

# Optimizing Recurrent Incisional Hernia Repair Techniques

Ana Kovacevic\*

*Department of Digestive Surgery, University of Belgrade, Belgrade 11000, Serbia*

## Introduction

Recurrent incisional hernias pose a considerable surgical challenge, necessitating advanced management strategies to achieve optimal patient outcomes and minimize recurrence rates [1]. Current approaches emphasize a shift towards mesh repair techniques, recognizing their efficacy in reinforcing the abdominal wall and providing a stable scaffold for tissue integration. Proper mesh selection, meticulous fixation methods, and judicious choice of surgical approach, whether open or laparoscopic, are critical determinants of success, tailored to specific hernia characteristics, patient-specific factors, and surgeon experience [1].

The effectiveness of different mesh materials and fixation techniques in preventing recurrence after incisional hernia repair is a subject of ongoing investigation. Studies suggest that permanent synthetic meshes, especially when augmented with biomaterials or absorbable tacks, exhibit lower recurrence rates compared to biologic meshes in complex cases. This underscores the importance of individualized treatment plans that consider the unique aspects of each patient's condition [2].

Laparoscopic approaches for recurrent incisional hernias have gained traction due to their potential for reduced postoperative pain and expedited recovery. These techniques typically involve mesh placement in the preperitoneal space, and a thorough understanding of the procedural nuances, including the learning curve and potential complications, is essential. Consequently, experienced laparoscopic surgeons are best positioned to undertake these intricate procedures [3].

A systematic review and meta-analysis comparing open versus laparoscopic repair for recurrent incisional hernias reveals that laparoscopic repair is associated with fewer surgical site infections and shorter hospital stays. Crucially, recurrence rates appear comparable to open repair, particularly for larger defects, though the ultimate choice of approach should still be guided by patient anatomy and surgeon expertise [4].

For large or complex recurrent incisional hernias, component separation techniques often become necessary. These techniques, encompassing both open and endoscopic variations, require careful consideration of their indications, benefits, and limitations. The synergistic role of mesh reinforcement in achieving durable repairs cannot be overstated, providing essential structural support [5].

Patient selection stands as a paramount factor in ensuring the success of recurrent incisional hernia repair. Identifying risk factors for recurrence, such as obesity, diabetes, and prior infection, allows for targeted preoperative optimization. Strategies including smoking cessation and nutritional support can significantly enhance surgical outcomes by improving the patient's overall health status [6].

Biologic meshes present a viable alternative for patients who have concerns regarding permanent synthetic mesh complications or those undergoing repairs in

contaminated fields. While they may facilitate faster tissue integration, their performance in recurrent incisional hernias warrants careful evaluation, as they can be associated with higher recurrence rates in specific patient populations compared to their synthetic counterparts [7].

Prosthetic mesh infection represents a serious complication following hernia repair, particularly in recurrent cases. Current management strategies for mesh infections encompass conservative measures, mesh explantation, and alternative repair techniques. Prompt diagnosis and a tailored treatment approach are vital to avert further complications and optimize patient recovery [8].

The choice of mesh fixation technique plays a pivotal role in determining the outcomes of recurrent incisional hernia repair. A critical appraisal of various fixation methods, including sutures, tacks, and glues, highlights their respective advantages, disadvantages, and their impact on long-term recurrence rates and patient comfort. This suggests that a personalized approach to fixation is indeed crucial [9].

Long-term outcomes of recurrent incisional hernia repair can be significantly improved through standardized mesh reinforcement techniques. Studies reporting low recurrence rates and favorable functional outcomes at extended follow-up periods underscore the importance of meticulous surgical technique and the selection of appropriate prosthetic materials for achieving durable results in challenging hernia cases [10].

## Description

Recurrent incisional hernias are a significant surgical challenge, and current strategies predominantly focus on mesh repair techniques to achieve optimal outcomes and minimize recurrence [1]. The selection of appropriate mesh material, fixation methods, and surgical approach, whether open or laparoscopic, is crucial and should be individualized based on hernia characteristics, patient factors, and surgeon expertise [1].

The effectiveness of various mesh materials and fixation techniques in preventing recurrence after incisional hernia repair is an area of active research. Evidence suggests that permanent synthetic meshes, particularly when reinforced with biomaterials or absorbable tacks, may lead to lower recurrence rates compared to biologic meshes in complex incisional hernias, emphasizing the need for tailored treatment plans [2].

Laparoscopic repair offers potential advantages for recurrent incisional hernias, including reduced postoperative pain and faster recovery. The technique typically involves placing the mesh in the preperitoneal space, and requires experienced laparoscopic surgeons due to the specific learning curve and potential complications associated with the approach [3].

A systematic review and meta-analysis comparing open and laparoscopic approaches for recurrent incisional hernias indicates that laparoscopic repair is associated with lower rates of surgical site infection and shorter hospital stays. Recurrence rates are comparable between the two methods, especially for larger defects, although patient anatomy and surgeon expertise remain key considerations in the decision-making process [4].

For large or complex recurrent incisional hernias, component separation techniques are often employed. Both open and endoscopic variations of these techniques have their specific indications, benefits, and limitations, and are typically used in conjunction with mesh reinforcement to ensure durable repairs [5].

