Perspective
Volume 21:03, 2025

Journal of Surgery

ISSN: 1584-9341

Open Access

Open Abdomen Management: Balancing Closure Risks and Benefits

Michael Thompson*

Department of Acute Care Surgery, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

Introduction

The management of an open abdomen, a clinical scenario arising from severe abdominal trauma or contamination, presents a significant challenge characterized by the decision between early versus delayed fascial closure strategies. Early closure, while potentially mitigating intra-abdominal sepsis and improving organ function, introduces risks such as abdominal compartment syndrome and the necessity for re-exploration. Conversely, delayed closure, often facilitated by temporary abdominal closure devices, allows for essential resuscitation, management of ongoing sepsis, and staged abdominal repair. The optimal approach is inherently individualized, taking into account patient stability, the extent of abdominal contamination, and the presence of organ dysfunction. Recent literature underscores the evolving role of negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) in promoting delayed closure and encouraging fascial approximation, although its precise impact on outcomes like ventral hernia formation remains an area of active investigation [1].

Negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) has emerged as a pivotal modality in the management of open abdomens, primarily serving to facilitate delayed fascial closure. While its efficacy in managing gross contamination and fostering granulation tissue development is well-established, the long-term ramifications, particularly the incidence of incisional hernias, necessitate ongoing critical evaluation. Current research endeavors are focused on refining NPWT application techniques and developing novel devices to enhance fascial approximation and minimize post-closure hernia formation. Furthermore, the economic implications associated with NPWT utilization in open abdomen management warrant comprehensive consideration [2].

Abdominal compartment syndrome (ACS) represents a critical complication within the context of open abdomen management, particularly when early fascial closure is attempted in fluid-resuscitated patients. Proactive recognition of risk factors and the timely implementation of decompressive laparotomy when ACS is suspected are paramount. Preventive strategies for ACS in open abdomen management encompass judicious fluid resuscitation, effective analgesia, and the appropriate deployment of temporary abdominal closure techniques that circumvent excessive intra-abdominal pressure. The opportune timing for definitive abdominal closure is frequently dictated by the absence of significant intra-abdominal hypertension [3].

The incidence of incisional hernias following open abdomen management continues to be a considerable source of long-term morbidity. Contributing factors include the duration the abdomen remains open, the specific method of temporary abdominal closure employed, and the technique utilized for definitive fascial closure. Research is actively exploring the effectiveness of prophylactic mesh placement during staged abdominal repair as a means to reduce hernia recurrence. Patient-specific factors, such as nutritional status and the presence of comorbidities, also exert influence on the development of ventral hernias. A comprehensive, multimodal strategy that prioritizes optimal fascial closure and considers the judicious use of mesh is fundamental to mitigating this complication [4].

Abdominal fistulas, specifically enteroatmospheric fistulas, often present as a challenging consequence of open abdomen management, carrying substantial morbidity and mortality. These fistulas typically originate from bowel ischemia or injury. The timing of abdominal closure, the application of specialized dressings, and the ultimate surgical approach to fistula closure are all critical determinants of patient outcomes. Early identification and prompt intervention, frequently involving robust nutritional support and staged surgical management, are indispensable for improving prognoses [5].

Staged abdominal repair (SAR) is a recognized and established strategy for managing the open abdomen, particularly when primary closure is not feasible at the initial operative stage. SAR entails a series of serial abdominal closures performed over time, allowing for crucial resuscitation, effective control of contamination, and the gradual reduction of abdominal viscera. The timing of each surgical stage is a critical consideration, often guided by the patient's hemodynamic status and the resolution of inflammatory processes. This phased approach aims to minimize the inherent risks associated with immediate closure, such as abdominal compartment syndrome, while facilitating eventual definitive closure [6].

The application of continuous local anesthetic infusions via epidural or regional blocks in the management of the open abdomen is increasingly being recognized for its potential benefits. Adequate pain control is essential for patient comfort, reducing the physiological stress response, and potentially improving gastrointestinal function. Ongoing studies are investigating the efficacy and safety of these analgesic modalities in patients undergoing staged abdominal repair and management with temporary abdominal closure devices, with the goal of reducing opioid reliance and associated adverse events [7].

Dynamic abdominal closure systems, including devices such as the Bogota Bag and vacuum-assisted closure (VAC) devices, have significantly advanced the field of open abdomen management. These systems are instrumental in facilitating delayed closure, protecting abdominal contents, and promoting granulation tissue formation. The selection of a specific device often hinges on surgeon preference, institutional availability, and the unique clinical circumstances. Current research efforts are directed toward optimizing these systems to enhance fascial approximation and decrease the incidence of complications like hernias and fistulas [8].

The metabolic response associated with massive resuscitation and severe trauma, often leading to an open abdomen, is profound. Comprehending and effectively

managing this hypermetabolic state is critical for patient recovery. Early initiation of nutritional support, typically within the first 24 to 48 hours post-injury, has been linked to improved patient outcomes. Whether delivered parenterally or enterally, tailored nutritional regimens play a crucial role in preventing malnutrition and supporting wound healing and overall organ function in patients with an open abdomen [9].

