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Abstract

Bloch theorem for a periodic operator is being revisited here, and we notice extra orthogonality relationships. It is shown that solutions are bi-periodic, in the sense that eigenfunctions are periodic with respect to one argument, and pseudo-periodic with respect to the other. An additional kind of symmetry between r-space and k-space exists between the envelope and eigenfunctions not apparently noticed before, which allows to define new invertible modified Wannier functions. As opposed to the Wannier functions in r-space, these modified Wannier functions are defined in the k-spaces, but satisfy similar basic properties. These could result in new algorithms and other novel applications in the computational tools of periodic structures.
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Introduction

Consider a self-adjoint linear operator problem in the real physical space, denoted by the r-space, as

$$\mathcal{L}A_{\lambda}(r) = \lambda A_{\lambda}(r)$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is a linear operator, $\lambda$ are eigenvalues and $A_{\lambda}(r)$ are eigenfunctions. We define a translation operator $T_{R}$ [1] as

$$T_{R}f(r) = f(r + R)$$

Now, we take the basis vectors $a_{n}, n = 1, 2, 3$, with the non-zero triple product $V = a_{1} \times a_{2} \times a_{3}$. We may also define the discrete vectors $R = n_{1}a_{1} + n_{2}a_{2} + n_{3}a_{3}$ where, $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}$ are integers. The set of all such discrete vectors are referred to as the lattice sites [2-4]. Now, suppose that these two operators commute

$$[\mathcal{L}, T_{R}] = 0$$

Then these two operators share the same set of eigenfunctions. Let $T_{R}$ satisfy the eigenvalue equation

$$T_{R}A_{\mu}(r) = \mu A_{\mu}(r)$$

The relationship between the sets of eigenvalues $\mu$ and $\lambda$ is generally complicated and highly nontrivial [5].

We may now define a set of reciprocal lattice vectors

$$b_{1} = \frac{2\pi}{V}a_{2} \times a_{3}, b_{2} = \frac{2\pi}{V}a_{3} \times a_{1}, \text{ and } b_{3} = \frac{2\pi}{V}a_{1} \times a_{2}$$

with the triple product $U = b_{1}b_{2}b_{3} = (2\pi)^{3}/V$ [2-4].

Defining a reciprocal lattice vector $K = m_{1}b_{1} + m_{2}b_{2} + m_{3}b_{3}$ we may call the set of all such discrete reciprocal lattice vectors as the reciprocal lattice points. For any choice of the discrete vectors $R$ and $K$ we now get the fundamental relationship

$$\mu = \lambda_{K}R$$

For any given discrete lattice vector $R$, this relationship allows infinite discrete solutions for $K$ at the reciprocal lattice vectors, and vice versa. In a three-dimensional space, this will dictate a three-fold degeneracy on the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions, albeit in the form of discrete translational symmetry to be discussed later below.

Bloch Theorem

The basis of the well-known Bloch-Floquet theorem [2-7] is that by which the eigenfunctions of $L$ take on the property

$$A(r + R; k) = e^{-iK \cdot R} A(r; k)$$

Where $K$ is defined as the Bloch wave vector, and correspondingly, the set of all such vectors is referred to as the K-space. In other words, $A(r; k)$ is pseudo-periodic in the r-space. Accordingly, the eigenfunctions satisfy

$$A(r; k) = e^{-iK \cdot r} B(r; k)$$

Here, the so-called envelope functions $B(r; k)$ are periodic in r-space, that is

$$T_{R}B(r; k) = B(r; k)$$

We respectively refer $A(r; k)$ and $B(r; k)$ to as the wave and envelope functions.

Referring to the above, we may see that both eigenvalues $\mu$ and $\lambda$ are actually functions of $K$ as $\mu = \mu(k)$ and $\lambda = \lambda(k)$. This leads us to the fact that

$$L_{K}(r; k) = \lambda(k)A(r; k)$$

Extensions to the bloch theorem

The eigenvalues $\lambda(k)$ turn out to be multi-valued periodic functions in the reciprocal space, so that [2-4]

$$T_{K} \lambda(k) = \lambda(k)$$

A unit-cell of the k-space which constitutes the periodicity of $\lambda(k)$ is known as the Brillouin Zone. Evidently, this unit-cell is extended in the K-space across the basis vectors, $b_{1}, b_{2}$ and $b_{3}$.

