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Abstract

Bicuspid aortic valve (BAV) is the commonest congenital heart disease affecting predominantly male sex (male to female ratio 3:1), with an estimated incidence in general population ranging from 0.9 to 2%. Due to its abnormal geometry and mechanical stress, this valve anatomy is a predisposing condition for the development of calcific aortic stenosis.
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Introduction

Although number of patients with BAV treated with TAVI is increasing, this kind of procedure is still associated to many concerns in current American and European Guidelines [1-5]. Patients with BAV with aortic stenosis compared to the ones with stenotic tricuspid aortic valve (TAV) are younger, have a lower incidence of coronary disease and a lower EuroScore and STS (Society of Thoracic Surgeons) score [6-8]. Therefore, since TAVI is moving toward lower patients’ risk profile, probably more bicuspid valves will be found in the younger population.

Discussion

Moderate to severe aortic regurgitation after TAVI has been related to worse short and long-term outcomes [18-21]. Recent studies show reduced incidence of PVL in bicuspid anatomy compared with the former results of the German TAVI registry, where it has been estimated around 25% [18,22-24].

Recent data show a higher rate of post-procedural stroke in BAV patients compared to those with TAV (2.5% vs. 1.6%), leading to prefer employment of cerebral embolic protection devices in BAV patients undergoing TAVI [12]. Post-procedural pacemaker implantation does not seem to significantly differ between BAV and TAV undergoing TAVI even if recent studies reported relatively higher rates of new pacemaker (PM) insertions after TAVI with new generation devices (Sapiens 3 and Lotus) estimated around 16.7% in bicuspid valves [6].

TAVI in BAV: What's new?

Higher implant: Radial force of the valve seems to play an important role determining post-procedural PVL. Valves with higher radial force, such as Lotus and Edward Sapiens 3, can easily modify the elliptical shape of the valve in order to achieve a round shape, decreasing the occurrence of PVL [6,8]. Starting from Laborde, who firstly suggested higher supra-annular implant, in order to allow deployment of the part with higher radial force at the level of the orifice of the valve in order to achieve a round shape, Core Valve implantation in BAV has been modified during years [8,25].

Smaller valves: With the advent of new generation valves, provided with an external sealing cuff aimed to reduce post-procedural PVL, oversizing has been eliminated [6]. Furthermore, valve sizing should be based on area-derived perimeter, particularly among BAV patients with borderline annulus and thickened or calcified valves [26]. In bicuspid valves it is still advisable to perform a balloon sizing [27].

Pre procedural CT evaluation: Pre-procedural CT evaluation can provide a more accurate sizing of the valves, significantly reducing the rates of annular rupture and aortic dissections [8]. In BAV, inter-commissural distance (ICD) measured 4-8 mm above the annular plane, seems to directly relate to the
maximum diameter achievable by a specific aortic prosthesis. Nevertheless, optimal annulus/device or ICD/device ratio is still unclear [8].

Conclusions

Therefore, differing from the past, bicuspid aortic valve stenosis does not seem to be an absolute concern for TAVI procedure even if specific issues are still to be taken into account.

The presence of:

a) Extreme valvular eccentricity, particularly in type 0,
b) Heavy calcification, particularly if at commissural level,
c) Small aortic sinus, particularly in type 0,
d) Ascending aortic dilatation,
e) Still represent an indication for surgery as first option.
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