Open Access

Predictors of Status of Hepatitis B Virus among Adults under Treatment: A Retrospective Longitudinal Study

Awoke Seyoum Tegegne^{1*} and Awoke Fetahi²

¹Department of Statistics, Bahir Dar University, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia

²Department of Statistics, Debre Markos University, Debre Markos, Ethiopia

Abstract

Background: Hepatitis B is the most common liver infection in the world which is caused by the Hepatitis B Virus (HBV). The virus can attack and injure the liver. The infection of hepatitis B virus leads to chronic viral hepatitis infections in hundreds of millions of people in worldwide. The objective of current study was to identify factors that affect the progression rate of hepatitis B virus in patients' liver who were treated at Felege-Hiwot teaching and specialized hospital, during treatment period.

Methods: The data for this study was obtained from hepatitis B patients chart registered for treatment during January 2013 to December 2016 at chronic hepatitis B patients' clinic at Felege-Hiwot teaching and specialized hospital, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia. A retrospective study design was conducted in current investigation. The estimation of the model parameters was done by Restricted Maximum Likelihood (REML) procedures.

effects like visiting time (p-value<0.001), sex (p-value=0.0332), **Results:** From the linear mixed effect model, main age (p-value<0.001), vaccination history (p-value=0.0141), marital status (p-value=0.0032), alanine aminotransferase (p-(p-value=0.0154), genotype, B (p-value=0.0183), genotype, C (p-value=0.0143) and albumin value=0.0057), genotype, Α (p-value=0.0329) significantly affected the variable of interest. Similarly, interaction effects of time with marital status (pvalue=0.0042) played statistically significance role on the progression rate of hepatitis B virus in the liver of patients.

Conclusion: A certain groups which are at maximum risk and needs intervention have been identified. Highly concrete evidences have been increased from time to time for certain population with chronic HBV infection being at great risk for progression of liver disease. Hepatitis B virus infected patients at the study area should have information about factors that can affect the progression rate of HBV. Ministry of health or health staff should aware the community to take vaccination that helps to protect individuals from hepatitis B virus.

Keywords: Hepatitis B patients • Infectious disease • Liver disease • Virus • Linear mixed model • REML

Introduction

Hepatitis B is the most common liver infection in the world. It is caused by the Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) (a small DNA virus), which can attack and injure the liver. This hepatitis B virus infection leads to chronic viral hepatitis infections in hundreds of millions of people worldwide, with possibly fatal health consequences. The highly infectious virus particles in the blood of infected individuals pose a serious health risk, with healthy asymptomatic carriers of the main reservoir of infection [1,2].

The National Institutes of Health (NIH) define three phases of chronic HBV infection that are now widely accepted: The immune

tolerant phase, the immune active phase and the inactive hepatitis B phase [3,4]. In the immune tolerant phase, HBV infected persons are HBeAg-positive, have normal ALT levels and elevated levels of HBV DNA that are up to 20,000 IU/ML and commonly well above 1 million IU/ML. The immune active phase is characterized by elevated ALT levels and an elevated HBV DNA level with at least 2000 IU/ ML [5]. The inactive hepatitis B phase is characterized by the absence of HBeAg and the presence of anti-HBe, normal ALT levels. HBV DNA re-activation of anti-HBe positive chronic hepatitis appear to be at higher risk of developing HCC or cirrhosis [6-9].

Exhibition and experience of symptoms vary greatly between infected individuals: one-third has sub-clinical infection without any

Address for Correspondence: Awoke Seyoum Tegegne, Department of Statistics, Bahir Dar University, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia, Tel: 918779451; E-mail: bisrategebrail@yahoo.com

Copyright: © 2023 Tegegne AS, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 30 November, 2022, Manuscript No. JAR-22-81915; Editor assigned: 05 December, 2022, PreQC No. JAR-22-81915 (PQ); Reviewed: 20 December, 2022, QC No. JAR-22-81915; Revised: 20 January, 2023, Manuscript No. JAR-22-81915 (R); Published: 27 January, 2023, DOI: 10.37421/2168-6113.2023.14.929

symptoms; one-third experiences a mild "flu-like" illness with symptoms like malaise, vomiting, nausea and mild fever and the remaining one-third exhibits yellowing of the skin and eyes (jaundice), bilirubinuria (the dark urine caused by the jaundice), extreme fatigue, anorexia, right-sided upper abdominal pain and an inflamed tender liver. About 90% of adults recover completely, although this may require at least six months with continual tiredness and prejudice to alcohol [10-12].