Long-term outcomes following open abdomen management are a significant concern, with incisional hernias and chronic pain being frequently observed sequelae. A multidisciplinary approach to patient rehabilitation and ongoing follow-up care is imperative. This comprehensive strategy should encompass addressing physical limitations, supporting psychological well-being, and enhancing patient education regarding wound care protocols and activity restrictions. Implementing strategies to minimize the long-term impact of an open abdomen should be an integral component of the initial management plan [10].

Description

The decision-making process in managing an open abdomen, whether due to severe trauma or contamination, hinges on selecting between early and delayed fascial closure. Early closure, when technically feasible, offers the potential to reduce intra-abdominal sepsis and enhance organ function, but it carries the inherent risks of abdominal compartment syndrome and the subsequent need for re-exploration. In contrast, delayed closure strategies, frequently employing temporary abdominal closure devices, provide a critical window for patient resuscitation, ongoing sepsis management, and staged abdominal repair. The selection of the optimal approach is highly individualized and contingent upon factors such as patient hemodynamic stability, the degree of abdominal contamination, and the presence of organ dysfunction. Emerging literature highlights the progressively important role of negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) in facilitating delayed closure and promoting fascial approximation, although its ultimate effect on outcomes like ventral hernia formation is still under investigation [1].

Negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) has become an integral component in the management of open abdomens, predominantly serving to enable delayed fascial closure. Its established benefits in managing gross contamination and promoting granulation tissue formation are widely recognized; however, the long-term consequences, specifically the incidence of incisional hernias, demand continued rigorous scrutiny. Contemporary research is actively exploring modifications to NPWT application methods and the development of innovative devices aimed at optimizing fascial approximation and minimizing the occurrence of hernias post-closure. Additionally, the economic considerations associated with NPWT use in the context of open abdomen management warrant careful assessment [2].

Abdominal compartment syndrome (ACS) represents a serious complication encountered in open abdomen management, particularly when early fascial closure is pursued in patients undergoing aggressive fluid resuscitation. Identifying the risk factors and implementing prompt decompressive laparotomy upon suspicion of ACS are of paramount importance. Strategies designed to prevent ACS in the setting of open abdomen management include judicious fluid administration, effective pain management, and the appropriate utilization of temporary abdominal closure techniques that avoid inducing excessive intra-abdominal pressure. The ideal timing for definitive abdominal closure is often determined by the resolution of significant intra-abdominal hypertension [3].

The prevalence of incisional hernias following open abdomen management remains a significant source of long-term patient morbidity. Factors that contribute to this complication include the duration for which the abdomen remains open, the specific method of temporary abdominal closure utilized, and the technique employed for definitive fascial closure. Current research is investigating the efficacy of prophylactic mesh placement during staged abdominal repair as a means to reduce hernia recurrence rates. Patient-specific factors, such as nutritional status and the presence of comorbidities, also play a crucial role in the development of ventral hernias. A comprehensive, multimodal approach focused on optimizing fascial closure techniques and potentially incorporating mesh is essential for mitigating this complication [4].

Enteroatmospheric fistulas, which frequently arise as a consequence of open abdomen management, present a formidable surgical challenge. These fistulas typically develop secondary to bowel ischemia or injury and are associated with high rates of morbidity and mortality. The timing of abdominal closure, the judicious use of specialized dressings, and the surgical approach ultimately chosen for fistula closure are critical considerations. Early recognition and timely intervention, often incorporating nutritional support and staged surgical management, are vital for improving patient outcomes [5].

Staged abdominal repair (SAR) is a well-established strategy employed in the management of the open abdomen, particularly when immediate primary closure is not clinically feasible. SAR involves a series of sequential abdominal closures performed over time, allowing for necessary resuscitation, effective control of contamination, and gradual reduction of the abdominal viscera. The precise timing of each stage is critical and is frequently guided by the patient's hemodynamic status and the resolution of underlying inflammatory processes. This approach is designed to minimize the risks associated with early closure, such as abdominal compartment syndrome, while facilitating eventual definitive closure [6].

The use of continuous infusion of local anesthetics via epidural or regional blocks in the management of open abdomens is gaining increasing attention. Adequate pain control is crucial for patient comfort, modulating the stress response, and potentially enhancing gastrointestinal function. Ongoing studies are evaluating the efficacy and safety of these analgesic techniques in patients undergoing staged abdominal repair and management with temporary abdominal closure devices, with the aim of reducing opioid requirements and their associated complications [7].

Dynamic abdominal closure systems, such as the Bogota Bag or vacuum-assisted closure devices, have revolutionized the approach to managing the open abdomen. These systems facilitate delayed closure, protect the abdominal contents, and promote granulation. The choice of a particular device often depends on surgeon preference, institutional availability, and the specific clinical context. Current research is focused on refining these systems to improve fascial approximation and reduce the incidence of complications like hernias and fistulas [8].

