Phenolic Compounds, Antioxidant and Anti-Cancer Properties of the Australian Maroon Bush Scaevola spinescens (Goodeniaceae)
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Abstract

Scaevola spinescens (Goodeniaceae) has been traditionally used by indigenous Australians to treat various ailments including cancer, thus it is necessary to identify optimum extraction conditions for bioactive components from this plant. This study investigated the effects of different extraction conditions on Total Phenolic Content (TPC), antioxidant capacity (ABTS, DPPH, CUPRAC, FRAP assays) and anti-cancer activity (MTT assay) of S. spinescens. The results showed that optimal extraction conditions for TPC using water were 80°C, 15 min and ratio of 20:1 mL/g. However, the aqueous extract prepared under optimal conditions had lower TPC and less antioxidant capacity than those of the organic solvent extracts. The acetone extract displayed the greatest TPC as well as the highest antioxidant capacity and anti-cancer activity against a panel of cancer cell lines, including cancers of the pancreas, breast, lung, brain, skin, colon and ovary. Therefore, further investigations should be conducted to identify key bioactive compounds as potential anti-cancer agents.
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Introduction

Scaevola spinescens (maroon bush, currant bush, or fanflower) belongs to Goodeniaceae family and is native to Australia. It has been traditionally used by the Aboriginal community for the treatment of various ailments such as colds, stomach-ache, urinary problems, sores and rashes [1]. The first claim for the cancer curing capacity of this plant was reported in 1946 in Western Australia, where a patient claimed that he was cured following continued ingestion of an aqueous extract of the S. spinescens root bark combined with ashes of the desert poplar Codonocarpus cotinifolius [1]. Currently there has been limited research undertaken to elucidate the phytochemical profile and anti-cancer properties of S. spinescens [2].

The majority of bioactive compounds in plant materials are phenolic compounds [3]. The structural hydroxyl groups within the phenolic compounds results in the capability of these compounds in scavenging reactive oxygen species, such as the superoxide radical, singlet oxygen, hydroxyl radical, nitric oxide, nitrogen dioxide, and peroxynitrite, pertaining to their antioxidant and potential anti-cancer capacities [4,5]. Therefore, optimised extraction conditions for the maximum yield of phenolic compounds from S. spinescens is essential for ongoing assessment of potential biological and anti-cancer activity.

This study aimed to investigate the impact of extraction conditions on total phenolic content and antioxidant capacity from S. spinescens, and is the first to identify optimal conditions for the increased extraction yield of phenolic compounds from S. spinescens. In addition, the anti-cancer effects of S. spinescens extracts were tested against numerous cancer cell lines in vitro, providing strong preliminary evidence for further assessment of the putative anti-cancer activity of S. spinescens.

Materials and Methods

Plant material

Scaevola spinescens (Goodeniaceae) (SC) was donated by Jeannie Crago (Outback Books Australia; and commercial supplier of Maroon bush tea sold in ground-dried form). Dried and harvested SC was packed in PE bags and stored in freezer at -18°C until required.

Experimental design

SC has been traditionally prepared by brewing in water, with the decoction consumed like tea. The current study determined the impact of aqueous extraction conditions (including temperature, time and water-to-SC ratio) on extraction efficiency of Total Phenolic Compounds (TPC), and optimized these extraction conditions to maximize the extraction yield of TPC. In addition, three organic solvents of high to modest polarity were used to extract TPC. SC was extracted in acetone, methanol or ethanol at the ratio of 20:1 mL/g and agitated at room temperature (RT) for 72 h. The solutions were then filtered twice using 11 μm medium filter paper (Lomb Scientific, Taren Point, NSW, Australia), and concentrated under reduced pressure at 45°C (Buchi Rotavapor B-480, Buchi Australia, Noble Park, Vic., Australia). The concentrated extract was then dried using a FD3 freeze drier (Thomas Australia Pty. Ltd., Seven Hills, NSW, Australia) at -40°C for 48 h and the resulting crude powdered extracts then stored at -18°C until required. The extraction scheme is illustrated in Figure 1.
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To determine the effect of temperature, time and water-to-SC ratio on aqueous TPC extraction from SC, Response Surface Methodology (RSM) utilising a Box-Behnken design, was employed (Table 1). To express the content of TPC as a function of the independent variables, the following second-order polynomial equation was used [6] (EQ1):

\[ Y = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \beta_{ij} X_i X_j + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i} X_i^2 \]  

