

## Short Communication

## Open Access

# Prediction of Liver Cirrhosis in Patients with HCV Chronic Infection through Routine Laboratory Parameters: Myth or Reality?

Ivan Gentile\* and Guglielmo Borgia

Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, University of Naples Federico II, Italy

**Keywords:** Noninvasive; Cirrhosis; HCV; Liver biopsy

About 150,000,000 people worldwide are estimated to be chronic carriers of Hepatitis C virus (HCV) [1]. Roughly, a quarter of these patients evolve toward liver cirrhosis [2]. Once cirrhosis has been established, 4% per year of these patients progress toward a decompensated disease with an annual death rate as high as 30%, and about 1.6% per year develop a hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) [3]. Therefore the presence of cirrhosis is a boundary layer that marks a dramatic drop in the life expectancy and quality of life of HCV-positive patients. Moreover, the diagnosis of cirrhosis prompts mandatory screening for esophageal varices and HCC, and influences decisions about timing of antiviral treatment.

How do physicians diagnose liver cirrhosis? Excluding the most advanced stages of the disease, in which the diagnosis is based on clinical observation (e.g. presence of ascites) and, in the absence of clear signs of cirrhosis on ultrasound examination, endoscopy or on blood count (e.g. low platelet count), liver biopsy, and the subsequent histological evaluation of the liver tissue, is the most widely used method for the assessment of liver cirrhosis. However, liver biopsy is invasive and consequently it is associated with a not negligible rate of complications (0.3-0.8%) and even death (0.01-0.3%) [4-7].

Several researchers have proposed non-invasive means to diagnose liver cirrhosis [8-10]. Some procedures need an expensive machine to measure liver stiffness (FibroScan) [11], others are based on a panel of blood tests and a proprietary algorithm called "Fibro Test" [12]. On the other hand, other groups have devised scores based on routinely available analytes [8,13-28]. Some scores were devised and validated to predict advanced fibrosis (e.g., AP, FIB-4, CDS, the Pohl score and the Forns score); some scores were able to predict also or exclusively liver cirrhosis (e.g., GUCI, aspartate aminotransferase (AST)/alanine aminotransferase (ALT) ratio, platelet count (PLT), APRI, CISCUN, the Lok score, the Fibrosis index and the King's College score).

The most frequently used scores able to predict cirrhosis and the formulae used to calculate them are reported in Table 1. The table also shows the sensitivity and specificity as calculated in the original report of each method. No score has both 100% sensitivity and specificity. However, some of these scores can be useful in diagnosing cirrhosis, namely those with a high positive predictive value (PPV), and others in ruling out it, namely those with a high negative predictive value (NPV). Almost all scores listed in the table have a relatively low PPV. Only the AST/ALT ratio by Sheth et al. [16] had a PPV of 100%. This finding was not confirmed in other studies [27,29]. Although some scores have a high NPV, but this value should be very close to 100% to make this score a reliable screening test. Notably, positive likelihood ratios (i.e. the probability of a positive test in patients with the disease divided by the probability of the same finding in patients without the disease) are

quite low except in the cases of the Fibrosis index and CISCUN  $\geq 4$ , and the negative likelihood ratio (i.e. the probability of a negative test in patients with the disease divided by the probability of the same finding in patients without the disease) are acceptably low only for CISCUN  $\leq 1$  and APRI  $\leq 1$ . Interestingly, some scores (namely, Lok, CISCUN and APRI) provide two cutoff values to identify patients at a high and low risk of cirrhosis, respectively [13,27]. According to the authors, the patients in the two tails could be spared liver biopsy because of their high or low risk of cirrhosis. Patients in the middle ("gray zone") should undergo liver biopsy because they have an intermediate *a priori* calculated risk of cirrhosis. However, neither of these scores had a NPV of 100% for the low-risk cutoff value and even the PPV was relatively low.

