Open Tuberculosis in Police Custody Suites, the Risks to those Working there and Current United Kingdom Public Health Legislation
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Abstract

There are at present 1.7 billion people worldwide infected with Mycobacterium tuberculosis and 1.7 million die every year [1]. In the UK, tuberculosis is not yet endemic and the main source of TB is a droplet infection by inhalation or ingestion from “open” cases, is from the immigrants, asylum seekers and clandestine or illegals, who have come and are coming to the UK in ever increasing numbers. There is also the much rarer risks of “congenital” or placental TB and dermal inoculation – verruca necrogenica.

The risks of infection are determined by; dose and virulence of the TB strain, host resistance and occupation, plus the environmental atmosphere of that occupation. In the UK screening for TB is missing 70% of infections and thus far, failing to prevent the worrying rise by 50% over the last 10 years. The use of an airport screening chest x-ray to identify cases of active TB cannot spot the symptomless carriers who represent the iceberg-below-the-water line. The number of cases of TB in the UK last year, 2010, was in excess of 9,000. Recent secondary screenings in three large UK towns showed levels of 20% in those from the Indian subcontinent and 30% from sub-Saharan Africa that had been missed earlier [2]. Further, more rigorous, immigration TB checks are one way to try to control this problem. Despite TB being the most common cause of illness and death among people with HIV/Aids in the European region, very few co-ordinate the treatment of the co-infection properly, mainly due to poor patient chemo-compliance and rising costs.

Case History

On 17/10/2003 at 10:01 hours AV, a male Angolan clandestine aged 43, was seen at a South London Police Station with his consent at the request of the Police, to establish the presence of injury and that of fitness to detain and interview. There was an allegation of immigration offences. He said that he had been in the UK for 15 years, but this seemed unlikely for a number of reasons, including the poorness of his English. He said that he suffered from TB and had been receiving treatment for this and had been taking “lots of antibiotics for six weeks”, but was no longer taking them. His compliance was, therefore, very poor to non-existent and certainly not atypical. Some patients have even admitted to sending their medication back to their relatives in their country of origin; this does not bear thinking about in terms of ineffective dosage and frequency making chemo-resistance almost inevitable and world-wide.

He was otherwise fit and well, but abused Class A (MoDA 1971) drugs, namely diamorphine and cocaine. On further questioning he admitted smoking “two £10.00 Joeys” or a total of 0.4gm of heroin daily. He took crack cocaine as well, but had “not done so for a month”. His claim not to have used crack cocaine that recently was most probably untrue. His pupils, BP and pulse were normal, but he showed all the signs of post-crack binge recovery, falling asleep every few seconds, but roused very easily (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Prisoner asleep, head on desk; clip-board with pro-forma in the foreground.
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He denied smoking heroin and crack together as a “snowball”. On examination he had a deep cough and this raised the concern about the underlying TB infection and whether he was infectious. He was thin and looked unwell. Due to his sleepiness a blood sugar estimation was offered, but refused. He asked for methadone, which was refused, not wishing to depress him further.

He was put into a six hour rest period and, as a precaution, placed on 30 minute checks whilst further enquiries were made at King’s College Hospital, London. The TB nurse at KCH said that he had been “lost to follow up” and that it was very likely that he was infectious. On a further telephone call, Dr R confirmed what had been said and that he was “very likely to have active TB”. It was decided that he should be taken, as soon as possible, to A&E at KCH for an urgent chest x-ray, a Ziehl-Neelson stain sputum test for acid fast bacilli (AFB) or, far better these days, a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) rapid test.

Since AV had not been very co-operative and would be wanting to buy more heroin, it was suggested that if TB was confirmed, they might offer, but refused. He asked for methadone, which was refused, not wishing to depress him further.

AV’s chaotic life style, in terms of his drug abuse, makes it very unlikely that, even if he wanted to, he would be chemo-compliant or co-operate with doctors and Public Health officials or be able to do so. The WHO, which declared TB a global emergency in 1992, now strongly advocates directly observed therapy (DOT) to ensure chemotherapy. AV’s primary thoughts and judgement would be far more focused and aimed at his obtaining further supplies of illicit Class A drugs, rather than taking his anti-tubercular drugs. Due to his Class A drug habit he had absolutely no insight into his clinical condition, being completely reckless as to the risk that he posed to the general public. However, sections 37 and 38 of the Public Health (Control of Disease) Act 1984 do give powers for the removal to, and detention in, a suitable hospital infectious diseases unit as to the extent of the protection, of the infected person is detained by them to prevent a possible breach of the peace. Officers involved may well consult their Duty FME or FME experience, even if they have been in the UK for the reasons, that are likely to be in a “roll around” with an individual who is unwilling to go with the officials peacefully. It may be that they should wear the same protection as the officials. Officers should bear in mind the possibility of being spat at, scratched or bitten, but this can occur during arrest and reasoned communication is vital as they are likely to be frightened and confused, especially if English is not their mother tongue and many persons, from FME experience, even if they have been in the UK for even ten years, may speak little or no English. Every effort should, therefore, be made to take account of his or her circumstances and understanding of English.