(1)

Where various \( X \) values are independent variables affecting the responses \( Y; \) \( \beta_0, \beta_i, \beta_{ij}, \) and \( \beta_{i} \) are the regression coefficients for intercept, linear, quadratic, and interaction terms, respectively; and \( k \) is the number of variables.

Determination of total phenolic compounds

TPC were determined using the modified method of Vuong et al. [7]. Briefly, a 1 mL aliquot of each sample (400 μg/mL) was added with 5 mL of 10% (v/v) Folin–Ciocalteu reagent and left at room temperature (RT) for 5 min before mixing with 4 mL of Na₂CO₃ (7.5% w/v) and then incubated in the dark at RT for 60 min. Absorbance was measured at 760 nm with Gallic Acid (GA) used as a standard. TPC were expressed as mg Gallic Acid Equivalents (GAE) per gram of extract (mg GAE/g).

Determination of antioxidant capacity

Four antioxidant assays (ABTS, DPPH, CUPRAC and FRAP) were employed to determine the antioxidant capacity of the crude SC extracts. The SC extracts were dissolved in 70% methanol to give final concentrations of 50, 100, 200 and 400 μg/mL for subsequent analysis. For comparison with antioxidant capacity of SC extracts at concentration of 200 μg/mL, three known antioxidants including α-tocopherol (90% purity), BHT (99% purity) and ascorbic acid (95% purity) were also prepared at concentration of 200 μg/mL. The results were expressed as μM of Trolox equivalents per litre (μM TE/L) for the ABTS and DPPH assays, and μM of Trolox equivalents per gram of the extract (μM TE/g) for the CUPRAC and FRAP assays.

ABTS: To determine total antioxidant capacity using the ABTS assay, we employed a modified method of Thaipong et al. [8]. A stock solution was prepared by mixing 1:1 of 7.4 mM ABTS⁺ and 2.6 mM potassium persulfate solution and left to incubate for 12 h at RT in the dark. A working solution was prepared fresh by mixing 1 mL of stock solution in 60 mL methanol to obtain an absorbance of 1.1 ± 0.02 at 734 nm. The extracts (150 mL) were then mixed with 2850 mL of the working solution for 2 h in the dark (RT) and the absorbance was read at 734 nm.

DPPH: The DPPH method, as described by Vuong et al. [7], was used to determine free radical scavenging capacity of the SC extracts. A stock solution of 0.024 % (w/v) DPPH in methanol was prepared and stored at −18°C until use. The working solution was freshly prepared by diluting 10 mL of stock solution with 45 mL of methanol to obtain an absorbance of 1.1 ± 0.02 at 515 nm. 0.2 mL of the sample was then mixed with 3.8 mL of working solution and incubated in the dark for 3 hours (RT) before measuring the absorbance at 515 nm.

CUPRAC: A modified method of Apak et al. [9] was employed to determine the cupric ion reducing antioxidant capacity of the SC extracts. 1 mL of 10 mM CuCl₂ was mixed with 1 mL of 7.5 mM neocuproine and 1 mL of 7.7 % (w/v) NH₄Ac. Then 1.1 mL of the diluted sample was added and incubated at RT for 1.5 h before measuring the absorbance at 450 nm.

