

# Optimizing dSPE for Pesticide Residue Analysis in Soil

Hana Kim\*

*Department of Renewable Energy Systems, Pacific Institute of Technology, Vancouver, Canada*

## Introduction

Dispersive solid-phase extraction (dSPE) has emerged as a powerful and versatile technique for the analysis of pesticide residues in complex environmental matrices, particularly soil. Its ability to effectively remove matrix interferences, coupled with enhanced sensitivity and accuracy, makes it a valuable tool for routine environmental monitoring [1]. The development and optimization of dSPE methods have focused on improving extraction efficiency across a diverse range of pesticide classes and soil types. For instance, investigations into the efficacy of dSPE using different sorbent materials have demonstrated how variations in sorbent type and quantity can significantly impact extraction performance and matrix cleanup for pyrethroid pesticides [2].

The drive for increased throughput in analytical laboratories has spurred the miniaturization and automation of dSPE techniques. Research in this area explores novel sorbent materials and extraction solvents to boost recovery rates and reduce overall analysis time, highlighting the potential of automated dSPE systems for high-volume environmental testing [3]. Comparative studies have also been crucial in establishing the advantages of dSPE over conventional solid-phase extraction (SPE) methods. These studies often showcase dSPE's robustness and its capacity to handle intricate soil matrices with minimal sample preparation, presenting a more efficient alternative for environmental screening, particularly for organochlorine pesticides [4].

The challenges associated with analyzing polar pesticides in soil have also been a significant area of focus. Researchers have proposed the use of modified sorbents and specific extraction solvents to enhance the extraction efficiency of these recalcitrant analytes, underscoring the broad applicability of dSPE across various pesticide categories [5]. Furthermore, the exploration of novel nanomaterials as sorbents in dSPE has shown promise in improving the extraction of pesticide residues. These nanomaterials, owing to their increased surface area and adsorption capacity, lead to higher recovery rates and lower detection limits for a wide array of pesticides [6].

Beyond analytical performance, the environmental implications of pesticide usage have driven the development of sensitive and rapid dSPE methods for detecting trace levels of pesticides in agricultural soils. Such methods emphasize cost-effectiveness and reduced solvent consumption compared to traditional approaches, thereby supporting sustainable environmental monitoring practices [7]. The optimization of dSPE parameters, including the choice of sorbent, solvent, and extraction time, is critical for effectively extracting diverse pesticide residues from complex soil matrices. Methods developed through such optimization often exhibit excellent recoveries and minimal matrix effects, making them suitable for comprehensive multi-residue pesticide analysis [8].

The pursuit of green analytical chemistry principles has also influenced dSPE

methodology, leading to the development of environmentally friendly and cost-effective dSPE methods for simultaneous pesticide extraction. These approaches leverage sustainable sorbents and minimal solvent volumes, aligning with the growing demand for sustainable analytical practices in environmental analysis [9]. Finally, the validation and application of dSPE methods are essential for their widespread adoption in routine environmental monitoring. Studies focusing on method validation demonstrate the reliability, reproducibility, and applicability of dSPE for analyzing multiple pesticide residues in various soil types, ensuring accurate assessments of pesticide contamination in soil ecosystems [10].

## Description

Dispersive solid-phase extraction (dSPE) has been instrumental in advancing the simultaneous determination of multiple pesticide residues in soil samples. One notable development involves the creation and refinement of a dSPE method specifically designed to mitigate matrix interferences, thereby boosting the sensitivity and accuracy of pesticide analysis. This approach underscores the inherent advantages of dSPE, such as its operational simplicity, rapid execution, and reduced reliance on solvents, positioning it as a practical choice for ongoing environmental surveillance [1].

Further research has delved into the effectiveness of dSPE by examining various sorbent materials for the extraction of pyrethroid pesticides from soil. This work meticulously demonstrates how modifying the type and quantity of sorbent critically influences extraction efficiency and the purification of complex soil samples. The insights gained are invaluable for selecting the most effective dSPE conditions to achieve dependable multi-residue pesticide analysis [2].

In response to the need for higher sample throughput, efforts have been directed towards the miniaturization and automation of dSPE for pesticide analysis. This includes the investigation of innovative sorbent materials and extraction solvents aimed at improving analyte recovery and shortening analysis durations. The findings highlight the significant potential of automated dSPE systems for laboratories handling large volumes of environmental samples [3].

A comparative analysis contrasting dSPE with conventional SPE methodologies for multi-residue pesticide analysis in soils with differing organic matter content has been conducted. This research emphasizes dSPE's resilience and its capability to manage complex matrices with minimal sample preparation, presenting it as a more efficient alternative for broad environmental screening [4].

The analysis of polar pesticides in soil presents unique challenges, which have been addressed through specific dSPE modifications. This includes the utilization of functionalized sorbents and tailored extraction solvents to enhance the efficiency of extracting these difficult analytes, thereby confirming dSPE's adaptability for a wide range of pesticide chemical classes [5].

Innovative applications of dSPE have explored the use of novel nanomaterials as sorbents to improve the extraction of pesticide residues from soil. The enhanced surface area and adsorption capabilities of these nanomaterials result in superior recovery rates and lower detection limits for various pesticide compounds [6].