The real drawback of all these studies is that the comparison was made with the standard method for assessing cirrhosis (i.e. liver biopsy) which is *per se* inaccurate [30]. The "true gold standard" is the histological evaluation of large surgical biopsies [31], which is difficult to obtain in everyday clinical practice. Classical liver biopsy had a 20% false negative rate for the diagnosis of liver cirrhosis when compared with laparoscopic biopsy in an Italian study [32]. This can occur because the distribution of fibrosis is patchy, and a liver biopsy sample represents only from one hundred-thousandth to one thirty-thousandth of the whole organ, and gives no information about the remaining part of the liver. In fact, histology of long and thick samples provides a better estimate of liver fibrosis than histology of short and thin samples [31,33,34].

In conclusion, the ideal non-invasive procedure for the evaluation of cirrhosis, namely one that is based on routinely-available markers, that is easy-to-calculate, and, above all, that accurately discriminates between patients with or without cirrhosis is not yet within our grasp. Therefore, studies comparing the diagnostic accuracy of the above-described scores with that of the Fibro scan or the Fibro test and liver biopsy are needed to identify the best, if not the ideal, score with which to diagnose non-invasively liver cirrhosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C.

---

**\*Corresponding author:** Ivan Gentile, Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery (Ed. 18), University of Naples Federico II, I-80131 Naples, Italy, Tel: +390817463178; Fax: +390817463094; E-mail: [ivan.gentile@unina.it](mailto:ivan.gentile@unina.it)

**Received** August 28, 2013; **Accepted** September 02, 2013; **Published** September 04, 2013

**Citation:** Gentile I, Borgia G (2013) Prediction of Liver Cirrhosis in Patients with HCV Chronic Infection through Routine Laboratory Parameters: Myth or Reality? J Mol Genet Med 7: 76. doi:[10.4172/1747-0862.1000076](https://doi.org/10.4172/1747-0862.1000076)

**Copyright:** © 2013 Gentile I, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Test	Formula	Cut-off value	SE	SP	PPV	NPV	DA	PLR	NLR
AAR [16]	AST/ALT	>1	53.2	100	100	80.7	84.2	NC	0.47
APRI [18]	([AST/ULN]/PLT [ $10^9/L$ ]) × 100	≤ 1 ≥ 1 ≤ 2 ≥ 2	89.3 57.1	75 92.7	37.9 57.1	97.6 92.7	77.1 87.5	3.57 7.81	0.14 0.46
CISCUN [27]	Age+AST+PLT+ Prothrombin Activity (Range, 0–4) Age: ≤ 40 years=0; ≥ 40 years=1 AST: ≤ 2 ULN=0; >2 ULN=1 Platelet count( $10^9/L$ ): ≥ 160=0; < 160=1; prothrombin activity: ≥ 100%=0; <100%=1	≤ 1 ≥ 1 <4 ≥ 4	94.1 37.3	50.5 98.5	32.9 86.4	97.1 85.9	59.4 85.9	1.90 24.59	0.12 0.64
GUCl [26]	normalized ASTxINRx100/platelet count ( $10^9/L$ )	>1	80	78	31.4	96.9	78.2	3.63	0.26
Fibrosis index [28]	8- 0.001×Platelet count ( $10^9/L$ ) – Albumin (g/dL)	≥ 3.3	67.7	97.9	75	97.1	95.4	32.61	0.33
Lok [13]	log odds= - 5.56 -0.0089 x platelet count ( $10^9/mm^3$ ) + 1.26 × AST/ALT ratio + 5.27 × INR Formula to calculate final probability: exp (logodds)/(1+exp (logodds))	≤ 0.2 ≥ 0.5	92.2 54	30 85.2	46.2 70.5	85.5 74	54.5 72.9	1.32 3.66	0.26 0.54
Platelet count [20]		<150 ( $\times 10^9/L$ )	77	88	56	95	NA	6.42	0.26

AAR: AST/ALT Ratio; APRI: AST To Platelet Ratio Index; CISCUN: Cirrhosis Score University Of Naples; GUCl: Göteborg University Cirrhosis Index; ULN: Upper Limit Of Normal Range; SE: Sensitivity; SP: Specificity; PPV: Positive Predictive Value; NPV: Negative Predictive Value; DA: Diagnostic Accuracy (Rate Of Correctly-Classified Patients); PLR: Positive Likelihood Ratio; NLR: Negative Likelihood Ratio.