In an infected individual, HBV is detectable in the blood and body fluids such as semen and vaginal secretions (concentration about 1000 IU / ML). A diagnosis of hepatitis B is based on the detection of the various viral antigens and antibodies in the blood or fluid: Hepatitis B surface Antigen (HBsAg) and antibody (anti-HBs), Hepatitis B core Antigen (HBcAg) and antibody (anti-HBc IgM and anti-HBc IgG), Hepatitis B e-Antigen (HBeAg) and antibody [13,14].

The hepatitis B virus spreads through contact with infected body fluids. Its modes of transmission are comparable to those of HIV, but HBV are 50 to 100 times more infectious. It can be transmitted at 3 stages in life; around the time of birth, during childhood, and in adult life. It is usually transmitted by contact with the blood, semen or vaginal secretions of an infected (HBV DNA-positive or HBsAgpositive) person. The virus must be introduced through mucous membranes or broken skin for infection to be occurred.

Hepatitis B is a serious communal health problem throughout the world and caused by Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) and hence at risk of developing active hepatitis B disease. According to the WHO Global report, up to 2 billion people worldwide have been infected with HBV; about 350 million people live with chronic HBV infection, and about 600,000 people die from HBV-related liver disease or HCC each year [15]. Hepatitis B places a heavy burden on the health care system such as high costs of treatment, liver treatment failure and chronic liver disease. In many countries, hepatitis B is the leading cause of liver transplants [16]. Treatments at the end stages are expensive, easily reaching up to hundreds or thousands of dollars per person [17]. Hepatitis B is one of the major diseases of mankind and is a serious global public health problem [18]. Of the 2 billion people who have been infected with the HBV, more than 350 million have chronic permanent infections. HBV infections result in 500,000 to 1.2 million deaths per year. The risk of infection becomes chronic for 90% of infants, 30% of children aged<5 years and 2.6% for adults. Countries like Japan, India, central Asia and the Middle East including Eastern and Southern Europe, as well as parts of South America, are all areas with intermediate (2% to 7% HBsAg-positive) prevalence of chronic HBV infection. Low prevalence (<2% HBsAg positive) of chronic HBV is found in countries including the United States, Northern Europe, Australia, and the Southern part of South America [19].

In Africa, infections with chronic HBV play a major role in the etiology of most liver diseases. By country, estimated HBsAg seroprevalence ranges between 5% and 19%, and the total number of carriers may approach 58 million with as many as 12.5 million likely to die prematurely due to hepatitis B induced liver disease [20].

A nationwide epidemiological study about hepatitis B marker prevalence was conducted in Ethiopia on 5,270 young males from all regions of the country. Overall prevalence rate was 10.8% for HBsAg and 73.3% for "at least one marker positive"; a remarkable geographical and ethnic variability of marker prevalence

was observed which reflects the wide differences existing in Ethiopia in socio-cultural, environment and activities such as tribal practices and traditional surgery. Sexual practices and medical exposure also play some role as determinants of hepatitis B marker prevalence in Ethiopia. Chronic viral hepatitis also results in loss of productivity. Studies so far lead us to consider that different genotypes may be linked with different rates of development from acute to chronic HBV infection. However, different hosts and environmental factors make certain difficulties to extrapolate findings from one geographical region to another. Hence, there is inconsistency of findings obtained from different

Hence, there is inconsistency of findings obtained from different investigations. One of the previous research recommended that it is important to conduct a well design, prospective longitudinal study to identify predictors of disease progression in which it helps to guide treatment strategy of the disease. Therefore, the current investigation was conducted with objective of identifying the risk factors for the progression rate of Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) in the liver of HBsAg positive patients using HBV DNA level (viral load) of patients.

Materials and Methods

Study materials and settings: The data for current investigation consists of secondary data, records of social, demographic and clinical characteristics of 409 hepatitis B virus patients recorded for 36 months (3 years) of treatment. The data was collected by health care service providers at Felege Hiwot teaching and specialized hospital. Linear mixed effect model was used to assess predictors of the variable of interest. The study was longitudinal retrospective, targeted for 2035 moderate hepatitis B virus patients at the hospital under the follow-ups from January 2013 to December 2016.

