

Pediatric General Anesthesia: Long-term Neurodevelopmental Effects and Controversies

Aurelius Vane*

Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey

Introduction

The use of general anesthesia in pediatric populations has been essential for facilitating surgical and diagnostic procedures in infants and young children. However, growing concerns about the potential long-term neurodevelopmental effects of anesthetic exposure during critical periods of brain development have sparked intense debate in clinical and research communities. Animal studies, particularly in rodents and primates, have shown that commonly used anesthetics such as isoflurane, sevoflurane and propofol can induce widespread neuronal apoptosis, alter synaptic development and impair learning and memory behaviors when administered during early neurodevelopment. These findings have raised ethical and clinical questions about translating such results to human infants, whose brain development follows a more complex and prolonged trajectory [1].

Description

Observational studies in humans have produced mixed outcomes; while some report associations between early exposure to anesthesia and later cognitive or behavioral deficits, others find no significant differences when confounding variables are controlled. The 2016 PANDA (Pediatric Anesthesia NeuroDevelopment Assessment) and GAS (General Anesthesia compared to Spinal) trials provided some reassurance, suggesting that single, brief exposures to general anesthesia in infancy do not result in measurable neurodevelopmental harm at early school age. Still, these studies did not examine the effects of repeated or prolonged exposures, nor did they fully account for high-risk surgical populations. Factors such as surgical stress, underlying medical conditions and socioeconomic variables complicate efforts to isolate the neurotoxic effects of anesthesia itself. Despite these limitations, the potential risks have prompted regulatory responses; for instance, the U.S. FDA issued warnings about repeated or prolonged use of general anesthetics in children under three years of age. The evolving literature highlights the need for cautious, evidence-based clinical decisions, especially when planning non-urgent procedures in very young patients. Pediatric anesthesiologists must weigh the theoretical risks of anesthesia-induced neurotoxicity against the harms of delaying necessary interventions [2].

Mechanistic studies have explored how general anesthetics might influence the developing brain, focusing on pathways involving GABAergic and NMDA receptor modulation. Agents like sevoflurane and isoflurane enhance GABA-A receptor activity and inhibit NMDA receptors, mimicking the effects of neuroinhibitory states that may be deleterious in immature neurons. During critical windows of synaptogenesis, these disruptions in excitatory-inhibitory balance could impair circuit formation and plasticity, leading to long-term deficits in cognition, attention, or emotional regulation. Animal models demonstrate that such anesthetic exposures can lead to alterations in dendritic spine

morphology, neurotransmitter signaling and hippocampal neurogenesis, all of which are essential for learning and memory. However, translating these mechanisms to human development is complicated by differences in timing, dosage and systemic responses. Furthermore, some anesthetic agents may have neuroprotective effects under specific conditions, such as in neonates with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy or undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass. The dose, duration and timing of exposure appear to be critical determinants of outcome, with prolonged or repeated anesthetics posing greater concern than short, single exposures. Genetic and environmental modifiers, such as maternal health, early life stress and nutritional status, may also interact with anesthetic effects, further complicating risk assessment. Studies using neuroimaging techniques, such as functional MRI and diffusion tensor imaging, are beginning to explore structural and functional changes in pediatric patients after anesthesia, but these data remain preliminary. Neurodevelopment is a dynamic process influenced by myriad internal and external factors, making it difficult to attribute specific deficits to a single perioperative exposure. The current body of evidence suggests a nuanced picture in which context, patient vulnerability and procedural necessity must guide anesthetic planning. Continued investigation into the mechanisms and modifiers of anesthetic-induced neurotoxicity is vital for refining pediatric anesthesia protocols and mitigating potential long-term risks [3-4].

Clinically, the controversies surrounding pediatric anesthesia have led to heightened scrutiny over the indications, timing and technique of anesthesia administration in young children. Elective procedures that can be delayed without risk to health or development are increasingly postponed beyond the age of three when feasible, aligning with current precautionary guidelines. For essential surgeries, anesthesiologists are employing strategies to minimize exposure, such as using the lowest effective doses, combining regional with general anesthesia and avoiding prolonged maintenance with volatile agents when alternatives are available. Total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA) using propofol or short-acting agents may offer some neurocognitive advantages, though data are not yet definitive. In addition to intraoperative considerations, efforts are being made to reduce cumulative exposure by consolidating procedures under a single anesthetic event when possible. Preoperative counseling of parents and caregivers has become an important component of informed consent, requiring clear, balanced communication about theoretical risks versus benefits. Institutions are encouraged to develop pediatric anesthesia protocols that incorporate updated evidence, risk stratification tools and monitoring standards. Multidisciplinary collaboration among anesthesiologists, surgeons, pediatricians and neurodevelopmental specialists is essential to optimize perioperative care and follow-up. While clinical practice is unlikely to shift radically based on incomplete evidence, a culture of vigilance and continuous learning is emerging. Pediatric anesthesia is thus being redefined not just by pharmacology and physiology, but by its broader developmental and ethical context [5].

Conclusion

Ethical imperatives demand that clinicians remain transparent with families while advocating for continued research funding and data sharing across institutions. The challenge lies in balancing the immediate medical benefits of anesthesia-facilitated procedures with the imperative to protect long-term neurodevelopment. As our understanding deepens, a more refined

*Address for Correspondence: Aurelius Vane, Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey; E-mail: vane.aurelius@hacettepe.tr

Copyright: © 2025 Vane A. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 01 February, 2025, Manuscript No. jcao-25-168876; Editor Assigned: 03 February, 2025, PreQC No. P-168876; Reviewed: 15 February, 2025, QC No. Q-168876; Revised: 22 February, 2025, Manuscript No. R-168876; Published: 28 February, 2025, DOI: 10.37421/2684-6004.2025.9.279

and personalized approach to pediatric anesthesia will likely emerge—one that preserves safety, enhances outcomes and reassures both providers and families. In this evolving landscape, pediatric anesthesiology must continue to innovate while embracing its responsibility as a steward of neurodevelopmental health in early life.

Acknowledgment

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Volpe, Joseph J. "Brain injury in premature infants: A complex amalgam of destructive and developmental disturbances." *Lancet Neurol* 8 (2009): 110-124.
2. Rhee, Christopher J., Cristine Sortica da Costa, Topun Austin and Ken M. Brady, et al. "Neonatal cerebrovascular autoregulation." *Pediatr Res* 84 (2018): 602-610.
3. Walters, Jennifer L. and Merle G. Paule. "Review of preclinical studies on pediatric general anesthesia-induced developmental neurotoxicity." *Neurotoxicol Teratol* 60 (2017): 2-23.
4. Higgins, Julian PT, Simon G. Thompson, Jonathan J. Deeks and Douglas G. Altman. "Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses." *bmj* 327 (2003): 557-560.
5. Kojima, Katsuaki, Chunyan Liu, Shelley Ehrlich and Beth M. Kline-Fath, et al. "Early surgery in very preterm infants is associated with brain abnormalities on term MRI: A propensity score analysis." *J Perinatol* 43 (2023): 877-883.

How to cite this article: Vane, Aurelius. "Pediatric General Anesthesia: Long-term Neurodevelopmental Effects and Controversies." *J Clin Anesthesiol* 9 (2025): 279.