**Table 1:** Scores used to predict cirrhosis based on noninvasive, routinely-available parameters.

## References

1. Lavanchy D (2011) Evolving epidemiology of hepatitis C virus. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 17: 107-115.
2. Hoofnagle JH (1997) Hepatitis C: the clinical spectrum of disease. *Hepatology* 26: 15S-20S.
3. Global Burden Of Hepatitis C Working Group (2004) Global burden of disease (GBD) for hepatitis C. *J Clin Pharmacol* 44: 20-29.
4. Cadranel JF, Rufat P, Degos F (2000) Practices of liver biopsy in France: results of a prospective nationwide survey. For the Group of Epidemiology of the French Association for the Study of the Liver (AFEF). *Hepatology* 32: 477-481.
5. Perrault J, McGill DB, Ott BJ, Taylor WF (1978) Liver biopsy: complications in 1000 inpatients and outpatients. *Gastroenterology* 74: 103-106.
6. Janes CH, Lindor KD (1993) Outcome of patients hospitalized for complications after outpatient liver biopsy. *Ann Intern Med* 118: 96-98.
7. Sagnelli E, Sagnelli C, Pisaturo MA, Coppola N, Pasquale G, et al. (2012) Liver biopsy in chronic hepatitis C: the experience of 15 Italian wards of infectious diseases. *Infez Med* 20: 31-36.
8. Rockey DC, Bissell DM (2006) Noninvasive measures of liver fibrosis. *Hepatology* 43: S113-120.
9. Poynard T, Morra R, Ingiliz P, Imbert-Bismut F, Thabut D, et al. (2008) Assessment of liver fibrosis: noninvasive means. *Saudi J Gastroenterol* 14: 163-173.
10. Martínez SM, Crespo G, Navasa M, Forns X (2011) Noninvasive assessment of liver fibrosis. *Hepatology* 53: 325-335.
11. Ziol M, Handra-Luka A, Kettaneh A, Christidis C, Mal F, et al. (2005) Noninvasive assessment of liver fibrosis by measurement of stiffness in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 41: 48-54.
12. Imbert-Bismut F, Ratziu V, Pieroni L, Charlotte F, Benhamou Y, et al. (2001) Biochemical markers of liver fibrosis in patients with hepatitis C virus infection: a prospective study. *Lancet* 357: 1069-1075.
13. Lok AS, Ghany MG, Goodman ZD, Wright EC, Everson GT, et al. (2005) Predicting cirrhosis in patients with hepatitis C based on standard laboratory tests: results of the HALT-C cohort. *Hepatology* 42: 282-292.
14. Park GJ, Lin BP, Ngu MC, Jones DB, Katelaris PH (2000) Aspartate aminotransferase: alanine aminotransferase ratio in chronic hepatitis C infection: is it a useful predictor of cirrhosis? *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 15: 386-390.
15. Giannini E, Risso D, Botta F, Chiarbonello B, Fasoli A, et al. (2003) Validity and clinical utility of the aspartate aminotransferase-alanine aminotransferase ratio in assessing disease severity and prognosis in patients with hepatitis C virus-related chronic liver disease. *Arch Intern Med* 163: 218-224.
16. Sheth SG, Flamm SL, Gordon FD, Chopra S (1998) AST/ALT ratio predicts cirrhosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *Am J Gastroenterol* 93: 44-48.
17. Forns X, Ampurdanès S, Llovet JM, Aponte J, Quintó L, et al. (2002) Identification of chronic hepatitis C patients without hepatic fibrosis by a simple predictive model. *Hepatology* 36: 986-992.
18. Wai CT, Greenson JK, Fontana RJ, Kalbfleisch JD, Marrero JA, et al. (2003) A simple noninvasive index can predict both significant fibrosis and cirrhosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 38: 518-526.
19. Kim BK, Kim do Y, Park JY, Ahn SH, Chon CY, et al. (2010) Validation of FIB-4 and comparison with other simple noninvasive indices for predicting liver fibrosis and cirrhosis in hepatitis B virus-infected patients. *Liver Int* 30: 546-553.
20. Lackner C, Struber G, Liegl B, Leibl S, Ofner P, et al. (2005) Comparison and validation of simple noninvasive tests for prediction of fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 41: 1376-1382.
21. Bonacini M, Hadi G, Govindarajan S, Lindsay KL (1997) Utility of a discriminant score for diagnosing advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *Am J Gastroenterol* 92: 1302-1304.
22. Imperiale TF, Said AT, Cummings OW, Born LJ (2000) Need for validation of clinical decision aids: use of the AST/ALT ratio in predicting cirrhosis in chronic hepatitis C. *Am J Gastroenterol* 95: 2328-2332.
23. Luo JC, Hwang SJ, Chang FY, Chu CW, Lai CR, et al. (2002) Simple blood tests can predict compensated liver cirrhosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatogastroenterology* 49: 478-481.
24. Pohl A, Behling C, Oliver D, Kilani M, Monson P, et al. (2001) Serum aminotransferase levels and platelet counts as predictors of degree of fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *Am J Gastroenterol* 96: 3142-3146.
25. Cross TJ, Rizzi P, Berry PA, Bruce M, Portmann B, et al. (2009) King's Score: an accurate marker of cirrhosis in chronic hepatitis C. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 21: 730-738.