Inclusion criteria: Hepatitis B patients admitted to adhere medication with at least six months follow-ups and whose viral loads were recorded at each visiting time were included in the investigation.

Sample size and sampling technique: Out of the targeted hepatitis patients, 409 were selected using purposive sampling technique where patients included in the investigation should have viral load records at every follow-up. In sample size computation, 95% confidence limit and 5% marginal errors were taken in to consideration.

Data collection tools and procedures: The available data at the hospital was first observed and discussed with health care service providers. Data was extracted using data extracted format developed by the investigators in consultation to health care providers. All relevant information from the cards of patients was collected by health care service providers after theoretical and practical orientations by the investigators. Charts of patients were retrieved using patients' registration card number that indicates patients whose viral loads were also recorded. This was done in the electronic data base system.

Quality of data: The quality of data was controlled by data quality controllers from the specific section of the hospital and regional laboratory center where viral load of patients were conducted. Data collectors got orientation about the variables included in the investigation. The data extraction tools and variables included under investigation were pretested for consistency of understanding, review of tools and completeness of the required information on 50 hepatitis B virus patients before final data collection. Based on the information from the Pilate test, necessary amendments were made on the final data collection format. The retrieval process in data collection was closely monitored by the investigators in the whole data collection period. Bothe of the predictor and variable of interest were checked regularly for their completeness of information. Any inconvenience related to data collection was immediately communicated to data collectors and corrective measures were taken.

Patients in the hospital: All patients registered at the hospital were followed up in the era of their course of treatment to assess treatment outcome. Based on treatment outcomes, patients were classified Cured as: (finished treatment with negative bacteriology result at the end of the treatment (HBsAgnegative, HBeAg-negative), treatment completed (finished treatment but without bacteriology result at the end of their treatment), patients were provided with free HB medications for a period of up to 6 months in the hospital. If patients, after the 6 months follow-ups are not cured, they are referred as chronically infected by HBV. These patients were followed up regularly until completion of their treatment. Patients who were treated for at least 6 months in the hospital were included under this investigation.

Variables included in current investigation

The response variable: The response (dependent) variable was number of HBV DNA unit expressed in IU/ML. It is represented by y_i and it measures the number of HBV DNA also known as viral load and it indicates how rapidly the virus is reproducing itself in the liver. This procedure measures how many HBV DNA units are found in a milliliter or about one drop of blood.

Predictor variables: Predictor variables included under current study were sex (male, female), age in years, co-infection (HIV, HCV, Others, No), genotype (A, B, C, Others), marital status (Living with partner, Living without partner), history of vaccination (No, Yes), baseline ALT level (Normal, Elevated), HBV genotype (A, B, C, Others), albumin level and number of visiting times.

About the model: A Linear Mixed Model (LMM) is a parametric linear model for longitudinal or repeated-measures that quantifies the relationships between a continuous dependent variable and various predictor variables. A LMM may include both fixed effect parameters associated with one or more continuous or categorical covariates and random effects associated with one or more random factors. Fixed effect parameters describe the relationships of the covariates to the dependent variable for entire population; random effects are specific to subjects within a population. Consequently, random effects are directly used in modeling the random variation in the dependent variable at different levels of the data.

The linear mixed effects model assumes that the observations follow a linear regression where some of the regression parameters are fixed or the same for all subjects, while other parameters are random or specific to each subject. Meanwhile, population parameters, individual effects and within-subject variations make up the first stage of the model. Correlated continuous outcomes are treated as fixed effects. The general form of the linear mixedeffects model after combining the two stages is approximately normal. The two stage of the model are indicated as follows.

First stage of the model belongs to individual response Y_{ij} for ith subject, measured at time t_{ij} , i=1, n_i response vector Yi for ith subject:

 Z_i is an $n_i \times q$ matrix of known covariates, β_i is q dimensional vector of subject specific regression coefficients. $\epsilon_i \sim (0, \in_i)$, often $\epsilon_i = \alpha^2 i_{ni}$ this model describes the observed variability with in subjects.