In application to AIDS, s.38 was modified by the Public Health (Infectious Diseases) Regulations 1988 (SI 1988/1546) (regulation 5) to give wider powers to magistrates. Where required a justice of the peace may, on the application of any local authority, make an order for the detention in hospital of an inmate of that hospital suffering from AIDS syndrome if the JP is satisfied that on his leaving the hospital proper precautions to prevent the spread of the disease would not be taken by him at his accommodation or in other places to which he may be expected to go.

Discussion

AV’s chaotic life style, in terms of his drug abuse, makes it very unlikely that, even if he wanted to, he would be chemo-compliant or co-operate with doctors and Public Health officials or be able to do so. The WHO, which declared TB a global emergency in 1992, now strongly advocates directly observed therapy (DOT) to ensure chemotherapy. AV’s primary thoughts and judgement would be far more focused and aimed at his obtaining further supplies of illicit Class A drugs, rather than taking his anti-tubercular drugs. Due to his Class A drug habit he had absolutely no insight into his clinical condition, being completely reckless as to the risk that he posed to the general public. However, sections 37 and 38 of the Public Health (Control of Disease) Act 1984 do give powers for the removal to, and detention in, a suitable hospital, if the JP is satisfied that on his leaving the hospital proper precautions to prevent the spread of infection cannot be taken, or that such precautions are not being taken, and there is a serious risk of infection to other people, and that accommodation for them is available in a suitable hospital, then the JP can order them to be removed there.

The police may be asked by health officials to be present whilst the infected person is detained by them to prevent a possible breach of the peace. Officers involved may well consult their Duty FME or local hospital infectious diseases unit as to the extent of the protection, physical and immunological, they may require, particularly if they are likely to be in a “roll around” with an individual who is unwilling to go with the officials peacefully. It may be that they should wear the same protection as the officials. Officers should bear in mind the possibility of being spat at, scratched or bitten, but this can occur during arrest and reasoned communication is vital as they are likely to be frightened and confused, especially if English is not their mother tongue and many persons, from FME experience, even if they have been in the UK for even ten years, may speak little or no English. Every effort should, therefore, be made to take account of his or her circumstances and understanding of English.

Removal to hospital of person with notifiable disease

Where a justice of the peace (acting, if he deems it necessary, ex parte) is satisfied, on the application of the local authority, that a person is suffering from a notifiable disease and that their circumstances are such that proper precautions to prevent the spread of infection cannot be taken, or that such precautions are not being taken, and there is a serious risk of infection to other people, and that accommodation for them is available in a suitable hospital, then the JP can order them to be removed there.

When arranging the transfer of the infected person, calm and reasoned communication is vital as they are likely to be frightened and confused, especially if English is not their mother tongue and many persons, from FME experience, even if they have been in the UK for even ten years, may speak little or no English. Every effort should, therefore, be made to take account of his or her circumstances and understanding of English.

Officers should again be careful not to act in any way which could be considered inhuman or degrading (Article 3, The Human Rights Act 1998). This is especially true with children or juveniles whose parents...
or guardians should be fully aware, informed and if possible, presents [6].

**Detention in hospital of person with notifiable disease**

As before, if a JP is satisfied that an inmate of the hospital who is suffering from a notifiable disease would not, on leaving the hospital, be provided with accommodation where proper precautions could be taken to prevent the spread of the disease, the JP may order them to be further detained in hospital for a specified period. Any person or patient leaving a hospital contrary to a detention order made under this section commits an offence and the court may well order them to be taken back to hospital. The powers of arrest under these circumstances are those of an Arrest without warrant, as an order from a magistrate being in force in any case, thus giving the health official extensive powers to detain the infected person. In the most dangerous forms of multi-drug resistant TB, officer safety notices, as seen at Charing Cross police station, may be issued, though these do not seem include the power of arrest, but merely the power of persuasion, which is somewhat bizarre, if not idiotic.