26. Islam S, Antonsson L, Westin J, Lagging M (2005) Cirrhosis in hepatitis C virus-infected patients can be excluded using an index of standard biochemical serum markers. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 40: 867-872.
27. Gentile I, Coppola N, Pasquale G, Liuzzi R, D'Armiento M, et al. (2013) A Simple Noninvasive Score Based on Routine Parameters can Predict Liver Cirrhosis in Patients With Chronic Hepatitis C. *Hepat Mon* 13: e8352.
28. Ohta T, Sakaguchi K, Fujiwara A, Fujioka S, Iwasaki Y, et al. (2006) Simple surrogate index of the fibrosis stage in chronic hepatitis C patients using platelet count and serum albumin level. *Acta Med Okayama* 60: 77-84.
29. Reedy DW, Loo AT, Levine RA (1998) AST/ALT ratio > or = 1 is not diagnostic of cirrhosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Dig Dis Sci* 43: 2156-2159.
30. Poynard T, de Ledinghen V, Zarski JP, Stanciu C, Munteanu M, et al. (2012) Relative performances of FibroTest, Fibroscan, and biopsy for the assessment of the stage of liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C: a step toward the truth in the absence of a gold standard. *J Hepatol* 56: 541-548.
31. Bedossa P, Dargère D, Paradis V (2003) Sampling variability of liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 38: 1449-1457.
32. Pagliaro L, Rinaldi F, Craxi A, Di Piazza S, Filippazzo G, et al. (1983) Percutaneous blind biopsy versus laparoscopy with guided biopsy in diagnosis of cirrhosis. A prospective, randomized trial. *Dig Dis Sci* 28: 39-43.
33. Scheuer PJ (2003) Liver biopsy size matters in chronic hepatitis: bigger is better. *Hepatology* 38: 1356-1358.
34. Colloredo G, Guido M, Sonzogni A, Leandro G (2003) Impact of liver biopsy size on histological evaluation of chronic viral hepatitis: the smaller the sample, the milder the disease. *J Hepatol* 39: 239-244.