixture to turkeys and chickens increased performance and reduced costs of production [69,74,75]. These data have clearly demonstrated that selection of therapeutically efficacious probiotic cultures with marked performance benefits in poultry is possible, and that defined cultures can sometimes provide an attractive alternative to conventional antimicrobial therapy.

Tellez et al. [24] used molecular techniques to elucidate the action of LAB as a probiotics against Salmonella. Using a Salmonella challenge model, an effective LAB probiotic, administered 2 hours after Salmonella challenge, had no effect during the first 12 hours on increasing cecal colonization by this pathogen, although marked and rapid decreases were observed between 12 and 24 hours post-challenge [65,76]. Later, using the same model and microarray analysis of gut miRNA expression, gene expression differences in birds treated with a Lactobacillus-based probiotic were compared to saline treated birds. At 12 h post-probiotic treatment, 170 genes were significantly different (p<0.05), but by 24 h post treatment, the number of differentially regulated genes were 201. Pathway analysis revealed that at both time points, genes associated with the NFκB complex were significantly regulated, as well as genes involved in apoptosis. Probiotic-induced differential regulation of the genes GAS2 and CYR61 may result in increased apoptosis in the ceca of chicks. Because Salmonella is an intracellular pathogen, it was suggested that increased apoptosis may be a mechanism by which B11 reduces Salmonella infection [66].

In spite of the success showed by the development of the LAB probiotic for use in commercial poultry as described above, there is still an urgent need for commercial probiotics that are shelf-stable, cost-effective and feed-stable (tolerance to heat pelatization process) to increase compliance and widespread utilization. Among the large number of probiotic products in use today some are bacterial spore formers, mostly of the genus Bacillus. Both LAB and Bacillus have their own advantages and disadvantages. The LAB is found to be a better probiotic than Bacillus, but the latter one is more stable compared to the LAB mainly due to its spore forming ability. Used primarily in their spore form, some (though not all) have been shown to prevent selected gastrointestinal disorders and the diversity of species used and their applications are astonishing. While not all Bacillus spores are highly heat tolerant, some specific isolates are the toughest life form known on earth [77] and can be used under extreme heat conditions. These results provided evidence of colonization and antimicrobial activity of probiotic bacteria, thus, products containing Bacillus spores are used commercially as probiotics, and they offer potential advantages over the more common LAB products since they can be used as direct feed microbiols [10,78-81]. There is scientific evidence suggesting that some but not all isolates of ingested B. subtilis spores can, in fact, germinate in the small intestine [79,82-84]. Together, these studies not only show that spores are not transient passengers in the gut, but they have an intimate interaction with the host cells or microflora that can enhance their potential probiotic effect. Several commercial spore-forming Bacillus cultures have been shown to reduce food borne pathogens [79,81,85]. However, cost issues associated with achieving necessary concentrations of spores in feed have greatly limited commercial acceptance [10,86]. While the majority of clear-cut research with regard to beneficial probiotic cultures has focused on LAB, as discussed above, a major question in several laboratories is whether or not selected spore-former bacteria (genus Bacillus or related) can be as effective as the best known LAB cultures. Recently, one Bacillus subtilis spore isolate was as effective as a well-established LAB-based probiotic for Salmonella
In order to select even more effective isolates, current research which may lead to new efficiencies for commercial amplification and both vegetative growth and sporulation rates have been optimized, amplification and sporulation is absolutely essential to gain widespread promoters for commercial poultry. Importantly, improved efficiency of that these isolates could be an effective alternative to antibiotic growth non-medicated diets respectively. Indeed, preliminary data suggests operations when compared with medicated (nitarsone) or control near future.

Preliminary studies indicate a potential mechanistic action of these isolates have been evaluated in vitro for antimicrobial activity against selected bacterial pathogens, heat stability, and the ability to grow to high numbers. Unpublished experimental evaluations by the author's laboratories have also confirmed improved body weight gain as well as Salmonella sp. or Clostridium perfringens reduction in commercial turkey and broiler operations when compared with medicated (nitisarone) or control non-medicated diets respectively. Indeed, preliminary data suggests that these isolates could be an effective alternative to antibiotic growth promoters for commercial poultry. Importantly, improved efficiency of amplification and sporulation is absolutely essential to gain widespread industry acceptance of a feed-based probiotic for ante mortem food borne pathogen intervention, as well as cost effectiveness. Recently, both vegetative growth and sporulation rates have been optimized, which may lead to new efficiencies for commercial amplification and manufacture of a cost-effective product at very high spore counts [88]. In order to select even more effective isolates, current research is focused on the mechanistic action of new Bacillus candidates. Preliminary studies indicate a potential mechanistic action of these new Bacillus candidates at least partially involve rapid activation of innate host immune mechanisms (system or responses) in chickens and turkeys (unpublished data). This data provides an exciting possibility for identification of vastly superior and more potent probiotics in the near future.

Conclusion

The interest in digestive physiology and the role of microorganisms has generated data whereby human and animal well being can be enhanced and the risk of disease reduced. Given the recent international legislation and domestic consumer pressures to withdraw growth-promoting antibiotics and limit antibiotics available for treatment of bacterial infections, probiotics can offer alternative options. New advances in the application of probiotics, are directed to produce significant changes in gut physiology and provide even higher levels of health in humans as well as increase performance parameters in poultry. Current research is still heavily biased toward gastrointestinal applications for probiotics, such as: chronic constipation [54,62,89]; diarrhea [1,16,27,48]; inflammatory bowel disease [27,90]; irritable bowel syndrome [9,91]; and food allergy [92], but the possibilities for impacting many areas of health are numerous. Research has shown that probiotics have potential for human health issues such as: vaginal candidiasis [17,38]; dental caries [93]; allergies [15,26]; autoimmune diseases [26,94,95]; urogenital infections [40]; atopic diseases [92,96]; rheumatoid arthritis [94,97]; and respiratory infections [50].
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