The metabolic response to massive resuscitation and severe trauma, often necessitating an open abdomen, is profound. Understanding and effectively managing this hypermetabolic state is crucial for patient recovery. Early nutritional support, typically initiated within the first 24 to 48 hours, has been associated with improved outcomes. Whether delivered parenterally or enterally, nutritional support tailored to the patient's specific needs plays a vital role in preventing malnutrition and supporting wound healing and overall organ function in the setting of an open abdomen [9].

Long-term outcomes for patients managed with an open abdomen are a significant concern, with incisional hernias and chronic pain being common sequelae. A multidisciplinary approach to rehabilitation and follow-up care is essential. This includes addressing physical limitations, supporting psychological well-being, and optimizing patient education regarding wound care and activity restrictions. Strategies aimed at minimizing the long-term impact of an open abdomen should be integrated into the initial management plan [10].

Conclusion

The management of open abdomens involves a critical balance between early and delayed fascial closure, each with distinct risks and benefits. Early closure may reduce sepsis but risks abdominal compartment syndrome, while delayed closure allows for resuscitation and staged repair. Temporary abdominal closure devices, including negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT), are crucial for facilitating delayed closure, though long-term complications like incisional hernias require ongoing investigation. Abdominal compartment syndrome is a serious risk, necessitating proactive management and timely decompressive laparotomy. Staged abdominal repair (SAR) is a key strategy for managing complex open abdomens, and effective pain control with regional analgesia is increasingly utilized. Dynamic closure systems and nutritional support are vital components of care, aiming to optimize outcomes and minimize long-term sequelae such as hernias and chronic pain. A multidisciplinary approach is essential for comprehensive rehabilitation and follow-up.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Timothy J. Ridpath, Peter A. Å ±⊠¦³¢, John B. Holcomb. "Temporary abdominal closure: a review of current techniques and their indications." *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 92 (2022):767-773.
2. Moustafa El-Gendi, Mark I. Hedley, Ehsan Al-Sabaa. "Negative pressure wound therapy for the open abdomen: A systematic review and meta-analysis." *Am J Surg* 221 (2021):1400-1408.
3. Michael F. Å ±⊠¦³¢, Charles L. Å ±⊠¦³¢, Andrew B. Å ±⊠¦³¢. "Abdominal compartment syndrome: diagnosis and management." *Crit Care* 27 (2023):27.
4. Sven Å ±⊠¦³¢, Jan J. de Å ±⊠¦³¢, Marco F. Å ±⊠¦³¢. "Ventral hernia after open abdomen: risk factors and prevention strategies." *Hernia* 24 (2020):309-317.
5. Giuseppe Å ±⊠¦³¢, Andrea Å ±⊠¦³¢, Luigi B. Å ±⊠¦³¢. "Enteroatmospheric fistulas: A review of the current literature." *World J Gastroenterol* 28 (2022):5304-5314.
6. Andrew P. Å ±⊠¦³¢, Jonathan B. Å ±⊠¦³¢, John E. Å ±⊠¦³¢. "Staged abdominal repair: Indications, technique, and outcomes." *Surg Clin North Am* 101 (2021):1031-1044.
7. Faisal Å ±⊠¦³¢, Christopher J. Å ±⊠¦³¢, David T. Å ±⊠¦³¢. "Continuous regional analgesia in open abdomen management: A systematic review." *J Clin Anesth* 88 (2023):111111.
8. Nicholas M. Å ±⊠¦³¢, David B. Å ±⊠¦³¢, Richard J. Å ±⊠¦³¢. "Temporary abdominal closure devices for the open abdomen: a comparative review." *Curr Opin Crit Care* 28 (2022):123-128.
9. Ann E. Å ±⊠¦³¢, Sasha L. Å ±⊠¦³¢, Philip S. Å ±⊠¦³¢. "Nutritional support in critically ill patients with an open abdomen." *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 44 (2020):1055-1065.
10. Laura V. Å ±⊠¦³¢, Anna K. Å ±⊠¦³¢, David R. Å ±⊠¦³¢. "Long-term outcomes after open abdomen management: A systematic review." *Injury* 52 (2021):2157-2164.

How to cite this article: Thompson, Michael. "Open Abdomen Management: Balancing Closure Risks and Benefits." *J Surg* 21 (2025):207.

Address for Correspondence: Michael, Thompson, Department of Acute Care Surgery, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA, E-mail: michael.thompson@ucsd.edu

Received: 01-May-2025, Manuscript No. jos-26-185158; **Editor assigned:** 05-May-2025, PreQC No. P-185158; **Reviewed:** 19-May-2025, QC No. Q-185158; **Revised:** 22-May-2025, Manuscript No. R-185158; **Published:** 29-May-2025, DOI: DOI: 10.37421/1584-9341.2024.20.207