FRAP: The method described by Thaipong et al. [8] with some modifications was used to determine the ferric reducing antioxidant power of the SC extracts. Reagent A included 300 mM acetate buffer (3.1 g C₂H₄NaO₂•3H₂O and 16 mL C₂H₄O₂, diluted to 1000 mL with H₂O), pH 3.6; Reagent B included 10mM TPTZ (2, 4, 6-tripyridyl-s-triazine) solution in 40 mM HCl; and Reagent C was 20 mM FeCl₃•6H₂O solution. The fresh FRAP solution was prepared by mixing Reagents A, B and C at the ratio of 10:1:1. The extract (150 μL) was then mixed with 2850 μL of the FRAP solution and incubated at RT for 30 min in the dark. Absorbance was then measured at 593 nm.
Assessment of growth inhibition of crude SC extracts on cancer cell lines

Human cancer cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). The cytotoxicity of the crude SC extracts was screened using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay to quantify cancer cell growth inhibition as previously described [10]. The cell line panel consisted of HT29 (colon); U87, SJ-G2, SMA (glioblastoma); MCF-7 (breast); A2780 (ovarian); H460 (lung); A431 (skin); Du145 (prostate); BE2-C (neuroblastoma); and MiaPaCa-2 (pancreas) together with the one non-tumour derived normal breast cell line (MCF10A). Briefly, all cancer cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum, 50IU/mL penicillin, 50µg/ml streptomycin and 2 mM L-glutamine. The MCF10A cells were cultured in DMEM:F12 (1:1) cell culture media, 5% heat inactivated horse serum, supplemented with penicillin (50 IU/mL), streptomycin (30 µg/mL), 20 mM Hepes, L-glutamine (2 mM), epidermal growth factor (20 ng/ml), hydrocortisone (500 ng/ml), cholera toxin (100 ng/ml) and insulin (10 µg/ml). Cells were plated in triplicate in DMEM (100 µL) in a 96 well plate, at densities of 2500 – 4000 cells per well optimized to achieve logarithmic growth after 24 hours. The following day, 100 µL of media containing dissolved crude SC extract was added to each well to give the final concentration of 100 µg/mL, along with media only as controls. To quantify cell growth inhibition across the panel of cancer and non-cancer cell lines the cytotoxicity of the crude SC extracts was measured after 72 hrs of incubation using the MTT assay as per the manufacturer’s instructions [10]. The absorbance was read at 540 nm to determine growth inhibition after 72 hours of incubation based on the difference in the optical density values on day 0 versus those at the end of SC extract exposure. Cell growth inhibition as a percentage was determined, where a value of 100% is indicative of total growth inhibition. An eight-point dose response curve (0.5 µg/mL–200 µg/mL) was also produced, from which a value was obtained representing the SC concentration that induced 50% growth inhibition.

Statistical analysis

Response Surface Methodology (RSM) experimental design and analysis were conducted using JMP software (Version 10). The software was also used to establish the model equation, to graph the 3-D plot, 2-D contour of the response and to predict the optimum values for the three response variables. The one-way ANOVA and the LSD post-hoc test were conducted to assess mean differences of TPC levels and interactive effects (AB, AC and BC), or temperature × temperature (A²) these correlations were not significant for the extraction time (B), concentration gradients existing between phenolics trapped inside the system, thus the model is appropriate for predicting the experimental outcome. Within the experimental conditions used, the extraction efficiency of TPC was shown to closely correlate with temperature (A) and ratio (C) (p<0.05) in the first-order linear effect (Table 2). TPC were also found to have high correlations with second-order quadratic effect for time × time (B²) and ratio × ratio (C²) (p<0.05). However, these correlations were not significant for the extraction time (B), interactive effects (AB, AC and BC), or temperature × temperature (A²) (p>0.05) (Table 2).