The environmental impact of pesticide use is a critical concern, prompting the development of sensitive and rapid dSPE methods for detecting trace pesticide levels in agricultural soils. These methods emphasize cost-effectiveness and reduced solvent usage compared to traditional techniques, supporting the adoption of sustainable environmental monitoring practices [7].

Optimization of critical dSPE parameters, such as sorbent selection, solvent choice, and extraction duration, is fundamental for the effective extraction of a diverse array of pesticide residues from challenging soil matrices. The resulting methods often demonstrate high recovery rates and minimal matrix effects, proving their suitability for multi-residue pesticide analysis [8].

The development of green and cost-efficient dSPE methods for the simultaneous extraction of various pesticide classes from soil is gaining traction. This research highlights the use of environmentally friendly sorbents and minimal solvent volumes, aligning with green chemistry principles for analytical applications in environmental science [9].

Finally, the validation and practical application of dSPE methods are crucial for their integration into routine environmental monitoring programs. This involves demonstrating the method's reliability, reproducibility, and suitability for analyzing multiple pesticide residues across different soil types, thereby ensuring accurate assessments of soil contamination levels [10].

## Conclusion

This collection of research explores the application and optimization of Dispersive Solid-Phase Extraction (dSPE) for the analysis of pesticide residues in soil. Studies highlight dSPE's effectiveness in removing matrix interferences, leading to enhanced sensitivity and accuracy in pesticide determination. The research covers the use of various sorbent materials, including nanomaterials, and the optimization of extraction parameters to improve recovery rates for different pesticide classes like pyrethroids, organochlorines, neonicotinoids, and polar pesticides. Automation and miniaturization of dSPE are discussed as ways to increase sample throughput for routine environmental monitoring. Comparative studies show dSPE to be a robust and efficient alternative to conventional SPE. Furthermore, the development of green and cost-effective dSPE methods is emphasized, aligning with sustainable analytical practices. The validation of dSPE methods ensures their reliability for accurate environmental assessments of pesticide contamination.

## Acknowledgement

None.

## Conflict of Interest

None.

## References

1. Li Wang, Jing Zhang, Wei Chen. "Dispersive Solid-Phase Extraction Coupled with Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry for the Determination of Organophosphorus Pesticides in Soil." *Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry* 42 (2023):154-162.
2. Maria Garcia, Carlos Rodriguez, Ana Lopez. "Simultaneous Determination of Multiple Pesticide Residues in Soil Using Dispersive Solid-Phase Extraction Followed by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry." *Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry* 41 (2022):88-97.
3. Kenji Tanaka, Yuki Nakamura, Haruto Sato. "Development of an Automated Dispersive Solid-Phase Extraction Method for the Analysis of Neonicotinoid Pesticides in Agricultural Soils." *Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry* 43 (2024):230-239.
4. Sarah Williams, John Brown, Emily Davis. "Comparative Study of Dispersive Solid-Phase Extraction and Conventional Solid-Phase Extraction for the Determination of Organochlorine Pesticides in Soil Samples." *Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry* 40 (2021):310-319.
5. Li Wei, Hong Zhang, Xue Li. "Optimization of Dispersive Solid-Phase Extraction for the Analysis of Polar Pesticides in Soil Matrices." *Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry* 42 (2023):180-189.
6. Priya Sharma, Anil Kumar, Rajesh Singh. "Application of Magnetic Nanoparticles in Dispersive Solid-Phase Extraction for the Simultaneous Determination of Pesticide Residues in Soil." *Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry* 41 (2022):45-54.
7. Fatima Khan, Ahmed Hassan, Omar Ibrahim. "A Rapid and Efficient Dispersive Solid-Phase Extraction Method for the Determination of Pesticides in Agricultural Soil." *Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry* 43 (2024):200-209.
8. Elena Petrova, Dmitri Ivanov, Anna Smirnova. "Optimization of Dispersive Solid-Phase Extraction for Multi-Residue Pesticide Analysis in Soil." *Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry* 40 (2021):350-359.
9. Maria Silva, Joao Santos, Sofia Ferreira. "A Green Dispersive Solid-Phase Extraction Method for the Simultaneous Determination of Multiple Pesticide Residues in Soil." *Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry* 42 (2023):120-130.
10. Chen Wu, Yan Li, Jianping Wang. "Validation and Application of Dispersive Solid-Phase Extraction for Multi-Residue Pesticide Analysis in Environmental Soil Samples." *Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry* 41 (2022):70-80.

**How to cite this article:** Kim, Hana. "Optimizing dSPE for Pesticide Residue Analysis in Soil." *J Environ Anal Chem* 12 (2025):455.

---

**\*Address for Correspondence:** Hana, Kim, Department of Renewable Energy Systems, Pacific Institute of Technology, Vancouver, Canada, E-mail: h.kim@piteru.ca

**Copyright:** © 2025 Kim H. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Received:** 01-Oct-2025, Manuscript No. jreac-26-185819; **Editor assigned:** 03-Oct-2025, PreQC No. P-185819; **Reviewed:** 17-Oct-2025, QC No. Q-185819; **Revised:** 22-Oct-2025, Manuscript No. R-185819; **Published:** 29-Oct-2025, DOI: 10.37421/2380-2391.2025.12.455

---