For every given vector $K$, there are infinitely many eigenvalues $\lambda(K)$ in general. So to be precise, one would need to consider $\lambda(k) = \lambda_{n}(k)$, with $n$ being a natural number referred to as the band
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index. This is exactly what truly happens for the case of every periodic media, such as photonic and plasmonic crystals [6-8,13] and even photonic crystals [13].

If we take advantage of the periodicity of these eigenvalues, we may express $A_k$ always has $\lambda_n(k) - \lambda_n(k - \mathbf{K})$, in such a way that $k - \mathbf{K}$ would belong to the first Brillouin Zone. This notation with the aid of band-index $n$ makes $\lambda_n(k)$ a single-valued function of its argument. Similarly, as long as $k$ were restricted to a single Brillouin Zone, then the band index integer $n$ would be needed to resolve the eigenfunctions $A_n(r, k)$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_n(k)$ at equivalent $k$ points, where any two $k$ and $k'$ points are said to be equivalent if $k_1 - k_2 = \mathbf{K}$ with $\mathbf{K}$ being a discrete reciprocal lattice vector. From this point on, we drop the explicit dependence of eigenfunctions on the band index $n$ for the sake of brevity, unless needed.

**Further properties of the Bloch theorem**

The above relationships when put together with the basic Bloch theorem, we obtain unexpected result for the first time

$$B(r, k + \mathbf{K}) = e^{j \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{r}} B(r, \mathbf{k})$$

That is, $B(r, k)$ must be pseudo-periodic in the $k$-space, too. This is to be compared to the pseudo-periodicity of $A(r, k)$ in the $r$-space.

When these two properties are combined, we arrive at the following conjugate results

$$A(r + \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{K}) = e^{j \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{r}} A(r, \mathbf{k})$$

and

$$B(r + \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{K}) = e^{j \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{r}} B(r, \mathbf{k})$$

These state that both of the wave $A(r, \mathbf{k})$ and envelope $B(r, \mathbf{k})$ functions are bi-periodic, however, the wave $A(r, \mathbf{k})$ is pseudo periodic in $r$-space and periodic in $k$-space, while conversely the envelope $B(r, \mathbf{k})$ is periodic in $r$-space and pseudo-periodic in $k$-space.

These properties furthermore allow a trivial translational-invariance in phase, such as the replacements

$$A(r, \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow e^{-j \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} A(r, \mathbf{k})$$

and

$$B(r, \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow e^{-j \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} B(r, \mathbf{k})$$

Would provide another equivalent set of eigenfunctions, as long as $\theta(r, \mathbf{k})$ are real-valued and double-periodic as

$$\mathcal{T}_R \mathcal{T}_k \theta(r, \mathbf{k}) = \theta(r, \mathbf{k})$$

Choice of $\theta(r, \mathbf{k})$ is non-trivial for the optimum generation of Wannier functions, to be discussed later in Section 4.

**Orthogonality relations**

Now, both of the wave and envelope functions should satisfy orthogonality relationships in $r$-space and $k$-space, respectively as

$$\langle \mathcal{F} r, \mathcal{F} k \rangle = \alpha_{\mathcal{F} r} \delta(k - k')$$

and

$$\langle \mathcal{G} r, \mathcal{G} k \rangle = \beta_{\mathcal{G} r} \delta(r - r')$$

With $\alpha_{\mathcal{F} r}$ and $\beta_{\mathcal{G} r}$ being some normalization constants. The inner products are here defined as

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \int f^* (\mathbf{r}) g(\mathbf{r}) d^3 \mathbf{r}$$

and

$$\langle d^* (\mathbf{k}) e(\mathbf{k}) \rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \int d^* (\mathbf{k}) e(\mathbf{k}) d^3 \mathbf{k}$$

After normalization and expansion of the inner products, we get

$$\langle A(r, \mathbf{k}) A(r, \mathbf{k}) \rangle = \delta(k - k')$$

and

$$\langle B(r, \mathbf{k}) B(r, \mathbf{k}) \rangle = \delta(r - r')$$

While the first relation is simply known as the orthogonality of wave functions, the second one actually is an expression of the completeness relationship.