Based on probability from the largest to the smallest effect on TPC from the statistical analysis (Table 2), the water-to-SC ratio (C) had the biggest influence on the extraction of TPC, followed by temperature (A) and extraction time (B). The impact of these three independent variables was further explained in Figure 2. These data showed that TPC was predicted to increase significantly when temperatures increased from 70 to 90°C. This can be explained by the increase of mass transfer rates during extraction and also the corruption of the cell walls caused by heat thus more TPC can be released into the solvent [12]. In addition, Based on probability from the largest to the smallest effect on TPC from the statistical analysis (Table 2), the water-to-SC ratio (C) had the biggest influence on the extraction of TPC, followed by temperature (A) and extraction time (B). The impact of these three independent variables was further explained in Figure 2. These data showed that TPC was predicted to increase significantly when temperatures increased from 70 to 90°C. This can be explained by the increase of mass transfer rates during extraction and also the corruption of the cell walls caused by heat thus more TPC can be released into the solvent [12]. In addition, (Figure 2) showed that TPC was predicted to increase when the temperature increased to 15 min; however, levels of TPC were predicted to decrease when extraction time exceeded 15 min. This can be explained by the degradation of TPC when over-exposed to high temperatures. The TPC was also predicted to increase when the ratio of water-to-sample increased. This can be explained by acceleration of extraction kinetics observed when increasing the volume of solvent, which leads to greater concentration gradients existing between phenolics trapped inside the particles and those located at the surface [12].

Optimisation of aqueous extraction conditions for increased TPC

The Indigenous Australian community has traditionally prepared

Physicochemical parameters (temperature, 70-90°C; time, 5-25 min; and water-to-SC ratio, 100:1 – 100:10 ml/g) (Table 1). The resulting yields were then fitted to the Box-Behnken design model [11] and analysed using multiple regression and response surface analysis to fit the generated second-order polynomial equation for temperature (A), time (B) and ratio (C). The predictive equation for response TPC (Y) was as follows (EQ2):

\[ Y = 96.730 + 2.415 A + 1.149 B + 0.205 C - 0.0146 A^2 - 0.021 B^2 - 0.0013 C^2 + 0.0041 AB + 0.02 AC - 0.0033 BC \]

The overall statistical analysis (Table 2) showed that the coefficient of determination (R²) was approximately 0.92, revealing that a total of 92% of the variation in TPC could be explained. In addition, the statistical analysis also showed that the lack of fit for TPC was non-significant (p=0.99). The findings revealed that the second-order polynomial model adequately represented the true response of the system, thus the model is appropriate for predicting the experimental outcome. Within the experimental conditions used, the extraction efficiency of TPC was shown to closely correlate with temperature (A) and ratio (C) (p<0.05) in the first-order linear effect (Table 2). TPC were also found to have high correlations with second-order quadratic effect for time × time (B²) and ratio × ratio (C²) (p<0.05). However, these correlations were not significant for the extraction time (B), interactive effects (AB, AC and BC), or temperature × temperature (A²) (p>0.05) (Table 2).

### Table 2: Statistical analysis of regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Degree of Freedom</th>
<th>F-ratio</th>
<th>Probability &gt; F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (Temperature)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.1573</td>
<td>0.0292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (Time)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.283</td>
<td>0.3087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (Water-Sample Ratio)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.3903</td>
<td>0.0169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4238</td>
<td>0.5438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.2893</td>
<td>0.1907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.5802</td>
<td>0.0649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A²</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.9458</td>
<td>0.0767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B²</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.181</td>
<td>0.0242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C²</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.4777</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvalue of lack of fit</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9929</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9246</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Solvent character influenced the extraction efficiency of TPC. Organic solvents possessed significantly higher extraction efficiency for TPC in comparison with aqueous extraction (68.3%, 53.65 mg GAE/g). Among the tested organic solvents, acetone (78.58 mg GAE/g) exhibited the highest extraction efficiency, followed by methanol and ethanol, which accounted for 89.2 % (70.1 mg GAE/g) and 86.5 % (68.0 mg GAE/g), respectively, of TPC extracted by acetone. Extraction efficiencies was found to correlate closely to solvent polarity and are consistent with previously published studies examining solvent effects on TPC extracts from papaya leaves (Carica L.) [7], ginkgo leaves (Ginkgo biloba L.) [15] and henna stems (Lawsonia inermis) [16]. In summary, the current findings indicated that solvents with different polarities significantly affected extraction efficiency of TPC, and organic solvents extracted higher TPC than water and among the tested organic solvents, acetone is the best solvent to extract TPC from SC.