**Historical notes and current treatment regimes**

First recognised and isolated by Dr Robert Koch (1843-1910) in 1882 cultured in a hanging droplet of ox aqueous humor, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, has managed to stay with us and, regrettably, even evolve to be multi-resistant. This despite evolutionary treatment and diagnosis via X-rays, Mantoux and Heaf tests, microscopy, Ziehl-Neelsen staining of sputum, surgical lung collapse, mass immunization by BCG, available for 75 years, (though not currently in use in the USA or Australia, where individual cases are treated and only selective BCG immunization in the UK), isolation, and modern day triple therapy [7].

Surgical excision had became possible when Ernst Ferdinand Sauerbruch (1875-1951) demonstrated the negative pressure cabinet in 1904 at Mikulicz' clinic at Breslau, Germany. This allowed the opening of the pleural cavity without the lungs collapsing. Professor Sauerbruch's name became linked to iatrogenesis as, in later life, he became demented, but continued to operate with fatal results. Colleagues agree of his errors felt unable to stop him because of his fame and power, echoes from the past ignored in the Bristol Royal Infirmary Heart Hospital scandal in 1995 and for similar reasons. Mr James Wisheart, a paediatric heart surgeon, had been allowed to carry on operating on babies and children despite repeated warnings of high death rates from an anesthetist.

Finally the GMC struck off Mr Wisheart in 1998 for serious professional misconduct. In the early part of the 20th century, the “treatment” in Alpine sanatoria was in vogue. As yearly as 1902, a prototype, was built at Davos, Switzerland by Dr Karl Turban and the architect Jacques Gros. Others soon followed with Bohislaw Fuchs’s Radon Sanatorium [8].

These sanatoria were part hospital and part *pension*, where TB sufferers came to spend many months or years with a treatment of daily temperature checks, sunshine, mountain air, diet and gentle walks. In Thomas Mann’s novel “The Magic Mountain”, [9] Hans Castorp is the sufferer from TB, whilst the dreadful, haemoptic finale for Patricia in “Three Comrades” by Erich Maria Remarque, of “All Quiet on the Western Front” fame, is less well known, though ‘she died hard and no one could help her’[10].

On the basis of “*primum non nocere*” and with no effective chemotherapy, the sanatoria did not improve the survival rates of their patients, but by isolating infectious patients, reduced transmission of TB in the general public; something that we risk again today in the UK from poor housing with poverty driven multi-occupancy, increasing undiagnosed TB, ignorance, and non-compliance with long term chemotherapy. The fear of such patients of discovery as an illegal immigrant or a clandestine makes them invisible to the health services until they are arrested and the condition recognized by the FME. Those picked up are probably the tip of the TB iceberg in the UK.

Before the discovery of specific antibiotics for the treatment of TB, there was no cure and mortality, in the pulmonary form, was about 50%. The introduction of anti-tuberculosis drugs in the early 1950s meant that by the 1980s there was a 98% chance of cure; provided that treatment was continued with good quality drugs for as long six months. The first triple therapy with PAS (para-aminosalisylic acid) (late 1940s), isoniazid (1952) and streptomycin (1944) which then, with building drug resistance of DR-TB, became rifampicin, ethambutol and capreomycin in 1980’s. Today MDR-TB (multi-drug resistant TB) is defined as being resistant to isoniazid and rifampicin, whether there is resistance to other drugs or not, but XDR-TB appears to be resistant to all. The FME should be aware of all the risk factors which may increase the probability of DR, MDR and XDR-TB and, amongst the type of patient that we see, the following are important risk factors for drug resistance: a) Immigration from an area with a high incidence of TB and of multi-drug resistance, b) HIV sero-positivity, c) Substance abuse, usually Class A, such as heroin and cocaine, d) Homelessness or with no fixed abode, e) Previous treatment for TB, especially if prolonged, and f) Contact with another patient known to have drug resistant TB.

For the Metropolitan police FME all, if not most of, these criteria define or are almost pathognomonic for a great many of our patients. Traditional confirmation of TB was by smear and culture, but is insensitive and slow, with only 50% of pulmonary tuberculosis positive on sputum and bacteria can take weeks to grow on culture medium. The latest polymerase chain reaction (PCR), a DNA augmentation method, rapidly multiplies the bacterial DNA, giving a “culture” result within hours.

The new standard of regime for the treatment of tuberculosis has become isoniazid (H), rifampicin (R),and pyrazinamide (Z) for two months followed by isoniazid and rifampicin for four months. The latest statistics [11] show slow improvement, but to take chemotherapy for drug resistant TB.
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