In the reduced-zone scheme, we may rewrite and renormalize the above equations as

$$\langle A_n(r, \mathbf{k}) A_n(r, \mathbf{k}) \rangle = V \delta_{n} \delta_{\mathbf{k}}$$

and

$$\langle B_m(r, \mathbf{k}) B_m(r, \mathbf{k}) \rangle = U \delta_{m} \delta_{\mathbf{k}}$$

where the integration of inner products are restricted to unit cells as

$$\langle f(r) g(r) \rangle = \frac{1}{V} \int f(r) g(r) d^3 r$$

and

$$\langle d^* (\mathbf{k}) e(\mathbf{k}) \rangle = \frac{1}{U} \int d^* (\mathbf{k}) e(\mathbf{k}) d^3 \mathbf{k}$$

Here, $r$-cell and $k$-cell refer actually to the lattice’s Unit Cell and Brillouin Zone, respectively.

**Modified Wannier functions**

The orthogonality relationships provide us with two sets of related, yet different, Wannier functions [9,13,14-17] in $r$-space and $k$-space, respectively defined in the reduced-zone schemes as

$$\langle \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{R} r, \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{R} k \rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \int f^* (\mathbf{r}) A_r(r, \mathbf{k}) d^3 \mathbf{k}$$

and

$$\langle \mathcal{Z} \mathcal{K} k, \mathcal{Z} \mathcal{K} k' \rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \int d^* (\mathbf{k}) B_m(r, \mathbf{k}) d^3 \mathbf{k}$$

As a result, we obtain the shift-properties $\langle \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{R} r, \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{R} r' \rangle = \delta_{n} \delta_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $\langle \mathcal{Z} \mathcal{K} k, \mathcal{Z} \mathcal{K} k + \mathbf{K} \rangle = \delta_{m} \delta_{\mathbf{K}}$. This allows us to ignore the discrete vector indices, and simplify the definitions as

$$\langle \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{R} r, \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{R} r' \rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \int B_m(r, \mathbf{k}) d^3 \mathbf{k}$$

and

$$\langle \mathcal{Z} \mathcal{K} k, \mathcal{Z} \mathcal{K} k' \rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \int B_m(r, \mathbf{k}) d^3 \mathbf{k}$$

where appropriate. Wannier functions now turn out to be readily orthogonal as

$$\langle \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{R} r, \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{R} r' \rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{R}} \delta_{\mathbf{K}}$$

$$\langle \mathcal{Z} \mathcal{K} k, \mathcal{Z} \mathcal{K} k' \rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{K}} \delta_{\mathbf{K}}$$

Finally, the original wave and envelope functions may be reconstructed from the respective Wannier functions through discrete summations over the lattice points and reciprocal lattice points as
\[ A_n(r,k) = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{U} \sum_R e^{-iKR} \gamma_{nk}(r) \]

\[ B_m(r,k) = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{\beta^3} \sum_R e^{iKR} Z_{mk}(k) \]

Alternatively, we may rewrite the above definitions as

\[ Y_{nk}(r) = \frac{1}{U} \iint_{k-\text{Cell}} e^{iKR} \gamma_{nk}(r) d^3k \]

\[ Z_{mk}(k) = \frac{1}{U} \iint_{r-\text{Cell}} \gamma_{mk}(r) d^3r \]

This allows us to obtain the direct transformation pairs between these Wannier functions as

\[ Y_{nk}(r) = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{U} \sum_R e^{iKR} \gamma_{nk}(r) d^3k \]

\[ Z_{mk}(k) = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{\beta^3} \sum_R e^{-iKR} \gamma_{mk}(r) d^3r \]

Here, we note the use of identical band indices on both sides.

It should be mentioned that the translationally-invariant phase \( \theta(r,k) \) takes significant part in the integration over eigenfunctions, which may be illustrated explicitly as

\[ Y_{nk}(r) = \frac{1}{U} \iint_{k-\text{Cell}} e^{-i\theta(r,k)} A_n(r,k) d^3k \]

\[ Z_{mk}(k) = \frac{1}{U} \iint_{r-\text{Cell}} e^{i\theta(r,k)} B_m(r,k) d^3r \]

Since there are infinitely many choices for \( \theta(r,k) \), Wannier functions would not be unique, and normally need to be constructed in such a way to be maximally-localized. This is normally done through global minimization of a spread functional; the reader is referred to literature for in-depth discussion of this issue \([14,9,16-22]\) for plasmonic, photonic, phononic, and electronic crystals, respectively.

