

Pharmacogenomics in Psychiatry: Personalizing Antidepressant Therapy

Leon Mond*

Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

Introduction

Depression is a leading cause of disability worldwide, affecting over 280 million people globally according to the World Health Organization (WHO). Despite a plethora of available antidepressant medications, treatment outcomes remain highly variable. Approximately 30–50% of patients fail to respond to the first prescribed antidepressant, and many experience adverse effects that lead to treatment discontinuation. This variability reflects a complex interplay of genetic, environmental, and psychosocial factors influencing drug response. Pharmacogenomics, the study of how genetic variation affects individual responses to medications, offers a promising avenue to address these challenges by facilitating the personalization of psychiatric treatment.

In recent years, advances in genomic technologies and a growing body of research have positioned pharmacogenomics at the forefront of precision psychiatry. By identifying genetic polymorphisms that influence drug metabolism, pharmacodynamics, and transport, clinicians can tailor antidepressant therapy to improve efficacy, minimize adverse effects, and reduce trial-and-error prescribing. This article explores the role of pharmacogenomics in antidepressant therapy, focusing on key genes, clinical applications, limitations, and future directions [1].

Description

Pharmacogenomics is a branch of pharmacology that investigates how genetic differences affect drug response. It encompasses both pharmacokinetics (what the body does to a drug) and pharmacodynamics (what the drug does to the body). In psychiatry, genetic variability primarily influences three areas. Variants in cytochrome P450 (CYP450) enzymes can affect how quickly or slowly antidepressants are metabolized. Polymorphisms in genes coding for neurotransmitter receptors or transporters can modify drug efficacy. Genetic factors may predispose individuals to certain side effects or toxicities. The overarching goal is to use this genetic information to guide drug selection and dosing to optimize treatment outcomes. Several genes have been studied extensively for their role in antidepressant response. Among them, the most clinically relevant are CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 are responsible for the metabolism of most commonly prescribed antidepressants, including SSRIs, SNRIs, and TCAs [2].

The 5-HTTLPR polymorphism in the promoter region of the SLC6A4 gene affects serotonin reuptake. Individuals with the short (s) allele may have reduced response to SSRIs and greater susceptibility to side effects compared to those with the long (l) allele. Variants in these genes can influence SSRI efficacy and tolerability. HTR2A rs7997012 polymorphism has been

associated with treatment response to citalopram. The Val66Met polymorphism in the BDNF gene may impact neuroplasticity and treatment outcomes, particularly in patients with treatment-resistant depression. This gene affects drug transport across the blood-brain barrier. Variants may influence the CNS availability of antidepressants and treatment efficacy [3].

Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs) and meta-analyses support the clinical utility of pharmacogenomic-guided treatment. For instance, the GUIDED trial (2018) showed improved remission and response rates in patients with MDD whose treatment was informed by pharmacogenomic testing. Real-world evidence also indicates reductions in hospitalization rates, healthcare costs, and time to response when pharmacogenomic information is used. The Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) and the Dutch Pharmacogenetics Working Group (DPWG) have developed gene-drug guidelines for several antidepressants. Organizations like the FDA and EMA are increasingly recognizing pharmacogenomic markers in drug labeling [4].

Depression and anxiety involve multifactorial etiologies, including environmental and psychosocial factors that are not captured by genetic testing alone. Most genetic variants have small effect sizes, and polygenic risk scores (PRS) for antidepressant response are still in development. Genetic studies are often biased toward populations of European descent, limiting generalizability to other ethnic groups. Although prices are decreasing, pharmacogenomic testing is not universally covered by insurance and remains inaccessible to many. Many clinicians lack training in interpreting and applying pharmacogenomic data. Integration into electronic health records and decision-support tools is still evolving [5].

Conclusion

Pharmacogenomics offers a transformative approach to personalizing antidepressant therapy, addressing the longstanding challenges of trial-and-error prescribing, poor adherence, and treatment resistance. By incorporating genetic insights into clinical decision-making, psychiatrists can better predict treatment outcomes, reduce adverse effects, and improve patient satisfaction. While challenges remain such as limited diversity in research, integration into clinical practice, and ethical concerns—the field is advancing rapidly. Continued research, education, and policy development will be essential to realize the full potential of pharmacogenomics in psychiatry. Ultimately, the integration of pharmacogenomics into mental health care represents a paradigm shift toward precision psychiatry—where treatments are not only evidence-based but also genetically informed and patient-centered. In doing so, it holds the promise of a more effective, equitable, and humane approach to managing depression and other psychiatric conditions.

Acknowledgement

None

*Address for Correspondence: Leon Mond, Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa, E-mail: leonmond@ae.za

Copyright: © 2025 Mond L. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution reproduction in any medium, provided the original author source are credited.

Received: 01 February, 2025, Manuscript No. jmt-25-168467; Editor Assigned: 03 February, 2025, PreQC No. P-168467; Reviewed: 15 February, 2025, QC No. Q-168467; Revised: 21 February, 2025, Manuscript No. R-168467; Published: 28 February, 2025, DOI: 10.37421/2471-271X.2025.11.335

Conflict of Interest

None

References

1. Taira, Aurora, Kimmo Palin, Anna Kuosmanen and Niko Välimäki, et al. "Vitamin C boosts DNA demethylation in TET2 germline mutation carriers." *Clin Epigenetics* 15 (2023): 7.
2. Stadtfeld, Matthias, Effie Apostolou, Francesco Ferrari and Jiho Choi, et al. "Ascorbic acid prevents loss of Dlk1-Dio3 imprinting and facilitates generation of all-iPS cell mice from terminally differentiated B cells." *Nat Genet* 44 (2012): 398-405.
3. Doege, Claudia A., Keiichi Inoue, Toru Yamashita and David B. Rhee, et al. "Early-stage epigenetic modification during somatic cell reprogramming by Parp1 and Tet2." *Nature* 488 (2012): 652-655.
4. Duncan, Tod, Sarah C. Trewick, Pertti Koivisto and Paul A. Bates, et al. "Reversal of DNA alkylation damage by two human dioxygenases." *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 99 (2002): 16660-16665.
5. Figueira, Inés, Goncalo Garcia, Rui Carlos Pimpão and A. P. Terrasso, et al. "Polyphenols journey through blood-brain barrier towards neuronal protection." *Sci Rep* 7 (2017): 11456.

How to cite this article: Mond, Leon. "Pharmacogenomics in Psychiatry: Personalizing Antidepressant Therapy." *J Ment Disord Treat* 11 (2025): 335.