Second stage describes the between subject variability that explains in the subject specific regression coefficients using known covariates.

$$\beta_i = Ki\beta + bi \dots (2)$$

 K_i is a q × p matrix of known covariates, β is a dimensional vector of unknown regression parameter $b_i \sim N$ (0, D). Combining the two stages (1) and (2) one can have:

$$\begin{cases} Y_i = Z_i \beta_i + \varepsilon_i \\ \beta_i = K_i \beta + b_i \end{cases} \Longrightarrow Y_i = Z_i K_i \beta + Z_i b_i + \varepsilon_i.$$
(3)

Let $X_i=Z_iK_i$ in (3), then the general form of the model is expressed as:

$$Y_i = X_i \beta + Z_i b_i + \varepsilon_i \dots (4)$$

Where Y_i represents a vector of continuous responses for the ith subject, X_i is an $n_i \times p$ design matrix, which represents the known values of the p covariates.

In data analysis, Restricted Maximum Likelihoods (REMLs) were used for parameter estimation and for the purpose of model selection, AIC and other information criterion were used. After final model selection, the model was refitted using REML estimation methods such. Likelihood Ratio Tests (LRTs) were used to compares two models, the full model with all of the interactions and the reduced model with just a subset of terms. In model selection, methods of model selection was carried out. The variance covariance structure with the lower AIC value had been considered as an appropriate to select the model. Finally, the individual Wald test had been taken in to consideration.

Results

The medical cards of 409 patients have been reviewed, of which 57.9% (total 237) of patients were moderate (>1000 IU/ML), and 42.1% (172) of patients were chronic (>2000 IU/ML) level of HBV replication in their liver. The base line characteristics for current investigation are given in Table 1.

Variables	Categories	N (%)
Sex	Male	215 (52.6)
	Female	194 (47.4)

History of drug use, HDU	Yes	194 (47.4)
	No	215 (52.6)
ALT	Elevated	226 (55.3)
	Normal	183 (44.7)
Co-infected with	HCV	69 (16.9)
	HIV	54 (13.2)
	No	110 (26.9)
	Other	176 (43.0)
Marital status	Living with partner	172 (42.1)
	Living without partner	237 (34.0)
Genotype	Α	60 (14.7)
	В	108 (26.4)
	С	95 (23.2)
	Others	146 (35.7)

 Table 1. Categories of qualitative predictor variables used in current investigation.

The data for its normality was assessed using the Q-Q plot. The Q-Q plot indicates that the ordered data of the observed value versus the expected normal probability satisfied the assumption of normality. The normality assumption was also assessed for random effects and the scatter plot of the residual was random, it implies that the random term is normally distributed and it is possible to conclude that the error term for fixed effect is also normally distributed certain covariance structures were compared to select the one appropriate given data. Some of the to the covariance structures used for model selection were; Unstructured (UN), Compound Symmetric (CS), first-order Autoregressive (AR (1)) and Toeplitze (Toep). Table 2 displays the corresponding fit statistics of the structures obtained from the Newton-Raphson algorithm.

Information criterion	un	CS	TEOPLZ	AR (1)
AIC (smaller is better)	53521.6	50204.6	54079.9	49835.5
AICC (smaller is better)	53521.6	50204.6	54080.5	49835.5
BIC (smaller is better)	53517.6	50194.6	54029.9	49827.5
Average CCC	-0.2341	-0.671	0.4904	0.9709

Table 2. Information criterion used for selection of covariance structure.

As it is indicated in Table 2, AR (1) had smallest information criterion hence, the covariance structure used for data analysis was AR (1).

Model parameter estimation: Restricted maximum likelihood was used for parameter estimation and this was used as a default SAS program. The analysis for type 3 tests and solutions for the fixed effects are indicated at Table 3 and Table 4 respectively.

Effect	DF	F Value	Pr>F
Time	1	253.28	<.0001*
Sex	1	0.12	0.1732
Age in years	1	28.38	<.0001*
HDU	1	0.11	0.1741
ALT	1	7.67	0.0057*
Co infection	3	1.01	0.2386

Marital status	2	12.81	<.0001*	
Genotype	3	0.88	0.0449 [*]	
Albumin	1	4.56	0.0329*	
Time [*] Marital status	2	13.51	<.0001	
Time [*] genotype	3	11.94	<.0001*	
Time [*] Albumin	1	9.27	0.0024 [*]	
Time [*] Marital status [*] co infection	6	2.55	0.0184*	

Table 3. Type 3 data analysis for univariate potential covariates.

Significant predictor covariates from Table 3 were selected for further analysis in fixed and random components of the linear mixed

model was used for data analysis. The multivariate data analysis is indicated in Table 4.