Impact of extraction solvents on antioxidant activity

Total antioxidant capacity: The Total Antioxidant Capacity (TAC) is a relevant tool for identifying the relationship between dietary antioxidants and pathologies induced by oxidative stress. TAC of the antioxidants was measured using ABTS assay, which was based on the antioxidant reaction with an organic cation radical [17]. Figure 4A showed TAC was not significantly different among the organic solvents at a concentration of 200 μg/mL (p<0.05). However, the organic solvent extracts had higher TAC in comparison with aqueous extract. At the same concentration (200 μg/mL), organic and aqueous extracts of SC exhibited lower TAC in comparison with those of pure α-Tocopherol, ascorbic acid and BHT.

Impact of extraction solvents on TPC

Water is safe, cheap and accessible, however, its use is only limited to the extraction of highly polar organic compounds. Furthermore, water has a significantly greater dielectric constant (ε) (H_2O, ε=80) in comparison to other common organic solvents such as methanol (ε=33), acetone (ε=21) and ethanol (ε=24.55) [7]. In addition, Michiels et al. [13] reported that phenolic content and antioxidant activities were affected when using various organic solvents due to their different polarities. As such, it is necessary to examine the applicability of different solvents on TPC yields and activity. Besides water, the organic solvents have been commonly used for extraction of phenolic contents from fresh products including: methanol, ethanol, aceton, propanol and/or ethyl acetate [14]. Therefore, this study examined the effects of four solvents: water, methanol, ethanol and aceton on extraction of TPC from SC and the results presented in Figure 3.

Figure 3: Total phenolic content of the SC extracts. The values are mean ± standard deviations for triplicate experiments and those not sharing a letter on top of the columns are significantly different at p<0.05.
Figure 4B indicated that TAC of organic and aqueous extracts responded in a dose dependent manner as TAC increased when concentrations of the extracts increased (50 to 400 μg/mL). Based on the linear regression slopes, acetone was thus the solvent of choice for obtaining an extract with high TAC, followed by methanol, ethanol and water. TAC broadly correlates with the TPC extract yields in terms of solvent polarity. While the more polar solvent MeOH showed a slightly higher average TAC yield, the figure was not significantly different from EtOH. This result again reflects the general preference for phenolics and other natural reducing agents to partition efficiently in solvents of intermediate polarity. Based on these data, acetone is thus the solvent of choice for obtaining an extract with optimal total antioxidant capacity.

**DPPH free radical scavenging capacity:** Free radical scavenging capacity was measured using the DPPH assay, which was based on the antioxidant reaction with 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl, which forms a stable radical scavenger in solution [17]. Figure 4C showed that at the concentration of 200 μg/mL, acetone, ethanol and methanol extracts had similar free radical scavenging capacities that were significantly higher than the aqueous extract (p<0.05). At this concentration of 200 μg/mL, the organic solvent extracts had similar scavenging capacity to high purity BHT and accounted for 50% and 30% of pure α-Tocopherol and ascorbic acid respectively.

The linear regression showed that acetone would possess stronger free radical scavenging capacity than other solvents if higher concentrations of the extracts were applied. Thus, acetone is also the solvent of choice for obtaining extract with high free radical scavenging capacity.

**Cupric Reducing Antioxidant Capacity (CUPRAC):** The principle of the CUPRAC assay is to assess the reducing capacity of the antioxidants through their action of donating electrons to reduce Cu (II) to Cu (I). The higher CUPRAC value is linked with higher reducing power of the antioxidant extract [17]. Our data (Figures 5A & B) revealed that the CUPRAC capacity of acetone extract was significantly different to that of the methanol extract, but was significantly higher than that of ethanol extract at concentration of 200 μg/mL. CUPRAC for the aqueous extract was significantly lower than the organic extracts and concentrations >100 μg/mL. In comparison with high purity α-Tocopherol, ascorbic acid and BHT at the same concentration (200 μg/mL), the SC extracts exhibited uniformly lower CUPRAC capacity, accounting for approximately half of the activity of α-Tocopherol and a third of ascorbic acid or BHT.

**Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP):** The FRAP (ferric reducing antioxidant power) was measured using the FRAP assay based on the reduction of potassium ferricyanide by antioxidants and subsequent reaction of potassium ferrocyanide with Fe²⁺. The FRAP value increase can be correlated to the reducing ability of antioxidants/antioxidant extracts [17]. At 200 μg/mL, the acetone extract exhibited the highest FRAP, followed by methanol, ethanol and the aqueous extract (Figure 5A and 5B). SC extracts also had lower FRAP than those of α-Tocopherol, ascorbic acid and BHT at the same concentration (200 μg/mL) (Figure 5C).

Figure 5D further confirmed that FRAP of SC extracts were dose dependent, and based on the slopes of linear regression, the order of FRAP was acetone, followed by methanol, ethanol then the aqueous extract.

**Correlation between total phenolic compounds and antioxidant properties:** The correlation between the total phenolic content and antioxidant capacity of the extracts were assessed, with the results shown in Table 3. We revealed that the antioxidant properties of SC had a positive correlation with TPC. Based on the R² values, ABTS antioxidant capacity had the highest correlation with TPC (R²=0.85), followed by DPPH free radical scavenging capacity (R²=0.81), CUPRAC capacity was measured using the DPPH assay, which was based on the antioxidant reaction with 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl, which forms a stable radical scavenger in solution [17]. Figure 4C showed that at the concentration of 200 μg/mL, acetone, ethanol and methanol extracts had similar free radical scavenging capacities that were significantly higher than the aqueous extract (p<0.05). At this concentration of 200 μg/mL, the organic solvent extracts had similar scavenging capacity to high purity BHT and accounted for 50% and 30% of pure α-Tocopherol and ascorbic acid respectively.

Table 3: Linear correlation of antioxidant capacity with total phenolic content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antioxidant property</th>
<th>R - Square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total antioxidant capacity</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPPH free radical scavenging capacity</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupric reducing antioxidant capacity</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferric reducing antioxidant power</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Values are mean ± standard deviations for triplicate experiments and those not sharing a letter on top of the columns are significantly different at p<0.05.*
Many plant derived mono and poly-phenolic compounds have been shown to possess growth inhibitory activity \[4\] Evaluation of SC extracts showed inhibition of cell growth across the panel of cell lines with varying efficacy (Table 4). At 100 μg/mL the crude SC acetone extract demonstrated the greatest growth inhibition against all cell lines (41-83%), when compared to the aqueous (18-44%), methanol (34-68%), and ethanol (22-65%) extracts. Subsequent dose response analyses showed the acetone extract exhibited the greatest growth inhibition against breast cancer cells (65 ± 5.8%) and was 6-fold more potent at inhibiting growth in the breast cancer cell line than in those derived from other tumour types (GI50 20-110 μg/mL). Nevertheless while water is a safe, inexpensive and accessible solvent, it was found to be less effective for the extraction of TPC than common organic solvents. In addition, water extract had lower antioxidant capacity and anti-cancer activity than organic solvent extracts. Among the three solvents tested, acetone was overall the most effective for generating extracts with the highest bioactive yield, greater antioxidant activity and anti-cancer effect. In summary, S. spinescens possesses significant bioactive compound levels, and further studies are recommended for the identification of key phenolic compounds, as well as their anti-cancer mechanisms.

**Conclusions**

Extraction conditions significantly affected total phenolic content, antioxidant capacity and anti-cancer properties of Scaevola spinescens. Extraction conditions using water, a common solvent used for extraction of S. spinescens, majorly influenced extraction efficiency of the total phenolic content. The optimal conditions for extraction of TPC using water were 80°C, 15 min and water-to-SC ratio of 20:1 mL/g. Nevertheless while water is a safe, inexpensive and accessible solvent, it was found to be less effective for the extraction of TPC than common organic solvents. In addition, water extract had lower antioxidant capacity and anti-cancer activity than organic solvent extracts. Among the three solvents tested, acetone was the most effective for generating extracts with the highest bioactive yield, greater antioxidant activity and anti-cancer effect. In summary, S. spinescens possesses significant bioactive compound levels, and further studies are recommended for the identification of key phenolic compounds, as well as their anti-cancer mechanisms.