**Example**

**Two-dimensional photonic crystals**

Consider a two-dimensional (2D) photonic crystal (PC) with in-plane propagation, which allows separation of E-polarized and H-polarized modes. Here, the 2D relative permittivity and permeability function makes the whole structure periodic as \( \gamma_{nk}(r) = \gamma_{nk}(r + R) \) and \( \mu_{nk}(r) = \mu_{nk}(r + R) \) with \( R = n_1 a_1 + n_2 a_2 \). The operator for the normal component of the electric field \( A(r,k) = \int F_z(r,k) \gamma_{nk}(r) d^3k = \int F_z(r \cdot e^{-iKR}) \gamma_{nk}(r,k) \) would become \([6]\)

\[ \mathcal{L} A(r,k) = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} A(r,k) \]

The scalar operator and eigenvalue is in the extended zone now given as

\[ \mathcal{L}_{E}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\gamma_E(r)} \left[ \frac{1}{\mu_E(r)} \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_E(r)} \right) \right] \]

With \( \gamma = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \). Furthermore, \( \omega_E(k) \) is the E-polarization band structure, and \( \mathcal{L}_{E}^{(2)} \) is easy to be shown to be self-adjoint operator under these criteria, hence the eigenfunctions obeying the orthogonality relationships after corresponding displaying the band indices

\[ \{ A(r,k) \mid A(r,k) \} = \int f(r) E_{z1}(r,k) E_{z2}^*(r,k) d^2r = \delta_{kk} \delta(k-k') \]

\[ \{ \theta(r,k) \mid \theta(r,k) \} = \int f(r) F_{m1}(r,k) F_{m2}^*(r,k) d^2r = \delta_{kk} \delta(y-y') \]

Where \( V \) actually denotes the area of the unit-cell; for this to happen, one may simply set \( a_3 = \hat{z} \). Note that, we have \( VU = (2\pi)^2 \) for the 2D PC. The relevant pair of E-polarization Wannier functions \([19,20]\) would be

\[ Y_{nk}(r) = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{E}}{U}} \int_{k-\text{Cell}} E_{z1}(r,k) d^2k \]

\[ Z_{mk}(k) = \frac{1}{U} \iint_{r-\text{Cell}} F_{m2}(r,k) d^2r \]

Similarly, the relationships of the H-polarized components could be found. As for the scalar operator of the normal component of the magnetic field \( \theta(r,k) = \int H_{z1}(r,k) H_{z2}^*(r,k) d^2r = \delta_{kk} \delta(k-k') \), one would obtain

\[ \mathcal{L}_{H}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\gamma_{H}(r)} \left[ \frac{1}{\mu_{H}(r)} \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_{H}(r)} \right) \right] \]

\[ \omega_{H}(k) = \frac{\omega^2_{H}}{c^2} \]

\( \omega_E(k) \) is the H-polarization band structure, and \( \mathcal{L}_{H}^{(2)} \) is self-adjoint. Hence the eigenfunctions obey the orthogonality relationships after corresponding displaying the band indices

\[ \{ A(r,k) \mid A(r,k) \} = \int f(r) H_{z1}(r,k) H_{z2}^*(r,k) d^2r = \delta_{kk} \delta(k-k') \]

\[ \{ \theta(r,k) \mid \theta(r,k) \} = \int f(r) G_{m1}(r,k) G_{m2}^*(r,k) d^2k = \delta_{kk} \delta(y-y') \]

Where \( V \) actually denotes the area of the unit-cell; for this to happen, one may simply set \( a_3 = \hat{z} \). Similarly, we have \( VU = (2\pi)^2 \) for the 2D PC. The relevant pair of H-polarization Wannier functions would be

\[ Y_{nk}(r) = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{H}}{U}} \int_{k-\text{Cell}} H_{z1}(r,k) d^2k \]

\[ Z_{mk}(k) = \frac{1}{U} \iint_{r-\text{Cell}} G_{m2}(r,k) d^2r \]

**Three-dimensional photonic crystals**

If the permittivity and permeability functions are three-dimensional (3D) periodic tensor of space, then within the frame of reference which \( \gamma_{nk}(r) \) becomes diagonal (under no loss and no optical activity \([9-11]\), one would have the following relation for the vector operator
future investigation of these pair of Wannier functions would result in more efficient analytical tools of periodic media, and simplify the construction of maximally-localized band functions.
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