Effect	Estimate	Standard error	t -value	Pr> t
Intercept	54.32	3.78	11.4	<0.001*
Time	-2.9	2	-7.26	<0.001*
Sex (Reference=Male)				
Female	5.54	4.62	0.34	0.1732
age	3.59	7.87	5.33	<.0001*
Vaccination for HBV at child age (Refe	rence=No)			
Yes	-5.74	1.02	-0.33	0.0141*
ALT (Reference=Normal)				
EL	4.24	1.45	2.77	0.0057*
Co infection (Reference=Others)				
HCV	2.66	6.96	0.65	0.1512
HIV	3.47	4.36	0.78	0.0434*
NO	-2.39	2.8	-0.53	0.1595
Marital status (Reference=Living without partners)				
Living with partners	2.72	2.56	2.95	0.0032*
Genotype (Reference=Other)				
A	6.03	1.25	3.03	0.0154 [*]
В	-2.91	1.67	-1.33	0. 0183 [*]
C	-3.77	2.24	-0.46	0.0143 [*]
Albumin	-3.4	3.66	-2.14	0.0329*
Time [*] Marital status (Reference=Living	without partner)			
Living with partner	3.94	6.22	2.86	0.0042 [*]

 Table 4. Multivariate parameter estimates for fixed effects.

Table 4 indicates that for one unit increase of visiting time, the expected HBV of hepatitis B patients decrease by 2.9 IU/ML (p-value<0.001) that means on average the replication of hepatitis B virus inside the liver of patients was decreased with increase of visiting time. However, as age of patients increased by one year, the expected hepatitis B virus DNA unites is also increased by 3.59 IU/ML (p-value<0.001). The expected HBV DNA for patients with elevated ALT (Amino Liver Transfers) level was increased by 4.24 IU/ML (p-value 0.0057) as compared to that of a patient with a normal ALT level.

The progression rate of HBV DNA for HIV infected patients was increased by 3.47 IU/ML (p-value=0.0434) as compared to HIV-negative HBV patients. The expected change of HBV DNA for patients with genotype A was increased by 6.03 IU/ML (p-value=0.0154) as compared to that of the patients with other

genotypes, however, the rate of change of average HBV DNA unite for patients with genotype B was decreased by 2.91 IU/ML (pvalue=0.0183) when compared to patients with other genotypes. Similarly, the expected change of HBV DNA units for patients with genotype C was decreased by 3.77 IU/ML (pvalue=0.0143) as compared to other genotypes. The progression rate of hepatitis B virus for patients living with partners was increased by 2.72 IU/ML (p-value=0.0032) when compared to patients living without partners. As the amount of Albumin in hepatitis B virus decreased by 3.4 IU/ML (p-value=0.0329), keeping the other things constant. The expected progression rate of HBV units for patients who took vaccination at childhood was decreased by 5.74 (p-value=0.0141) as compared to those patients who did not take vaccination related to HBV at child age.

The interaction effect of time with marital status had significant effect on current investigation.

Hence, as visiting time of a patient increased by one unit, the rate of change of average HBV DNA unit for patients living with partners was increased by 3.94 IU/ML (p-value=0.0042) as compared to those patients living without partners.

Discussion

For those patients who followed-up their prescribed medication by the health staff reduce the progression rate of HBV. This indicates that the disease can be cured for patients with close followed-up keeping the other things like drug resistance remains constant. A hepatitis B infected patient, who adhered the prescribed medication achieved and maintained undetectable HBV DNA unit with progressively increasing rates of ALT normalization. This result is supported by one of the previous studies.

Female HBV infected patients had more progression rate of HBV as compare to males. This might be the reason that females are more exposed to the virus especially *via* sexual intercourse another reason for this is that females may not have close follow-ups because of additional home works like child care and others.

Patients who had taken vaccination during child hood had low progression rate of HBV as compared to those who did not take vaccination. Hence, vaccination at child hood had a power of reducing its progression rate.