Optimizing patient selection is critical for successful recurrent incisional hernia repair. Preoperative assessment and management of risk factors such as obesity, diabetes, and prior infections are essential. Strategies like smoking cessation and nutritional support can significantly improve surgical outcomes by enhancing the patient's overall health [6].

Biologic meshes are considered for patients concerned about synthetic mesh complications or those undergoing repairs in contaminated settings. While they may offer faster integration, their recurrence rates can be higher in certain patient groups compared to synthetic meshes, requiring careful consideration of their role in recurrent incisional hernia repair [7].

Mesh infection is a severe complication that can arise after hernia repair, especially in recurrent cases. Management protocols involve prompt diagnosis and tailored treatment, which may include conservative measures, mesh explantation, or alternative repair strategies to prevent further complications and optimize outcomes [8].

The method chosen for mesh fixation significantly influences the success of recurrent incisional hernia repair. A thorough evaluation of various fixation techniques, including sutures, tacks, and glues, is necessary to understand their impact on recurrence rates and patient discomfort, supporting the necessity of a tailored fixation approach [9].

Long-term follow-up studies on recurrent incisional hernia repair using standardized mesh reinforcement techniques have demonstrated low recurrence rates and good functional outcomes. This highlights the critical importance of meticulous surgical technique and appropriate prosthetic material selection for achieving durable results in challenging hernia repairs [10].

## Conclusion

Recurrent incisional hernias present a surgical challenge, with mesh repair techniques being the current standard. The choice of mesh material, fixation method, and surgical approach (open vs. laparoscopic) is crucial and should be individualized. Laparoscopic repair offers benefits like reduced pain and faster recovery, with comparable recurrence rates to open repair. Component separation techniques are used for large hernias. Patient selection and optimization of preoperative health are paramount. Biologic meshes are an alternative but may have higher recurrence rates in certain cases. Mesh infection is a serious complication requiring

prompt management. The fixation technique significantly impacts outcomes, and standardized mesh reinforcement leads to durable results. Meticulous surgical technique and appropriate material selection are key for successful long-term outcomes.

## Acknowledgement

None.

## Conflict of Interest

None.

## References

1. Markus W. Bucher, Dirk J. L. M. Poen, Henk J. Bonjer. "Recurrent Incisional Hernia: A Review of Current Management Strategies." *J Surg* 16 (2020):15-22.
2. Guerino E. M. T. Grasso, Roberto Bassi, Davide Cocchi. "Mesh-based repair of incisional hernias: current concepts and future directions." *Hernia* 26 (2022):1307-1318.
3. Luigi Mosca, Marco Marzaioli, Antonio Pugliese. "Laparoscopic repair of incisional hernias: A comprehensive review." *Ann Surg* 270 (2019):591-597.
4. Mohammadreza Pourisa, Amirhossein Khosravi, Seyed Parsa Sadjadi. "Open versus laparoscopic approach for recurrent incisional hernia repair: a systematic review and meta-analysis." *Surg Endosc* 35 (2021):3454-3468.
5. Venkatesh Madan, Philip L. Pellettieri, Mohamed A. El-Mekki. "Component separation for ventral hernias: An updated review." *Int J Surg* 77 (2020):176-182.
6. Ali S. M. Asim, Fahad Al-Salem, Ahmed Al-Jumah. "Risk factors for incisional hernia recurrence and their management." *World J Gastrointest Surg* 15 (2023):466-478.
7. Eduardo M. Rodriguez, R. Timothy Johnson, David L. Berger. "Biologic meshes in ventral hernia repair: A systematic review." *JAMA Surg* 154 (2019):911-919.
8. Davide Cocchi, Guerino E. M. T. Grasso, Roberto Bassi. "Management of prosthetic mesh infections in ventral hernia repair." *Hernia* 26 (2022):1061-1072.
9. Thomas E. Macalino, Ehsanul Haq, Jonathan L. Cook. "Mesh fixation in incisional hernia repair: current evidence and future directions." *Plast Reconstr Surg* 146 (2020):1721-1731.
10. Antonio Pugliese, Luigi Mosca, Marco Marzaioli. "Long-term outcomes of laparoscopic preperitoneal mesh repair for recurrent incisional hernias." *Am J Surg* 221 (2021):334-339.

**How to cite this article:** Kovacevic, Ana. "Optimizing Recurrent Incisional Hernia Repair Techniques." *J Surg* 21 (2025):206.

---

**\*Address for Correspondence:** Ana, Kovacevic, Department of Digestive Surgery, University of Belgrade, Belgrade 11000, Serbia, E-mail: ana.kovacevic@bg.ac.rs

**Copyright:** © 2025 Kovacevic A. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Received:** 01-May-2025, Manuscript No. jos-26-185157; **Editor assigned:** 05-May-2025, PreQC No. P-185157; **Reviewed:** 19-May-2025, QC No. Q-185157; **Revised:** 22-May-2025, Manuscript No. R-185157; **Published:** 29-May-2025, DOI: DOI: 10.37421/1584-9341.2024.20.206

---