Patients con-infected by HIV complicates the supervision of the progression rate of HVB infected individuals. On the one hand, liverrelated measures, including hepatocellular carcinoma, come into view co-infected patients than in HBV-monoregularly in infected individuals. Suppression of HBV duplication by treatment with anti-HBV agents represents the best way to avoid series of liver disease in these patients. Alternatively, the use of antiretroviral agents to treat HIV-associated immunodeficiency becomes by an increased risk of hepatotoxicity in subjects challenged with underlying chronic hepatitis B. Absolute suppression of HBV viremia is also important to reduce resistance of the virus as the risk of resistance positively correlates with viral load (worldwide study). In HIV-positive patients with chronic hepatitis B, the recognition that low CD4 counts are connected with HBV viremia may further support recent guidelines that recommend bearing

in mind an earlier introduction of antiretroviral therapy including anti-HBV agents in HIV/HBV-co-infected individuals.

There is a strong association between HBV genotype an infection and HBV progression. HBV infected patients with genotype A have high progression rate as compared to HBV non-A genotype. This is predictably, since 50% of non- A genotype were also HCV anti-body positive and it is remarkable that HBV genotype A patients tended to demonstrate significantly higher serum HBV DNA levels, irrespective of anti-HBV drug experience. This is important since HBV viremia is connected with the risk of liver-related complications and selection of HBV drug resistance. Delta hepatitis occurred more repeatedly in HBsAg-positive patients carrying non-A HBV genotypes than in those infected with genotype A, most probably because there is a strong separation of HBV genotypes by risk group category. Serum HBV DNA viremia was lower and more often undetectable in HBsAg-positive patients with delta hepatitis than in the rest, as expected given the inhibitory effect on HBV replication caused by HDV super infection. Given the dependence of HDV on HBV, and that delta hepatitis is the most aggressive form of chronic viral hepatitis, it is of great interest to clarify to what degree potent anti-HBV oral drugs may be of benefit in ameliorating the excessive liver damage caused by hepatitis delta in HIV/HBV-coinfected patients.

As visiting times of patients increased, the progression rate of hepatitis B virus was increased and becomes detectable for patients living with partners. The reason for this might be HBV infected patients living with partners make sexual intercourse and this facilitates the progression rate of the virus.

Conclusion

The result under this investigation indicates that, age, sex, genotype, history of co-infection, whether or not patients took vaccination at childhood and follow-up times play significant role for the progression rate of HBV DNA unit. Therefore, more attention should be given for aged patients, for females, for HBV infected and HIV positive individuals, for individuals who did not take vaccination at childhood and ALT elevated patients. The health care workers should always measure the baseline Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) level and the baseline hepatitis B virus deoxyribonucleic acid of patients and must know the baseline age of patients when they come to the hospital for the first time in order to control the replication of the virus inside their liver. Policymakers should implement different HBcAg screening techniques and vaccination programs (with HBV protection monitoring) for individuals at child age. The health staff at health institution should register full personal information of patients that are used to protect from factors which make faster progression rate of hepatitis B virus. Further investigation including all other potential cases for progression rate of hepatitis B virus which are not included under current investigation is important to have additional information.

This study was not without limitation; one such limitation is that the sample was taken in one treatment center. Taking samples from different centers may have results different from the current findings. Moreover, HBV e antigen status was unidentified, and this might have a certain influence on viral load assessment, regardless of the HBV genotype. Including all potential predictors may provide additional information about predictors of the variable of interest. Despite this, as far as our knowledge is concerned, the current study is the largest virological description of HBV conducted so far in study area using longitudinal or repeated observation on the same individual which approves the results on each patient.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethical Review Board, APHI. Supportive letter was obtained from the Amhara Regional State Health Bureau. Permission was requested from the respective hospitals.

Competing Interests

As no individual or institution funded this research, there was no conflict of financial interest between authors or between authors and institutions.

Funding

Not applicable.

Authors' Contributions

The first author wrote the proposal, develop data collection format, supervise the data collection process, analyzed and interpreted the data. The second (co-author) participated in proposal preparation, design and data analysis and critically read the manuscript and gave constructive comments for betterment of the manuscript. All authors are contributed on manuscript preparation

Acknowledgement

Amhara Region Health Research and Laboratory Center at Felege-Hiwot Teaching and Specialized Hospital and all health staffs, is gratefully acknowledged for the data they supplied for our health research.

References

- McGlynn, Katherine A, Jessica L Petrick, and W Thomas London. "Global epidemiology of hepatocellular carcinoma: an emphasis on demographic and regional variability." *Clin Liver Dis* 19 (2015): 233-238.
- Hoofnagle, Jay H, Edward Doo, T Jake Liang, and Russell Fleischer, et al. "Management of hepatitis B: summary of a clinical research workshop." *Hepatology* 45 (2007): 1056-1075.
- Lok, Anna S, E Jenny Heathcote, and Jay H Hoofnagle. "Management of hepatitis B: 2000-summary of a workshop." Gastroenterology 120 (2001): 1828-185.
- 4. McMahon, Brian J. "Epidemiology and natural history of hepatitis B." *Semin Liver Dis* 25 (2005): 3-8.

- de Franchis, Roberto, Gianmichele Meucci, Maurizio Vecchi, and Maria Tatarella, et al. "The natural history of asymptomatic hepatitis B surface antigen carriers." Ann Intern Med 118 (1993): 191-194.
- Zacharakis, GH, J Koskinas, S Kotsiou, and M Papoutselis, F, et al. "Natural history of chronic HBV infection: a cohort study with up to 12 years follow-up in North Greece (part of the Interreg I-II/EC-project)." J Med Virol 77 (2005): 173-179.
- Lok, Anna SF, and Brian J McMahon. "Chronic hepatitis B: update 2009." Hepatology 50 (2009): 661-662.
- Ampofo, William, Nicholas Nii-Trebi, Justina Ansah, and Kenji Abe, et al. "Prevalence of blood-borne infectious diseases in blood donors in Ghana." J Clin Microbiol 40 (2002): 3523-3525.
- Mahoney, Francis J, Kimberly Stewart, Hanxian Hu, and Patrick Coleman, et al. "Progress toward the elimination of hepatitis B virus transmission among health care workers in the United States." Arch Intern Med 157 (1997): 2601-2605.
- Hatzakis, Angelos, Suzanne Wait, J Bruix, and Miquel Buti, et al. "The state of hepatitis B and C in Europe: report from the hepatitis B and C summit conference." J Viral Hepat 18 (2011): 1-16.
- Amidu, N, A Alhassan, C Obirikorang, and P Feglo, et al. "Sero-prevalence of hepatitis B surface (HBsAg) antigen in three densely populated communities in Kumasi, Ghana." J Medical Biomed Sci 1 (2012).
- Lavanchy, Daniel. "Hepatitis B virus epidemiology, disease burden, treatment, and current and emerging prevention and control measures." *J Viral Hepat* 11 (2004): 97-107.
- 13. Abebe, Almaz. D.J Nokes. Amare Deiene. and F Enquselassie, et al. "Seroepidemiology of hepatitis B virus in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: Transmission patterns and vaccine control." Epidemiol Infect 131 (2003): 757-770.
- Koyuncuer, Ali. "Associations between HBeAg status, HBV DNA, ALT level and liver histopathology in patients with chronic hepatitis B." Sci J Clin Med 3 (2014): 117-23.
- 15. Su, Jun, Richard A Brook, Nathan L Kleinman, and Patricia Corey-Lisle. "The impact of hepatitis C virus infection on work absence, productivity, and healthcare benefit costs." *Hepatology* 52 (2010): 436-442.
- Assefa, Abate, Biniam Mathewos, Abebe Alemu, and Zelalem Addis, et al. "Hepatitis B and C viral infections among blood donors at Bahir Dar, Ethiopia." Int J Med Sci Res Health Res 2 (2013): 624-630.
- 17. Laird, Nan M, and James H Ware. "Random-effects models for longitudinal data." *Biometrics* (1982): 963-974.
- Davis, Charles Shaw. "Statistical methods for the analysis of repeated measurements." No. 04; QA278, D38. New York: Springer, (2002).
- 19. Park, Jung Gil, and Soo Young Park. "Entecavir plus tenofovir versus entecavir plus adefovir in chronic hepatitis B patients with a suboptimal response to lamivudine and adefovir combination therapy." *Clin Mol Hepatol* 21 (2015): 242.
- 20. Kim, Byung Gyu, Seok Won Jung, Eun Hye Kim, and Jae Hee Kim, Ju Hwan Park, et al. "Tenofovir-based rescue therapy for chronic hepatitis B patients who had failed treatment with lamivudine, adefovir, and entecavir." *Clin Mol Hepatol* 30 (2015): 1514-1521.

How to cite this article: Tegegne, Awoke Seyoum and Awoke Fetahi. "Predictors of Status of Hepatitis B Virus among Adults under Treatment: A Retrospective Longitudinal Study." *J AIDS Clin Res* 14 (2023): 929.